

## Italtán Reformation.

The Nonconformist. No XXIII.
On the Attempts that ecere made towards the Reformation of Religian in Italy: in the Sixteenth Century.

IT has been disputeds between the followers of Luther and of Zwinglius, to which of those eminterf persons ought to be ascribed the honour of originating the great work of the Reformation from Popery. In whatever way this controversy may be decided, it is not possible that the reputation of either of the illustrious individuals, whose credit is thought to be staked upon the issue of it, can be at all affected. The history of the proiceedings of both, in their manly stand against spirituat usurpation and tyranny, is now well known; the value of their services, in their respective theatres of action, is properly understood, and their merits are rightly and fully appreciated By a grateful posterity. It is, howetri, due to each of then to bear in mind, that their labours in the caidse of Christian truth and liberty commenced about the same period in different countries; that they were independent actors; and had at first, and for a conside, rable period, no knowledge of each other's designs and proceedings in respect to their common object. It follows, therefore, from these facts, that neither of them can substantiate a just claim to priority of service on the score of time, or pretend to the merit of having been the first to set the example to the other.

But whatever nieed of praise may: be awarded to Luther and to Zwing lius, there is good reason to question the right of eiffier of them to be, in strict propphefy, regarded as the father of the Reformation. Long antece dently to fheir day men?s minds had, in variouspountries of Europe, been drawn to thweinsideration of the An-ti-Christian spirit of the Ghureh of Rome, and of the licentiousnems and prbaigacyinol tas intiers and ministers.

 VOL. XVII.
objêct: Individuals had, in some places, in their discourses and writings, animadverted upon what they deemel its false doctrines and super-stitioutintes:- whilst others had associated, iff considerable numbers, for the pubfic celebration of the ordinances of religion upon principles which they deemed nore accordant with Christian trutli and 'evangelical simplicity.* The Roman Pontiffs had, in fact, been themselves, for sevèral ages, gradually preparing the instruments which were to subvelt theif spiritual empire. Their insolence and their excesses fad disgdsted and adienated their' best friends and wardest partizans, and had excited an universal desire for some' change that should curb their ambit: tion, effect the improverrent of the religious orders, relieve from the thar-

[^0]den of the Hominh ritupal theseditio.

 outciard otession and exercises of their religon. By the time that Lather and-Z winglius appeared in the field against the Roman power, there existed a very general, and, in some places, a very decided disposition to enter into their views of reform, and to aid their exertions to carry them into execution. This fact will sufficiently account for the kind of reception they experienced from those who were the first withesses of their proceedings, as well as for the success, so far exceeding, probably, their own most sanguine expectations, which ultimately crowned their efforts in their honourable but arduous undertaking. For whilst their labours were, in some instances, needed to awaken the spirit of religious inquiry and independence in minds in which it had become torpid and inert under the chilling influence of a long and oppressive spiritual thraldom, it is perfectly evident that, in a great number of other cases, they had little more to do than to encourage its workings, and to direct and apply its energies, where it had already broken its slumbers, and burst forth in active life and vigour.

For some time the visible progress of the Reformation, 80 far as this was manifested by the open renunciation of the authority of the Roman Church, and the institution of a different form of religionas worship and discipline, was restricted to Switzerland, and some districts of Germany. But though its public triumphs were limited to those places, its friends, in other parts of Europe, did not remain passive spectators of the great drama which was then acting. Occasional efforts were made in other quarters, at least by individuals, to break the Roman yoke.. But, owing, perhaps, to the want of union and co-operation among those; who were agreed in their views and object ; owing; too, in all probability, to the want of an active and intrepid leader, like Lather or Zwinglius, to whom all could look with confidence; and, in some cases, owing; no do dibt, to the determined opposifion of the cifil power, and the extreme vigilance of the agents of the Inquisition; their proceedings were
thayed by nowery extemsix on lastWhenf ts to the coighon kuge. Whilft the doctimes and preteasions of the Church of Rome were thus freely canvassed and opposed in Germany and Switzerland, it was scaicely possible that in Italy, where men were placed within a nearer view, and under the more inmediate influence of the system, its follies and excesses should have escaped notice and animadversion. Indeed, at a period long anterior to that which is at present under consideration, we meet with occasional memorials of individuals who had openly impugned the papal authority. Amongst these may be here mentioned Cecco d'Ascoli, who wrote a poem on the Nature of the Universe. Crescimbeni, the historian of the Vernacular Poetry of Italy, calls him Astrologo del Duca di Calavria, "the Astrologer of the Duke of Calabria." He says of him that he was the advocate or defender of emperors, of kings, and of the laws against the clergy and the pope : and states that he was burnt at Florence on the 16th of September, 1327, for " his wicked opinions." * Some other names might be here introduced of persons who are known to have borne a public testimony against the corruptions of the Roman Church; $\dagger$ and there can be no doubt that many more of a similar character

[^1]would wave appeaied in the historg if this piemibi, hat not the extriotulingiy
superiogs by the freedompitints anfinadversionat.
The conspicuous pattwhich wes acted by Jerome Saypuarole, towards the close of the 15th contury might seem to entitle him to be ranked among the early Italian Reformers. But there is finell dificulty in forming any thing like a safisfictutory opinion, concerning his charactet and pretensions, from the very contradictory accounts of his life, which have - been drawn up by his friends and his eriemies. By Cathelics he was considered a turbulent fauatic, who pretended to divine communications and the spirit of prophecy, in order to delude the populace, and dispose them to aid his schemes of sedition against the Florentine government. Protestants, on the contrary, have regarded him as a pious Reformer, and honoured his memory as a martyr. Gabriel Naudé, in bis Apologie des grans hommes accucez de Magie, (Bayle, art. Savonarola, note Li, enumerates the following Protestant testimonies in his fa: vour: " Beza, Vigner, Cappel, du Phestis Mornai, and all the : Lutherans of Germany, generally style Sazunarola in their books, the faithful witness of the truth, the forerunner of the Evangelical Reformation, the scourge of the great Babylon, the sworn enemy of the Roman Antichrist; and to conclude, in one word, with Jessenius a Jessen, the Luther of Italy; and I am surprised they do not call him the John Huss of that country, since they both were put to death in the same manner, were both Heresiarchs, and are both marked with great letters in the Register and Journal of their Martyrs, as appears from the following verses, which they placed under his picture :-

## ' En Monachus solas: rerum borutator acutus, <br> Martyrio ornatus, Savonarola pius.

- Behold the labolide monk, the acute inquirer into thing tit the pious Savonarola, who was honoured with martyrdom.'"

Savonarola was, no doubt, in one respect, " the scourge of the great Babylon, and the sworn enemy of the $\cdot$ Roman Antichrist;" siace, in direct défiance of the Pope's commands, he publicly preached against the doctrines of Popery, and the pretensions of the Roman clergy. But he continued in commanion' with that Church which he so vehemently denounced ad.Antichristian, and wote his monkish habitsto the last. 'TThe circums stances which led to this death are curious: In the fervour of the disputes which his


 transmit to posteriw hime napier ynt opinions of such pertone, to the suots fres that terminated the lives apt consumed the bodies of the authore: That numerous individuads, ineltaty distinguished alike by theirn statigut their talents and their acquirements? viewed with: approbation awhat was transacting on the other side of the Alps, in the early part of the 16 th century, is well known. Some of these acted upon their convictions, and in public discourses, and by their writ: ings, advocated the primciples of the Swiss or the Saxon Reformers. But; in the end, they found all their efforts to be unavailing as to any pefmaneint practical good. and those ${ }^{+}$of them who were fortunate enough to escape the ministers of the Inquisition, sought their personal security in flight and exile.

Among the earliest qutempts to introduce the Reformidin into Italy, must be placed those which were made at Naples, about the year 1655. The merit of being the original mover in these proceedings seems to be justly due to John Valdesius, or Vaddesso,
doctrines had created, a friar of his convent offered to prove their truth, by submitting, in company with any of his adversaries, to the ordeal of fire, not doubting that he should, by an evident miracle, come out of it uninjured. The challenge was accepted $\bar{b} y$ a Franciscan monk. But Savonavola's champion re fusing to enter the fire without being permitted to carry with him the thost, of consecrated wafer, - a proposal which was deemed sacrilegious and' profterter the populace becane inceneed, 中exed Savonarola, and conveyed him to prition. He was afterwards pat to the tortites and being conderaned to dedth, was; conformably to his sentence; strangled and burnt at Florence on the 29 of May; 1498..
*: Antonio Caracciolis (Collectanea Historica de Vita Padil IV: Colon. 1612' 4to. p. 239,) cssigns.a-somewhat eartier origin to the attempts at Reformation at Naples; ascribingcitso the arrival in that city of a body of German' sclajers, ; who had been engaged in the stegelof pome In otherwespects his account adtes wid the: statement given in the text. retici" hoindices, whe witets urbém Neapolim, a Petro ipsos, fox
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a Spanish civilian, who had been for some time attached to the Court of Claarles the Fifth having acted in the capacity of private Secretary to that monarch, and-seceived from him, as a testimony of his approbation and esteem, the horfour of knighthood. In his travels into Germany in the emperor's suite, it is conjectured that he became acquainted with some of the heads of the Reformation, and imbibed their opinions. After quitting Germany, he fixed his residence at Naples, with the view of passing there the remainder of his days in the retirement of private life. Here he devoted his leisure to the prosecution of his religious inquiries, and employed himself in dispensing to others, the light which he had received into his own mind. It appears that in a short period he succeeded in gaining over a considerable number of converts to his new principles; and as the station he had occupied at court led him to mix principally in the first circles, his proselytes were chiefly from this class, and included several individuals of the highest rank and distinction in the place. The persons who had thus become his disciples he is stated to have formed into a society; by which we are, probably, to understand that they occasionally met together for the amicable discussion of religious subjects. For there is no evidence of
lorum Principe fidei documentis institutam, Lutheriana labe inficere studuerunt. Nam primi, Germani equites ad duo mille, et sex millia peditum, qui post direptam Romam es convolaverant, ut Lauthreçum obsidentem repellerent, impii dogmatis, quod Luthero propinante imbiberant, multa et nefaria exempla passim ediderunt. His postea alid amandatis, unus Joannes Valdesius Hispanus, qui anno 1535 Neapolim venit, longe majofem mentium stragem dedit, quam multa illa. Hzreticorym militum millia. Hic enim literis tinctus, iis, qua ad compagrandam eruditi opiuionem satis vulgo 88sent, placido aspectu, quique innocen-橉基, pree se ferret, comitate, suavitaHoquet drmonis, teterrimani impietatem,
 What eq se traxit multos, his artibus - urectist deqepasquat: In his duo fuGre, Eter mathes insigniares, et digno corvo eze Berpucigus Qehinuis et Petrus Marhy Germiliys mabo thereticorum postea At
their having organized themselves into adistinct church for religious worship, or withdrawn themselves from the service of the mass.

A most important accession was made to this little band of Reformers by the conversion of the celebrated Peter Martyr Vermilius, who was afterwards professor of divinity in the University of Oxford. Martyr was a native of Florence, where he was born in the year 1500. At the age of sixteen, he became, unknown to his friends, a monk of the order of St. Augustine, and at the time now under consideration held the office of Principal of the College of St. Peter's at the Altar at Naples. Valdesso had carried with him from Germany some of the works of Luther, Bucer and Zwinglius; these he submitted to the inspection and perusal of Martyr, who yielded to the force of their reasonings, and embraced the principles which they advocated. After Martyr had joined himself to Valdesso's society, he took an active and prominent part in its deliberations; and, indeed, from this period, as may well be supposed from his superior learning and talents, he became the real head and leader of the party. Sonetimes he employed himself in reading lectures on particular portions of the New Testament, which he interpreted in a sense that was at variance with the doctrines of the Church of Rome. Strangers, or persons who were not considered as belonging to the society, were freely admitted to these lectures; and, on some occasions, the reader had to reckon among his auditors many of the nobility, and some of the bishops of the place. On one occasion of this kind, in lecturing on the 13 th and 14th verses of the third chapter of the first Epistle to the Corinthians, wherein the apostle speaks of men's works being to be tried by fire, having combatted the application of the passage by the Church of Rome to the doctrine of purgatory, some of his zealous Catholic hearers took the alarm, and reported their suspicions of his heresy to the public authorities. He was immediately interdicted from proceeding with his lectures; but he turned a deaf ear to the order, and appealed against it to the Court of Rome, where, through the interest of some powerfut friends, he carried his cause
against his aectisers: Martyr tia net remain at Naples long after this event, the climate, it is alleged, not agreeing with his constitution. Having obtained the appointment of prior of a monastery at Lucca, in Trseany, he removed his residence to that eity.* Although Martyr had been active in disseminating the doctrines of the Reformation at Naples, it appears, from his acceptance of this promotion, that he had not wholly withdrawn himself from the communion of the Church of Rome; and we shall see hereafter, that he held an appointment under it for some time longer.

Previously to Martyr's departure from Naples, Bernardinus Ochinus, a capuchin friar, who was deemed the most eloquent preacher of his age, arrived in that city on a preaching mission. Having become acquainted with Martyr and Valdesso, and perused some of the writings of the Reformers which they had placed in his hands, he was led to give up some of his old opinions, and to adopt some of the new tenets, especially in respect to justification. It does not appear, however, that he made, at this period, any open avowal of the change of his sentiments, beyond the circle of his new friends, or took any decisive part in promoting the infant cause.

The proceedings of Valdesso and his associates, owing, probably, to the notoriety they had acquired through the prosecution which was instituted against Martyr, attracted the particular attention, and excited the determined hostility, of the civil magistrate. The Viceroy, Don Pedro di Toledo, issued a severe edict against heretical books; and some of the writings of Erasmus and Melanction were ordered to be publịcly burnt. He suppressed, also, several academies whieh had been formed for the adiancement of learning, under a suspicion that they were subserving the cause of the Reformers. Not satisfied with these violent measures, he next attempted to introduce the Inquisition into Naples, and for this purpose applied, it is said by the

[^2] spatch some of its deptities to to

 ple at larger: They broke oriverith open revolt, and the mest seribus:eonsequences might have ensued hid zot hostilities been terminated by a conciliatory arrangement, apd the abandonment of the design.*.

The measures resorted to by the Viceroy Toledo appear to have answered their purpose, in dispersing Valdesso's society, and suappressing the Reformation in the Neapolttan territory. Most of these whe had joined themselves to the Reformers, when the season of danger came, made their peace and obtained their pardon, by a public recantation. + Some, however, adhered to their principles, and when they could no longer act upon their convictions ${ }^{-}$in their native land, went into voluntary exile to Germany or to Switzerland. This was the noble conduct of two confessors among this little society, who are entitled to honourable mention. The first was laa bella Manricha, $\ddagger$ a lady of a distim-

[^3]guished family at Naples; and the other was Galeazzo Caraccioli, Marquis of Vico, a young and accomplished notleman, who relinquished his title ant his estates, and retired to Geneva, where he became the chief pillar of the Italian Church established in that city.*
(To be continued.)
Brighton, Sik, December 20, 1821.

1$F$ credit is due to the published and unpublished reports of the moral results of M. Fellenberg's Agricultural School, and of that which makes a part of Mr. Owen's establishment at New Lanark, the doctrine of hereditary depravity must be dismissed by all who think facts a better authority than speculations; and every interpretion of revelation erroneous, which makes it speak a language contradicted by human experience. This is one, but not the only reason which makes those philanthropic establishments objeets of most interesting inquiry. If they accomplish all, or in-
which he held her character: " Havendo gia a dare in luce molti sermoni, non gli dedicai ad alcuno particolare : imperoche $r$ 'intento mio era che fusseno letti da tutti, e specialmente da quelli, i quali erano per cavarne maggior frutto, sicome sono gli eletti di Dio: ma hora perche voglio dedicarui questi sermoni della Cena del Signore, e debito mio il mostrare, perche. Nessuno potra giustamente dire che io sia mendace, o adulatore se defalcando molto di quello che io sento di vostra signoria parcamente, e con sobrieta, laudero non voi, ma i doni e le gratie, le quali inverita vi ha concesse Dio, per pura sua bonta e gratia. Quelli che in Italia vi hanno conosciuta, sanno qual sia stata la vostra sapienza, prudenza, e honesta, quanto state stata d'animo generoso $e$ heroico, $e$ quanto habbiate illustrati $i$ vostri con lo splendore delle vostre virtu," \&c. \&c. pp. 3, 4.

* The prescribed limits of this cssay will not admit of detailed biographical notices of the Italian confessors, whom it may be proper to mention in the course of it. Should the Editor of the Repository deem such notices acceptable to his readers, the writer will heteafter furnish him with a supplementary paper or two, comprising brief memoirs of some of the principal persons who distidguished themselves among the Itadian Rexormers.
deed much less than all that has been stated on evidence apparenty unexceptionable, while they afford a suffcient refutation of the orthodox faith, they also point out an effectual and certain way of producing moral excellence, and diffusing religious knowledge with a rapidity, and to an extent, which has hitherto been thought impracticable. I would not be understood to wish that establishments like these should be formed with a particular view to the objects of any religious sect, or be applied as powerful instruments of giving greater impression and currency to any set of religious opinions. On the contrary, a grand advantage which they appear to offer is, that of taking religious instruction, and Christian profession out of the exclusive and prevailing influence of any one Christian sect, established or not established by law. Every friend of truth and of mankind must wish, that if large collections of young persons and children can be placed in circumstances more conducive to moral improvement and Christian knowledge than hàve hitherto existed, no time should be lost and no exertion spared in effecting so great a purpose. As children of every class are now taught and trained, the chances are great against the introduction of just views of God and of man, of duty and of happiness, into the young mind. Prejudices and errors of various kinds are a part, and that part the most inalienable, of their present inheritance; and it is in vain to hope for any sufficient remedy from the present provisions of either public or private education. In public schools the formation of moral character appears to be no part of the design; and in private establishments and domestic education it is highly improbable that the mind should not be exposed to influences, which pervert in different ways the moral and intellectual powers that ought only to be developed. Only experiment can shew that in co-operative societies, formed on Mr. Owen's principles and plans, with such deviations or additions as experience may suggest, the means would be provided of excluding particular prejudices and hurtful infuences, and leading on the rising gerieration, step by step, in the paths of knowledge and of goodness. Let it, however, be supposed, that at
a very tender age the great elementary. truths of religion, and none but these, shall be explaned to them ; that with the progress of years when they have heen prepafed to copsider evidence, the facts of the Christian history shall be laid before them, and every assistance given to them in estimating the authority and interpreting the contents of the books of the Old and New Testament.

In the mean time, habits will have been formed under the inspection and training of proper persons, who never luse sight of them, for on this circumstance the success of the whole plan chiefly depends,) and who, while they inculcate Christian maxims and rules of conduct, accustom them to the habitual application of the great precepts of Christian morality in all their amusements and mutual intercourse, as well as in the hours of business, and in the presence of their. teachers. In the mental training, the principle which should direct the whole process will be, that the knowledge of facts lead on opinions, and that the opinions which they are taught to receive as first principles of knowledge, be such only as are easily resolved into the constituent facts. Thé different branches of instrumental learning, comprehending ancient and modern languages, the elements of pure science, and, as shall be judged expedient or proved useful, agricultural, mechanical and ornamental arts, will variously accompany the mental and moral discipline; and thus a broad and sure basis will be laid for every degree of intellectual attainment and moral excellency. All this appears in speculation to be very practicable in a well-instituted plan of public education, of which a part only, and that perhaps not the most valuable part, is to be accomplished eitlier in the present domestic education, or in the public or private schools which now exist. It is here then that reform, religious, moral, political, ought to begin ; and they will prove themselves the greatest benefactors of mankind, who shall be able to shew by facts, that they have laid the foundation of it in a reformed education. Tine may shew whether the names of Fellenbers, and Owen are to stand first on this hopqurable list. If, lowever, the title shall be happily established
by the results of present experiments, of oue thing we mays il trat, be conf-dent-that there will not be wanting: numbers, who, conceding to them the praise of discovery and projection, will rejoice to co-operate according to their means by pecuniary aids or personal exertions in carrying on so great a work. It is not possible to imagine an object more worthy or more likely to kindle the most active zeal of every enlightened philanthropist, let it only be made to appear a practicable one. If the condition of society is ever to be greatly improved, great improvements and great changes in the general education of mankind must precede and prepare it. Mr. Owen has well remarked, in an Address delivered to the inhabitants of New Lanark on the opening of the institution, that ' 6 the minds of children are now impressed, with false notions, of themselves and of mankind; and instead of being conducted into the plain path leading to. health and to happiness, the utmost pains are taken to compel them to pursue an opposite direction, in which they can attain only inconsistency and error:" "That it must be evident to common observers, that though children may be expeditiously taught by either Dr. Bell's or Mr. Lancaster's system, to read, write, account and sew, yet they may, at the same time, acquire the worst habits, and have. their minds rendered irrational for life :" " That reading and writing are merely instruments lyy which knowledge, either true or false," (truth or crror,) " may be imparted; and when given to children are of little comparative value, unless they are atso taught, how to make a proper use of them:" " That the manner of giving instruction is one thing, the matter or instruction itself another ; and no two objects can be more distinct. The worst manner may be applied to give the best instruction; or the best-manner to give the worst instruẹtion."

May I be allowed to request, Sir, that any of your correspondents in the North, would communicate, through the medium of your valuable Repository, whatever usefal and interesting information they may pospers respecting that branch of Me Owere esta: bhishment whigh is eqploped in the education'of chidren. 1 would soly this favour from yourcorrespopdent

at Leeds inf penteculaf, because several inliabitants of that town have enatbled themselves to give certain information on the subject. It is stated in the ninth Number of the Economist, 'that a deputation was appointed by the township of Leeds, 1819, to visit Mr. Owen's esfablishment at New Landrk, and there to examine into the practical results; that this deputation consisted of Mr. Cawood, a gentleman who then filled the office of Churchwarden; Mr. Oastler, an aged and benevolent character, and a principal leader among the resident Methodists at Leeds; and Mr. Baines, the proprietor of the Leeds Mercury, who is $a$ member of a congregation of Dissenters called Independents; that one of these gentlemen was known, if any thing, to be rather unfavourable to the system he was appointed to examine, and neither of the two others had any bias in its favour; and that, of different political principles and varions religious persuasions, they were well qualified from their previous habits, and pursuits to take a cool and impartial view of the establishment, and to form an accurate judgment upon its merits : that they returned frome the examination to Leeds, full of admiration of scenes of which they had been unable to form any previous coneeption, and especially of the system of training and educating the children, and the happy effects which arose from it:" The Economist adds, © I have had the pleasure of reading Mr. Cawood's private journal, and I do not remember having been ever more deeply affected than by the delight with which that gentleman suffers the feelings of a benevolent heart to run over, as it were, in expressions of affectionate leve' and admiration of the children, and of blessings on their innocent and endearing deportment."

It is also stated, in the same Number, " that the Translator of the two published reports of Mr. Fellenberg's institution at Hofwyl, visited it in the summer of 1819 , and observed, that the conduct, morals and behaviour of eath new pupil were almost immediattely brought to the standard of those previously trained to the rules, habits and intentions of Mr. Felterberg. Int thit seminary for the pich there were' about 100 pitpift of severtil nat chobst mong whom were princes adot
noblemen of tane ous ratics: all of whom; as well as their teacher, were actuated by one commen desire of improvement and anxiety to realize the expectations of their director, whom they loved and reverenced. He proceeded always upon the important principle, that the pleasure of doing well, if it has been enjoyed by the young mind, will be found a stimulus sufficiently strong to excite to great and continued exertion ; and that a child so brought up will always prefer doing well to doing i月."

If these several reports are, in the main, statements of facts, they are facts which point to sacred duties and blessed effects; and it can no longer be a question, in what way man can do the greatest good to man. In anticipating the use that may and that must sooner or later be made of them, and its bright results, we seem to have escaped from a dark and chilling clime, till reminded, that even now a dense cloud of prejudice and illiberality hangs over us, beneath which bigotry or selfism would still be seen, binding up every mind of man in the trammels of established creeds, and, to make the work sure, placing every infant mind under the absolute controul of the clergy.

## JOHN MORELL.

Sir, November 9, 1821.
T has happened to the Sacred Scriptures, in some instances, to be interpreted in a different manner from any other writings, by straining the sense of strong expressions to a greater height and a more universal extent than they were intended to imply. This observation is suggested by considering the passage, quoted by the Apostle Paul; Rom. ${ }^{\text {iii. 10, 11, 12, }}$ with great propriety to his subject, from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{sa}}$. xiv. 1, 2, 3. In this passage the Psalmist speaks of the Jews, among whom, he says, "There is none that doeth good: God looked down from heaven upon the children of men, to see if there were any that did understand, that did seek God. Every one of thein is gone back, they are altogether become filthy, there is none that doeth gadid, no not one."

Howeper thue and just the description is, as to the timhe tuth persons of whom" it' is givest; yet, Ipfestume, it
could notube intehded as a.depeription of the chatacten of pll mankindtet tany. time or under any dispensation: The manner in which somielof these chiaracters is expressed, Where is none righteous; no not one, there is none that understandeth and seeketir after God," is at finst view so general; that persons who have adopted the worst opinion of human nature, and would represent it in its most depraved state, may from hence take occasion to say, that this is absolutely asserted to the full extent of the words, which are universal and without restriction.

But hefore such an opinion of the whole human race, by nature, can be justly deduced from such a passage of Scripture, it should be considered, whether such general expressions are not frequently found gong all writers in a relaxed sense. Such there certainly are, which we understand accordingly, without any difficulty. Is it not then possible this may be the case, nay, will it not be found the probable sense of this very passage? The Psalmist does not speak of human nature itself, or of all mankind as naturally corrupt and utterly indisposed to all good, and continually inclined to evil; but of the habits of wickeduess which men had contracted by their own evil-doings. This is not to be understood of every man then living, as if there were none righteous, no not a single individual. For in"the very Pialm from which these passages are taken, in which David, in such strong colours, describes the wickedness of some, he, at the same time, speaks of the good and virtuous who were then in the nation, in opposition to these vicious persons. There were they" (the workers of iniquity) " in great fear; for God is in the generation of the righteous." Here the righteous are opposed to the wicked, which shews that there were men at that time, and in that nation; to whom the latter character did not belong.
The next part of the descripition, "There is none that uaderstandeth, that seeketh after God," insthe same manner does not imply anydinare than that there were but, comparatively, few that did so. Lecennot be supposed a universph character of ;ath men; without exceptionjinatiagea The pivine Being having revealed: himaself to the Jews, that mevelamon, as wefl as the vol. xivir.


 Gentiles were not without théroth $q$ eti ries after the Sipreme Chuse andedu', perintending Pawer of the univerge. And although they were not so successful in their researches into the nature and perfections of the Divine: Being, as to attain a true understanthing and just conceptions of Grod and the glory due unto his name, but idolatry and superstition in all their forms grew to their greatest excess, and universally prevailed, yet it"appears from the writings of their greatesti and best men, that God was the subu ject of their serious and diligent ingtiories; and some of them had so far understood the subject as to speak of: the Divine existence and character in the most just and sublime manner. And, whieh is to their great henour, men of the most illustrious genias arid: in the highest civil stations in Greece and Rome, when they retired from the forum to their beautiful villas, employed their time in rational and inge-: nious conversations upon this toples? upon the nature, works and providence of God; the laws of nature; the duty, destination and hope of man; and the like important inquiries.

The next part of the sentence, "They are all gone out of the way, they are together become unprofitable," being not such absolute charac-: ters of evil as the other, need not be', taken notice of; but what follows is? of the same exclusive nature of all degrees of good as the two first. Nows this expression, "There is mone that doeth good, no not one," is not I ap-: prehend, intended to set forth the na:ture of man as utterly averse to all good, and destitute of all principle and disposition to do:good in any instance, nor to assert that not one single person among the race of ment doeth good. The Scriptures allow and suppose that there aretpent who do good, who perform acteofikindneesss and beneficence, of vistice natity gowd. ness, and that from good prinetiples and dispositions. And experiencer till testify that it cannot ibe "seid uanivern: sally," there is nendenthat doethe good; no not one."

The trufh, therefore; appears to be that this character, as rwellyas rob former, is not levelted at himany
trane in genarnl am itse pathrait, nor nt the thewidh notionive the series of thetr hisfergy move the Geatile workd, though olient fedm the commonwealth of Istael. And thougle the aceounts, deptovable as they are, might be truly given cencerning many of the Jewish and Gentile nations, and even of Chrisw tiant nations too, yet never without some particular exceptions.. And, indeed, when, in any age of the werld, such universal characters of vice are dawn by the saered writers, or by apy writers, they generadly refer not to all living, but to a certain great namber of profane persons appearing openly in sueh thmes and places.

- The very drawing of suefr characters implies a very great sense of the infuny of them in the breast of him whedraws them, who is, at least, supposed kimself to be an exception; and not ouly an exception, but, by the defestation he expresses of this inometrous depravation, to be a real example of the cortrary virtues.

In the account ble Apostle Paul has given of the vices of the Heathens, in the first chapter, no one can suppose that he meant to charge every masn under the light of nature, with abl that black catalogue of heinouz sins; or that there were not in his estecm, instances, of persons among then innocent of every one of them, and oven commendable for all the comtracy virtues. And in producing these charmaters of Jews from Jewish writers, be, doubtless, (I camot doribt it myself,) intended the sanse exceptionis.

All that I have endeaveured at, is to represent what appears to me the genuine sense and extent of such deactriptions as these in scripture, that to whomsoever they mazy be truly applied, (as, alas! they are tore oftem jwast to far greater numbers at all times than charity and virtre would wiolh) yet they are not to be taken for the genuine and natural portrait of humin nature, and the universal chaxaterciof aft men, exten is very corrupt times and nationse:
; To fondid general doctrinies concerming hamotm natusey, the worle of God, fuoun ; streh: desuriptions of the character of the great multitudeof vicious persons, is injurious to the Divine Bding who formed ues the sewnee of glopomyn thoughte: whick tervify many
gedi ass vist mouk mintas, what it dove ating Irom the tr weaning and decpe ofithe sacred writerst In general the eatimation andajudgneent of the chraracters of ath barticular personis are in the hands of God, who will impute to no mant any evil but what he is truly graility, of whe sees, distiactly, the various degrees of mittue and viee which are in every mind and life; and who will not depreciate or overlook the least good thao iss eultivated and practined by any of his rational creatures.

It is tepugrant to the feelings of every well-disposed mind, form the most shocking ideas of the character of itss nature. The honour of that shoutd be conswited for the honour of its great Aukhor; mad though it be found stained with great imparity, yet, let it ever be held a saered truth, that its depravation wilful, and arises not from the necessity and innpulse of its divine formation, but fom the voluntary abuse and perversion of its faculties.

## JAMES MANNING.

Sir, Bristof, Nou. 1, 1821. F WAS long of opinion that the book of Sob was written by Moses; the arguments of meny former writers appeared to me alnost conclusive upon that head. I have been lately reconsirdering the supbjeet, and think there are many strong reazons to süpport the conchusion, that it was not produced earlier than the Babylonish captivity. Oue of the chief of these is, the machinery which is employed as an imtroctuction to the whote. by the most judicious interpreters, this is admitted to altegorical; the allegory, howevery mugt be derived from the notions entertained by the writery or the wege in whieh the events are: supposed to have taler place, upon such subjects. Now is appears to noo, that if Mases had been either the writer on the cempiler of this purcty theistioul and Enicarian poem, anuet had linown, or believed in the existences of such a potrorfull agent of evil asisiatan in trepe represented to be, he movild sertwhaty hawe intreduced him; Byimame, is the aceount he has given us of the finmodtuction of sin into the wothd s, shis a wetelda, surely, have. Heoflimencesitelligible than puttind danguage intd the meoth of aft arinal who mever haid the power of
speoch. What Meses mediat; twe hime no meate of hnosving lunt from the language he has used; anditrisucertain that he has not given the slightent intimation that the terapter was somae superior being concealed under the form of enerpent. The terva Saten is a mere Hebrew word, and signifies an adversary, an eneng, or ncouser: the first time it oncurs in the Bible is 1 Cheon. sxi. 1 , where he is said to tempt David to number the people; the second and third times are in the book of Job; the furrth time is Psadm cix. 6, where the enemies of that prince are represented as saying, "Set thou a oicked man over king, and let Sutan" (an adversary) "stand at his right hand!' The only nemainiag places in which this word oecurs in the Old Testament are in xike first and second werses of the third chapter of the prophecy of Zechariah. The late period in which this werd was used among the Jews, is an angument against the book of Job being written by Moses; and, in connexion with the manner in which it occurs in the prophecy of Zechariah, whieh wras delivered after the return of the Jews to their own lamd, a presumaptive argument that the said book was not written before the Babylonich captivity, and as it made a part of Ezra's canon, the most probable supposition is, that it was produced during that period.

It is, however, wery possible, that the introductory and concluding ohapters may have been added by some writer, soon after the netura from the Babylonish captivity, or duriag its continuance; and that all the west of this venerable poesn may be as old, or even odder than the cage aft Meses. I am not sufficiently asquainted swith the writings of i laraed dewsito know if ony of them bame: adopted this opinion. If yout shoaidi maent ithese maief thongtits to wrour kabwable wonk, perhaps sonse of your coritspondements will endeavour to thown rome linght upon the subfect; crichoubd thetr be the case it will be yemy noceptalite de in

O H. BIJTGHER
Sin, LTHALAGH I thind with menr
 599 ,) that such questions as thesserinf Liberty and Neotivity are not very

 geat a anode iof defeniding the fretentrin of human egancy; whichy it ithotheony clusive is perkiaps not whiolly indisinat. I think it may be admitted, that the doctrine of Necessity should unot bp affirmed; except its trath could the made absolutefy eertain ; becrause it will hardly be denied, that bad comsequences may be the resultiof it admission. It is plaindy contrary to the received opinions of mankindsyand to those opiaions which, I think, hiave been the basis of the belief of olt mankind in a future stite. Theidise solution of the body of a man was as obvions to the senses of adl men in all ages, as it is to us now; and there could appear to be no sensible difference betwreen such a dissolution sand that of the body of any other animal. How came it then, that an univer sal belief pervaded ad nations, ages, tongues and people, that for mans, and man only, there would be a fature state? Only, think, because man was supposed to be the master of his own actions, and that his conducts whether good or had, was the mesult of avoidable determinations. Nown; the belief of adt mankind, coneerning subjects of their voen conseioussmess, is surely entitled to mast weighty consideration. It is in vain to compane this opinion to thet af men concernliag the rising, setting and motion of sthe sun, since that notion applies notita consciousness, and is a simalar enrer to that of a man whe thienks the treies mave when be is suiting down a wiver. If it shomid thereafteri ine a aliscovered that food does not nourish erseril shanll them think that ia patillicheast is found; for zoen bave atways beliaved thet food sourisbes them; ; and mean they are found to have cerredilin thias. I will stanit that they may hasm semed
 thay have over thought themaselmes gumaciemes. Haxing smade thenternet marks, I quroeged, tat staterchenwayina which 1 thiuk the fraidomitiaf thumana agency may be defominadsyituayts beaningsin mindty thatufutdimasitcterasiontatiles
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facts, and without facts she can do nothing. In her' purest and most conclusive exercise, on mathematical truths, facts are her sine quâ non. With the liberty of human agency, therefore, reason has nothing to do previously to the establishment of the facts of the case. Whence do we collect evidence of the existence of this liberty? Only by consciousness. If, therefore, it exist, it is a mere fact, in the establishment or overthrow of which reason has nothing to do. Othello's occupation is gone. As to the evidence of this freedom, as a fact, to each individual his own consciousness is the first and best evidence, and then the testimony of others, as to their consciousness. Look at this testimony. Is it not nearly universal? The feeling of remorse in men, in all ages, is conclusive. We do not feel remorse because we catch a cold or a fever, though such as are fond of life may feel sorrow on such an occasion; but who does not know that remorse and sorrow are two very different feelings ? It is of the essence of remorse that he who feels it thinks that a different determination, concerning certain actions, was in his power ; and I think every one who reflects upon the nature of remorse must admit this. Dr. Priestley scems to admit that even Necessarians, from former association, feel remorse, but suggests, that a pure Necessarian, acting up to his principles, would feel none; but all his remarks shew, that, even in his opinion, no speculations can destroy the feeling of remorse. So strong and so universal is the consciousness of freedom!

I am well aware of the subtile argument of Jonathan Edwards, that every present volition must either be determined by the existing motives, or by a previous volition; going back in an indissoluble chain of connexion to the first volition. But until we know something more of the human mind, this cannet be admitted to be a demonstration. For why should any volition be determined by motives? The mind in determining is not destitute of consideration; but that motives detarmine it, and net its own agency in the survey of many considerations, ought to be proved. I reject the term motives, as applied to the considerasions under the survey of the human
mind. Motive is something that moves; and to apply it to the considerations in the view of the human mind in action, is to take the very matter in dispute for granted. The imagination immediately plays tricks with the word, and converts the motive into the agent. In point of fact, does not this argument of Mr. Edwards' (far the most powerful assertor of Necessity) take for granted, that we are able to analyze all the operations of the human mind? If it do, I think it is not entitled to oar confidence; and he thought it demonstration, as he entitled the chapter containing it, the impossibility of Free Will. Now it is evident that this assertion implies no less than that we know that it is impossible for God to create a free agent. Do we, indeed, know this ?

Now nothing seems more clear to me than this, that it has been the belief of the freedom of human actions, that has laid the foundation of the belief of a future state in every age and country ; and that this belief alone preserves the expectation of such a state amongst mankind. I say this with the highest respect for the characters and talents of such as are advocates for the opposite doctrine, and leave the reasoning to the judgment of the reader.

## HOMO.

P.S. I do not perceive that the Edinburgh definition of Cause and Effect, as quoted in your last Number, [XVI. 700,] by Dr. Morell, at all affects the subject in dispute; it is, besides, rather a definition of the manner how we obtain the evidence of the existence of Cause and Effect, than of what constitutes Cause and Effect. The dispute about what we call Liberty and Necessity is not at all a merely verbal dispute; but one concerning a most momentous distinction. If the conduct of man be the certain result of his bodily and mental constitution and circumstances, of which he is not the author, the doctrine of Philosophical Necessity is true; if otherwise, it is false. Is this a mere verbal questiont Irconfess, I can conceive of no question that was ever agitated by man, less entitled to the character of a play upon words than this is.

Carsory Remarks on the Fsland Borneo, made during a Residence of nearly Three Years thereon; and during Voyages made to different Places on it, and the neighbouring. Islands.
[Referred to in Unitarian Fund Report, 1821. See Vol. XVI. p. 740.]

EXTENT and geographical situation. -From Tanjong Salatan, S. E. pt. lat. $4^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ South ; to Tanjong Sampan-Mangis, N. pt. lat. $7^{\circ}$ $20^{\prime}$ North; being in diameter, N. and S., 691 miles.

From Point Kanecoongan, in lon. $119^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ East; to Tanjong Apee, in lon. $108^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ East; being in diameter, East and West, 580 miles.

General appearance.-Two chains of mountains, the highest of which are granitic, beginning in the S. E . and S . W. corners of the island, and running generally parallel to the coast, though at a considerable distance, and leaving an alluvial border, containing plains of great extent, and moderately elevated and undulating lands between it and the sea. The Eastern chain is of regular appearance and moderate elevation, increasing as you proceed to the northward; the Western chain begins in insulated hills, chiefly of the table appearance, though some few of a conicäl shape are to be seen amongst it, and it increases in height and regularity of appearance on proceeding to the northward, where the two chains approximate to each other, in an arched form, leaving the vast mountain on the north coast, called Keeney-Balloo, as it were the key of the arch, or rather semi-circle. This mountain is visible at upwards of 100 miles' distance in clear weather, having myself seen it appearing of considerable height at 95 miles' distance. A little to the southward of this mountain, the great river takes its rise, of which the river of Banjer Massin is the second branch in magnitude; that called the Great Dyac River being of pufficient. depth at its entrance, and for upwards of 150 miles inland, to admit ships of any size or burthen. This great: river, on reaching the level lands, divides into three large branches, of which are what is called the River of Banjer Massin and that of the Great and Little Dyacs. This noble river, affording. access to the interior of
this vast island, is navighble covidange boats, nearly 5003 mites from tite - monthyand were the conntry cever to become populous and civilizeds its importance would be enhanced accordingly. Besides this princinal niver there are numerous others falling into the sea all round its coasts; some of which, as the river of Pontiana on the S. W., and Borneo Proper on the N. W. coasts, both, and particularly the last, are much langer than the Thames. Some of the mountains on the N. W. coast are volcanic, but not of a violent description, and earthquakes are rarely felt, and never commit devastation in the manner frequently effected in Java by them. Both hills and valleys are fully wooded, except where spotted by human cultivation. The vegetable productions are numerous and important, though the scantiness of the population renders them, as it were, useless to that population themselves, and also to their neighbours. Among the valuable timber trees are the following : teak, mahogany, manchineel, iron wqod, ebony, lignum-vitæ, blackwood, greenheart, camphor, cedar, sassafras, bliary, a wood nearly incorruptible in any possible situation, and resisting the attacks of the teredo-navalis, or sea worm, for many years. Many dye and medicinal woods also are to be found in abundance; and the botanical productions of the country will amply reward those who shall be enabled to explore its treasures. Thestaple article of vegetable produce for exportation, is pepper, and after it are camphor, rattans, canes, frankincense, lignum, ${ }_{5}$ aloes, the excellent red dye, known in commerce by the name of dragon's-blood, and which is manufactured by boiling the seed-nuts of a peculiar species of rattan or cane. Sugar cane is lagge and plentiful, but only reared for the purpose of eating, or rather sucking, in its-raw state by the natives. Sago is manufactured by them, butito little extent, although the palm abounds: an extensive and valuable pearl-fishery, existing on the north coast, would he of immense advantage, if the pirates were not to harass, and capture the fishermen. Bees? wax is an article:of considerable export, to China and Bengal. The edible bird's nest is foumal in considerable quantities in the eats verns of the mountains; and isnnety
velnable in the Chinese mariset. Coitfee has been tately introduced, and will amply repay its cultivation; indiga clso would become very important wader free and entightened system of government. All the nit merous varieties of fruit, produced in the iskands of the Anelipelagno, are nommon to Berneo. The maugustin aad pomegranate appear, however, to be superior to the same fruit elsewhere. The rivers and coasts abound with fish of many different sorts, most of which are extremely good. The alligator and crocodile are numerous in the rivers, bat ane very little feared by the natives, and, indeed, may be said to be very harmless. Although the neighbouring islands of Java and Sumatra have the tiger in abundance, yet Borneo is perfectly exempt from wild heasts, of any dangerous kinds : a small species of bear is found in it, and the rhinoceros exists in the interior Deer are very numerous, being seen in herds of many thousands. Wild swine ane also extremely numerous : and wibd huffaloes, and almost every species of the monkey, from the orangootan to the amallest species known. Snakes of many kinds exist, but not in very great quantity, and few are of a venomous species. The doubleheadod snake, I have seen a pretty large specimen of, but whether it be a losus nature or otherwise, I am not able to say with any degnee of certainty. Gold is genevally distributed

- thnough the whole extent of the coun. try, and the mining for it affords employment to little short of 100,000 Chimese emigrants, who are constantly coming from and returning to China with their gains. The natives confine thoir searches after this;precious metal to the sands of the rivers in the dry season. The import of Bornean gold into Calcuitta has been for some yoars (previous to the Dutch restoration to the controul of the Malayan Archipelago) upwards of $e^{5} 50,000$ anmaally. The mamal prockroe of the island is yrobably squwards of $\mathbb{X} 500,000$, the chief part of which gaes to. Cihina. Copper has lately been found, and is now wrought in the westem parts of the island. Iron one, of most exseelliant quality, is abuandant, and thought but paotivily manought by the ignorant natives, it would, in the hands of Curo peans, suffiee, to sapply all the Acrein-
petago. Pit coal is in great cobtaladance, and sos neat he ourface to he expesed to the air to a great iextent in several places; Asphalturn or earth oil, which forms so valuablit an article of produce in Pegu, is here in abundance, but quite neglected. Platina is found among the gold, but thrown away by the natives. Though many other valwable mineral productions doubtless exist, yet as being anknown to the natives, I shall close the list with the diamond, which is found in various parts of the country, chielly in that of the Aborigines, in considerable abundance, and of different sizes and water. One of the largest diamonds in the world, weighing 367 carats, is in the possession of the petty Malay Prince of Luceadana.

The population of Borneo consisto of Aborigines, under the names of Pani, Dyac, Ngaju, Idaan, Buguet, \&e., possessing the whole interior of the coustry, and south and nerth-eastern coasts. The Pani are the mont ferocious, devouring the slain, and sometimes some or all of the prisoners after a battle. The Dyac is a step further advanced, or rather less degraded, in intehigence and civilization, and having had coasiderable acquaintance with them, I can speak of their habits with greater degree of certainty than those of any of the others. The Buguet, or Bukit, are timid, and inhabit (as their name implies) the secluded glens of the mountains, and on the appearance of strangers abandon their hnts and hide themselves in the caverns. Salt is exchanged with some of them in the most inaccessible places of the country, for from one half to the whote of its weightr in gold.

The coasts mare mostly inhabited by Malayan, Javanese and Chinge coloniste ; the two former under independent prinees, generally of Arabie, -mish sionary, trader, or pirate extraction. Pontima, on the west coast of the island, and nownepe of the most flowrishing, was fotmed cend im che lent century by wa Arab. pirate, with the exetws of hify Hoet. Daiffar Mamsin was founded loy an expatinated damen prince about five cerituritas ago, and is the most oivilized and poppulars istate on the island, After Bomeo Proper, whieh is chiefy Chasesc, though lus prince be nomomally a dalay. The Nboris
 midulle statite, atra yery active when ever netrobjet is presented to their minds alequate stimmate exertion. Their comiplexios is cepper coloua, but matry of their women approach to a tawny-white. Muich diversity of featare is found among them, from the aqueline and Romani to the flat and Tartarian, thiotygh the latter predominates. Their religious ceremonies consist in praying to a species of kite; (the same bird which is held in ververation by the Hindoos; ; they believe it to be the carrier of their prayers to the spititual beings whom they suppose to superintend the weather and the affairs of men. They judge of the responses by the mote and direction of flight used by the bird when next seent; and by such indications they are guided, and undertake or defer journeys, expeditions, \&c., accordingly. At the death of a notable persor they sacrifice, by beheading; one or more of his slaves of prisoncrs; for the purpose of providing him with attendants in the other world, believing that the good and great (according to their ideas of those quafities) are waited on in the next world by the wieked and the slaves. At the marrage of distingnished individuals, a human head must be brought by the bridegroom to the bride at the door of her house; she receives it into her lap, and catrying it into the house, she has it put into a eage and affixed over the door-way. A baffalo and pig are, however, subbstituted in both these rites in many instances. The heads for this purpose are mostly obtained in the foflowing manner:-A number of the comrates of the bridegroom, sufficient to constitute a gtrong boat's crew, associate whth him, whl go to the mouths of the rivers, \&ec., inhabited by the Muasulmeh; and there hiding themselves among the mangrove woods, they watch for travellers or fishermen, whom, when they espy in parties not striong enough to resist them, they dart out on' and spearifig the people, ornstantiy decapitate the'm and retreat with 47 expeditifin to their own coundery: probably', the injutries comrritted of fluen an each ther becasioned tide introbaretiont of these

 them connafote t $8^{1}$ ketpartiup. It'ts

 seteral of thent princes have efusdaty
 rigines, for the purpose of foretify effes cumetising and converting theifi, thouth not. mitherto with much suecess: "The Aborigfres appear to be a nífo, fotex! ligent race, and 1 therefore toefiete that such practices morild easily faty before the refigion of taniversal bro: therly love. They have feasts at the begiming and end of seed-time and harvest, when they intoxicate thems selves with palto wine, having mits tares of inebriating stibstances infased in it. Polygainy is barely strferew among them, and of course is rather reare and is not reckoned homourable. Thelt women enjoy considerable Vibierty, and are not kept in sach a wretched state as is usually formo to be their lot afrontr savages. They have some comfased notions of a Supreme Being; but they generally consider him as being too great to take cognizance of their ordinary actions. Ifowever; hardly any two of them agree in their tenets on this point. Or asking them, How do you befieve or suppose trits visible world to have been first fortied or produced, and continually hedd up as you see? They answer, How can we tell? We know nothing about it; but we would be glad to know: They have no letters, and tradition is quíte faint, puerile and uncertain amorng them. The Malays and Jatanese are Mussulmen, but fittle bigoted however, and extremely ignoraht, even of the Koran. Litele dificulty would be found in establishint the Christian rellgion among both chasses, if fits professors practised its imorality, and preached onfy its genưne, simple and unadtilterated doctrines. The Trini-tarian-Antichristian' refigion, which at ${ }^{3}$ rogates to itself atone the sacted nante df Christian,' will most assurethy ${ }^{3}$ Heveti sutceed in converting Massfintern " 84
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unity of God, and thereupon observed, that since we were agreed as to the Divine object of reverence, the only difference which existed was the question, Whether Jesus Christ was the last of the prophets, the finisher of the dispensations of God to man, or merely the forerunner of Mahomet? To which I assented; and observed, that we could only come at the solution of that question by comparing their respective doctrines with the attributes of the One Universal Father of all; and that it could never be reconciled to unbquanded love to all his works, that he should authorize one man to destroy another for his (God's) sake, he being abundantly able to do that himself in an instant, and by so doing avoid the evil which must be produced by authorizing man to do that for him, the execution of which must make the world a hell, and mankind devils incarnate. This reasoning appeared to startle him, and he acknowledged that it deserved consideration. I never had an opportunity of seeing him again. Several others whom I had now and then a few words with on these subjects, generally declined entering into an argument on it, assigning their reason to be, that the first question was not whether Mahomet or Jesus was the prophet of God, but whether it was lawful to worship one God, or three or more; and they looked on my assertion that I believed in one only, as a mere bait to draw them into argument, and so declined it. Upon the whole, I apprehend Borneo offers a very favourable appearance for the planting of the Christian religion, which has not yet been preached in its land under any form, except some traditionary efforts of the early Portuguese may be reckoned an exception. A missionary would probably be most useful and successful among the Aborigines; he should on his arrival anong them, profess to be come among them merely for the purpase of teaching them the use of letters and the arts of life, both of which hey are now anxious to acquire. Theys, Would sgon inquire about religon, when twoyild propose that he Sput merelyt tell them what was beWien wit itought, for respectively the; a $/$ grma, held bythe Mahometans gid chytiant whant however, at natit mentioning the napmes of the dif-
ferent religions, and I am fully comvinced that they would embraee Chaistianity ere they knew its names and when once it was established in a few villages, it would rapidly spread over the country, with happiness and civilization in its train. Their present state relative to political government, has in it the rudiments of that best form which mankind have yet devised, or at least hitherto put into execution. Their villages and districts are all independent of each other, and the oldest men of the village select the chief, who frequently is so selected from the same family successively; but that forms no hereditary claim, personal abilities alone deciding the choice. These chiefs lead the warriors to battle, and exercise authority, or rather execute the law or rather custom, according to the decisions of the old men afore-mentioned. They are, in fact, such as the Highland chieftains were, previous to their contamination with the Gothic institutions of feudalism which were established among their neighbours; possessing power of life and death by the general consent of the heads of families, and not claiming any individual right over the persons, lands or property of the tribe or district, his duty being to have a proper division made, and every thing executed for the general good. On occasions of quarrels with their neighbours, they form associations of vil lages more or less numerous according to the nature of the attack by the enemy, or to the power of persuasion possessed by those who are more immediately attacked; and a principal object with the Mussulmen has been to prevent such associations, which would resist their persevering encroachments, or, perhaps, overwheln them entirely.
[To be concluded in the next Number.]
Birmingham, SIR, Committee for supenintend ing the Sunday erevning Lecture in the room belonging to the Sundayschools of the Old Meeting-house in this town, request your insertion of, the follawing sketch of the origin of their institution ${ }_{4}$ : They think it not improbable, that some of your readers may be placed in similar circum.
stances, to swhom it may suggest a plan of mitual improvement, and who may not be disinclined to make use of the experience of a society already existing, in carrying their views into effect.

A Sunday-evening Lecture had been delivered at the Old Meetinghouse during the time that the Rev. Stephen Weaver Browne was minister of the congregation: when, upon his removal to Monkwell Street, London, the Lecture was suspended, a number of the young men connected with the Old and New Meeting congregations and schools, feeling that it had been attended with important religious advantages, formed a plan to continue a Sunday-evening Service until the regular Lecture in the Old Meeting-house should be resumed. The use of the large room belonging to the Old Meeting Sunday-schools having been cheerfully granted, an evening service was immediately commenced. The service, selected from the most approved liturgies and sermons, is read by one of the members of the committee, or by some friend invited by the committee to officiate; the sermon, which any member may select for his appointed evening, being submitted to the approbation of the Committee. This regulation, however, of course cannot take effect when any minister is invited to preach, and the society has aiready had the gratification of engaging the services of its own ministers, who have thus given their sanction to the institution. That its plan is more generally approved, the Committee are happy to infer from the increasing numbers of those who attend the service-the room, which is calculated to hold upwards of 300 persons, having been on some late evenings even inconveniently filled. The use of the room having been granted to the society, the expenses attending the service will be trifling, and a subscription of one shilling per quarter it is estimated will be adequate to the whole. A library for the use of the nembers has been established; and the Committenbeg to add, that they shall feel gyateful for any copies of Sermons that may from time to time be pplished not obly qs forming an addifion to dheir Hotry oput as affording on wherence that ther object
and plan is approved by those who publicly support the cause of vistue and religion.

GEORGE TYNDALL
Secretary.
SIR, Edinburgh, $\quad$ Nov. $7,1821$.

IN a io Nov. 1821. N a note to Southey's Life of Wesley, is the following information as to the tenets held in the latter part of his life, by William Law, the excellent author of the "Serious Call." "The opinions which Law entertained in the latter part of his life were these : That all the attributes of the Almighty are only modifications of his love, and that when in Scripture his wrath, vengeance, 8x., are spoken of, such expressions are only used in condescension to human weakness, by way of adapting the subject of the mysterious workings of God's providence to human capacities. He held, therefore, that God punishes no one. All evil, according to his creed, originates either from matter or from the free will of man; and if there be suffering, it is not that God wills it, but that he permits it for the sake of a greater overbalance of good, that could not otherwise possibly be produced, as the necessary consequence of an inert instrument like matter, and the imperfection of creatures less pure than himself. Upon his system all beings will finally be happy. He utterly rejects the doctrine of the atonement, and ridicules the idea that the offended justice of the one perfect Supreme Being required any satisfaction. He alleges that Paul, when he speaks of redemption, says, God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself. Now he adds, had the Almighty required an atonement, the converse of this proposition would have been the truth, inand
 himself to the world." From turis

 tarianism. This is a name of wheh any class of Christians mo 10 ,







Ofisteat of sating Coid iss jusit, wiae hidd goods it woull bétbetter to say, God is justly and wisely good, which more nearly domedé With the declaration of the' Fipostié; God is love.

T. C. H.




## Clifton,

84: Sitan
Oct. 16, 1821.

1N. the, discharge of, a very painful part of the duties of the ministry, I. have ofter been led to lament the want of a work particularly adapted to be cunt, into the hands of Unitarian Chyistians under the various seasoins af a alliction. The four following Letters are a humble attempt to supply this, want, and should they be thought likely to be, acceptable to your readers, may probably be followed by two or three more on similar subjects. I trust no apology will be needed to those to whom some of them were addressed, for my endeavouring to reader them more extensively useful.

That your work may continue to be consolatary as well as instructive to a large class of readers, is the earnest wish of

GEORGE KENRICK.
${ }^{\text {THe Unitarian }}$ Mourner comforted.

## Letteri.

"A Letter"to a Friend" on the Death of This Son at the Hge of Treenty.

To express my syotpăthy with you
in your tate very severe loss, and to
Contribute to torards "the "restoration of
Your hẻalth ánd "spifits, so anxiously
wished for by your friends, are my hn-
varcenents in takith up my pen to Caddrése yau.
tt"is the peculifar excellence of dur ${ }^{5}$ "religith ${ }^{-1}$ that it'is cald dated" to anford confort to the mournet ; athd "it ${ }^{2}$ has always appeared to the to evince the "Rrjith and yalue of of pechliar views of it, that they enbrace all the com"nón" sources "bf allle fiation "to" our



- eptial To fiave lost E son at so short
- a yornulig dind at a period of tife when Whatent beghy to see in pearer' pios-


 of fratating the dintable disitstition
 With your views of the free gid nopharchased "grace of God"Which bringeth salvation," you can scarcely entertatim a doubt, thit the change will for him be greatly for the better. A parent who considered "a high state' of feligiobs feeling which can be attanited "Ty few, and the applfetion of the blobd of Christ, "tiriong the miffetce of the Spitit, to the cornsternce of each individual, as essethfal réquizites for acceptance with Ubd, must be distiess"ed with' perpetulal' anxiety for the sal
 mitust 'hááe the utmost difficuty in persuading yonself that it is well with Him whin he is remóoved. But lobkThig to the goodness of the fruit as a proof of the excellence of the tree, and regarding feligibuis cotidtict th evincity "the existehe of Felithons prinetpe notritig dan den rive you of
 the hqur of sorrow, "that he "whits taken from you for a sibbrt tithe will be re-unted to you trader happier circuimstances, where no secfifd sepata-

 time "in the "the tyart "tatid" the othier Thas Bottie the Btitthen drid 'heat of the
 samé goridus redatd.

Nraty sserious persbns Iay great stress "upbn deathited repertanceand faith, "and the qying "testition of the Christian to the excelience of religion. But "opportunity tor the ese is siseldotm "afforded. "And" In what "better"way dan the Chiretzantexpress his sense bf the value of retigion, "than hy the liv-
 conforthity of fís cotiduct to "ts dictates ? Ihe'Bést bf us tritst be sensi'Ble of truber8hs' Imp ferfetións in' his condurct, 9hd can clait nothing on
 unipatial Juge d Bat'it is Got heces-
 oparledr frich ds, in ofterer o "entuttain

 twe nowt tioths tor
 tetxble

tures hut smiling with apprghation poor wear hutible eforts to pheate hinn; - Who, so the from heeding is have his fayour toydeds then purt chased or his tard ap effee is ever reáay to betou ypon tan the tichest of his gitts" and whose chastisements are those of a fother, mitenced for the highest good of hit childrent To be the subject of hatred to a Being seated on the throne of Myiversal मature; must indeed bee a source of treadifil fore hodings. Preseat sufferings might then be regarded only as the prelude to more overwhelining affictions to come. Büt whén we remember that the Author of our' sufferings is not at all more powerful that he is good, and that be that "maketh sore"" also "bindeth up," and the same hay th that "woundeth makelt" whole," cheertin serenity and compo y ye take the place of gloom despondepcy Thus the character of the Deity is calcutated to afford us ine haust the sources of consolation, however varied and painfur the affictions of life may be. And m propartion as our mads are inghed with a system of resigigus fath, in whith the mercifulne of of his nature shines without acoud or shadqu, maz we höpe to" be cheered by it in the midst of the depest sorrow.

That yóu may experience much of the confort arisind from these and other reflections with which yoig om? mind will not fail to furnish you, is the earnest 'Wish of.

Degr Sir,
Yours, with sentine ants of reppect pad friendship,

## Letese II.

To a Exiand on the First Anniversary of the Day of kis Wife's Death,



## Mídrar Friend,


 ferspe thto e
 own heath anid the compryor yat family. It must reety conferswor that I am actuated by the bopeg fat wibit 1 am éndeavoury to admunger comfart to anothert t pax Des comed ing myself.
Writh respect to the remoyal of ohe little girl rom this wodd qu gouthe which to allude to a phrase emptozed by the Jews. she seems rather to have r paseef hy" than th have eintrect it is a happy circumstance for 4 shat that although by their ingacent looks and hélpless condition, our infant children endear themselves greatly to us whie living, yet their loss is thot felt 苗 d degree to be at all compared to that in which we suffer on breasion of the removal of those in whose compary we have tasted the rational pleasures of social life.
Yet as the parental heart cannot but have formed some fond anticipations of the coming period when the tongue suspended in silence should, acquire the faculty of expressing the varied emotions of the soul, and the dormant powers of the being made a little'tower than the angels, should awake to all the energy of life-sacred be the tear which is.'shed over the infant's ther. Let no proud philosaphy censure it as vain and uselest no a feoted: plety gon? demp if 2 impious. Let nature $\Phi$ pgak het own language. And let your grief my fuend, be only restraimed within praper bounds by the reffections that he who ereated the infant: object of your tenderpess must at the :Lipe haye willed its good; add; consequentlya will masured ly profide fot it some future scenes of trationd existenec and happinesse in which the end of its beivg may be answered. Whether it betict the pupil of strabaum and Mogeg innd other ancient worthies, ast the kelitef of eonte persans may lemad thempto imagine, 95 the unconsciouss suseceitite of its gacestetts, as othrese:supposest

 hap besm painhty auspentied thera.

[^4]shall be renewed in brighter worlds; and that the happiness awaits you of beholding your charge advancing rapidly in an interminable course of knowledge, piety and virtue.

But it were vain to make the supposition, that you have yet ceased acutely to feel a heavier loss, in which $I$ seem to have a peculiar claim to condole with you. It were useless for us to attempt to conceal from ourselves, that there are wounds which time heals but tardily. Although the anguish of grief be passed, the heart long experiences a vacancy, which inclines us to exclaim with the poet, when he had lost an intimate friend,-
${ }^{6}$ In vain to me the smiling mornings shine,
And reddening Phobus lifts his golden fire,
The birds in vain their amorous descant join,
Or cheerful fields resume their green attire;
These ears, alas! for other notes repine,
A different object do these eyes require,
My lonely anguish melts no heart but mine,
And in my breast th' imperfect joys expire."

Gray.
My own persuasion is, that when we allow our spirits to sink greatly below their level, it is for want of having our minds stayed on that which is the main support of the afflictedthe hope founded on the merciful character of the Deity, and the declarations of the gospel, that the distressing separation is only temporary, and will be succeeded by a happy meeting and an eternal re-union, which will be joyful in an incalculably greater degree, than the separation has been painful. Other aids may be employed with advantage and success when this is se-cured-business, exercise, company, change of scene. But if this main pillar be wanting, every other prop must successively sink under the weight which is laid upon it.

Let it be our business, therefore, my friend, to have this eternal and delightful truth deeply wrought into our minids, that "all that are in their greves shall hear the voice of the Son of maininand they that hear shall live." Instead of attempting to obliterate what is indelible, to forget what cain
never be forgotten, let us look steadily at our real condition as deprived, by the wise dispensation of Providence, for a season, of the society in which our souls delighted, to be prepared for an everlasting abode in the mansions of our Father's house, where not a shade shall intercept the rays of his countenance, not a tear be shed for ourselves or others, no cares for the body interrupt the pursuits and enjoyments of the mind. To be deeply persuaded of this truth, is to enjoy a perpetual feast. When the mind, retiring into itself, can enjoy this transporting prospect, none of the cares and accidents of life can ruffe its serenity. Whatever wound is inflieted, the balm is always at hand: such is the powerful efficacy of the Christian's hope. And it becomes us to place ourselves in those circumstances in which this hope may be most effectually cherished. Adopt whatever methods your own judgment shall direct, for keeping alive in the heart the impression of this rejoicing truth of which the daily business of life is too apt to render us forgetful. If such methods are persevered in, I am persuaded no other traces of sorrow will remain upon our minds, but a certain tenderness of spirit which, while it gives no interruption to our happiness, is highly favourable to the cultivation of devout and benevolent affections. That such may be your happy experience, is the earnest wish and prayer of,

My dear Sir,
Yours, with every sentiment of sympathy and friendship.
[Letters III. and IV. in the next No.]

## Liverpool,

Sir, Dec. 20, 1821. YOUR correspondent, Mr. Rutt, (XVI. 643,) makes some remarks on a pamphlet, by Dr. John Taylor, entitled," The Scripture Account of Prayer," published after his death, in 1761, which he thinks was written under the influence of feelings not exactly in unison with those dísplayed in some of his other productions. That this publication ofiginated under peculiar circumstances seems evident, from remarks made by the learned author, which certainly prove the existence at the time, of sodme misurt
derstanding at least among his brother ministers. In order in some degree to account for certain apparently illiberal expressions in the work before us, as well as to trace the origin of the congregation in Liverpool, refcrred to by Mr. Rutt, in his P.S., it is necessary to go back to the year 1750, about which period a number of the Lancashire Dissenting Ministers formed themselves into a society for the purpose of occasionally meeting together, "in order," as they express it, "to a full, impartial and public inquiry into the state and conduct of public worship, and all affairs of religion amongst the Protestant Dissenters of that part of the kingdom where we reside, and to consult upon and put into execution all methods which shall be judged expedient and conducive to the general advantage and improvement of religion."

Their first meeting was held at Warrington, on the 3rd July, 1750, when several rules were agreed upon for the regulation of meetings, which, it was decided, should take place three times in each year, including the provincial meeting. Certain questions were then proposed for discussion, and among others was the following : -"As Christian societies have a discretionary power of conducting the public forms of their worship in the manner which they apprehend most agreeable to their own circumstances and the general design of the Christian religion, whether public forms might not be introduced amongst the Dissenters with general advantage."

The conversation on the foregoing question took place at Preston, on the 10th September, 1751, thirteen ministers being present, when the result was, that the majority gave it as their opinion,-"That a proper variety of public devotional offices, well drawn up, in no respect to be imposed, and to be altered at any time as circumstances shall require, might be introduced amongst the Dissenters with general advantage."
On this occasion the following minute was made by the Secretary :"In the course of the conversation, one of the ministers took occasion to represent to fie assenibly the light in which the tet Mr Chandler of London, looked hat uhander meetings that he was pleased tid applove of
them, and of the introductorycthet tions that häd beentuebtuty: fedot resolved to open'ra cortespondente with him on these subjects.

The same question agas brought forward for discussion at the próvincial meeting, held át:Manchester, 12th May, 1752, at which thirtyfive ministers were present. The issue was, that a conviction seemed to exifit of the expediency of a public form of Prayer for general use ; and a committee of eight ministers (among whom was Mr. John Brekell, of Liverpool) was appointed " to consider the subject particularly, and to represent the arguments on both sides the question; as fully as possible, as they shall occur in reading or otherwise."

This committee had instructions to meet at Warrington, the second Tuesday in the following September. It was then ordered, "That a letter of thanks be returned to Mr. Chandler's letter, and that he be acquainted with the business appointed for the committee; and that he be desired to give his fullest thoughts on the subject; and that he be pleased to direct us to such farther correspondents as he might judge proper should be applied to."

I have not been able to trace the exact proceedings of this committee, but there is no doubt that a full inquiry into the subject appointed for their consideration took place; and two MSS. which I have perused, written at this time, bear testimony to the earnestness with which the investigation was pursued. One of these was from the pen of Mr. Job Orten, whese assistance was desired. It is of some length, and warmly in opposition to the proposed measure of a Liturgy. About the same period, it is probable, that Mr. Brekell first brought for wand the MS. referred to by Dr. Taylor; (p) 35,) also against a prescribed Form of Prayer, and which never appears to have been published.

The discussion on the gubject of a pubhic Liturgy seemis to have been: a prolonged one, for in he year 1758; Mr. Brekell publisheat his "\% Rimmartes on a Letter to a Dissenting Miniister; concerning the Expediencyatiyntat Forms of Prafide for Public Wheshty
 Rev. Mr Seddont of Thargithati

calasiof: for in 1763 anchanel, was omated in, Taraplercoupt liverpogh, far-the upe of a, nymber of ipdividyals, principally from the congregations of Gaye Straet apd Ben's. Gardep, who had takar up the rapteris aph resolyed oncumiag. a Liturgy. Application had becminade to sereral of the qeighbouring ministers to assist in its conpila tiont, apd, apogeg others, tp, Dr Tavlor, whe declined the overture, giving his reasane in his "Scripture Account of Praper,"? addressed to the Dissenters inc Lapeashize, for opposing what he considered an unauthorized and injurious: innovation, whether in reference to an individual congregation, or to a plas which he inginuates was contemplated, of introducing a Liturgy, genepallys ipto all the congregations. The entire morits, of the case can now only be but imperfectily known, but it is enident that this lengthoned discyssion had no very anqicable tarmination; arad De. Taylor calls upon the body of Dinenters to resist every attempt to fonge upon them any measure not squictly compatible with their religious libertyo. "I had it," says he, (p.72,) "From a primanat band in the affair, - that it was proposed to have a meeting of ministers every seventh year, to review and adjust the orthodoxy of the new Liturgy, and to reform any faulte, therein that might from tippe to tispe appear. This would do, opce for all, in the hands of persons inopised and infallible; buts, as things now are, it will be directly to set yp an anclegiastical juripdictign ampong yout over understanding and con. toippoe, ledgred in the hapds of fallif bla men. Therefores how well ga ever this may suit the apapjition of jang: vatorg, you eanat but be senfible it will subject yon shpuld you consent to it, ta an intolemple yake of long: age. A Bepteanial S yqad of fallible minigters will receive: fram you, or
 sit as judges, to determine ard settle for you mattexp of faithe doctrine and wombip Cam zom figert it? It is the nathaf mand of this wild sobeme. Youmprt

 souttemphonita to tevise apd corcreft



impositiong, which, as Christians, you are bound in conscience to disdanin aid reject $\mathrm{s}^{2}$ and may in time brim you into sprotude to as haughty and; ex travagant a tyranny as ever' appeared in the Christian church."

Notwithsfanding the difference of opinion which prevailed, "A Form of Prayer and a new Collection of Psalms" was compiled, and brought into use in June, 1763, when the Octagon Chapel, Liverpool, was opened for public worship, by Mr, afterwards Dr., Nicholas Clạyton, who had previously been settled at Boston, in Lincolnshire. He remained pastor of this church till its final dissolution in February, 1776, on which occasion he preached a sermon, afterwards published, and which is pronounçed by his friend Mr. Gilbert Wakefield, to be " an excellent composition." During the greater part of the short lived struggle for existence of the society at the Octagon, Dr. Clayton was assisted by Mr. Hezekiah Kirkpatriç, author of a volume of "Serinons on various Subjects, with ay Account of the Principles of Protestant Dissenters, their Mode of Worship, and Forms' of Public Prayer, Baptism and the Lord's Supper published in 1785, Mr. Kirkpatrick afterwards removed to Park-Lane, near Wigan, where he died, 19th Septenber, 1799; in his 61st year.

It does not appear that the Eiturgy which had been used at the Octagon Chapel was eper adopted in any other congregation, though i believe it has formed a part of one or two more recent compilations, particularly that still in use $\frac{1}{2}$ Shrewsbiry, in the yery chapel once ofcupied by Job'Orton' the deterpined opposer of prescribed forms of pyble Prayer.

OA He dedsingution of the society at the Qctagon, popqsals were mqde of the congregation of Ben -Garden Chapel to yoin hewr body which was ageed to, qua Dr. Clíx rop was assochated there os one of the ministers, with the Rev. Robet Lewh ${ }^{\text {NT }}$ On the death of D A Aiking m ecemper, 1780 , br waytpn quecasderf him a




## 

 his age:Soon after tre sociéty at Octagon was broken up the chapel, which was a handsome, sutsitantial builling, was disposed of, and came into the hands of the Establisineert, úndér the denomination of St . Catherine's Church. It thus remained till the close of the year 1819, when it was taken down, by the Corporation of Liverpool, to make way for sôme public ipprovements. On this occasiop, the bodies which had been deposited in the adjoining cémetery, were removed, and among other remains those of Dr. Clayton, to the burial ground then recentIy anuexed to the Unitarian Chapel in Renshaw Street.
With respect to Mr. Brekell's works, a list of them (though a yery inperfect one) may be seen in Watt's Bibliotheca Britannica. Dr. Taylor speaks of him as a learned man. In 1728, he became co-pastor with Mr. Christopher Bassnet, the first minister of Kaye-street Chapel, $\dagger$ Liverpool.

[^5]


is now called St . Matanew? ©hidet, :AEr the witahtish mient.
'Your' corresponitent was hikewise someWhat in error reppecting the forigisial ministêrs of the erongregation afterwands assembling in'Ben's Garden. Litale doubt exists, as to the society having spruag from 'Toxteth-Park Chapel, nean Liverpool, as ne nétiōñed by br. Toulmin; an àncient place of sorite note in the taintas of Nonconfornity. The 'first "pasto' bf the dew chitith ifor ured 'in flivetpoofl, seems to Hifive "Been TMr: Onyistopher 'Richardson, tun ejected 'uffinter, thater the Bartholemew Act in $16 \hat{6} 2$, tommentaHeaton, 'in Yorkshị̆. 'He cahue toubiverpool soon" after the Inrdidenence, "as it was called, of Charles 14., in 1622, " where he preached once a-fortnight, and the intervening day at Toxteth Park. He died in December, 1698 , aged about 80. He was mighty in the Scriptures, being able, on a sudden, to anatyse, expound, and improve any chapter tie read, in the pious families which he inftutd."

 probably preached in the ehapret evected in "Castle-Hey, Liverpool (since called Harrington Street). -His successor there appears to have been Mr. Richard Holt, one of Mr. Frankland's pupins, entered 6th February, 1690-1. Mr. Holt © tinued minister of Castle-Hey Chaper tul his death in 17 15, and was succeeded, in 1717,' by Mr., anterduatus 'Dr, "Ltetiry Winder. This getitutifan liad"beden"educated 'at Dr Dixbo's'Acdelemyni' White-
 Dr: Calebl Rotheramitund 'Dr. 5ohnstayDor. He'afterwairds stadied'/at: IDhbisin, under the eare' of cthe'dearned Mind Hoyse; and succeeded Mr-Edward Rothwell, at Tunlay, near'Wigan, in 1714, 1411227, a darge hew chapel was erected in Hepres Garden, to which: Dr Winder retpoped With his congregifion, where he duxe oth
 "ht laf ge dod vatuthle Mitaryto the



 awdrk catme out, fithetterififluypuit thery fitr
 by Dr. George Benson. Littie la said of Fis theologicat opmions but trax he

 noved to their present place ots

He was succeeded by Mr. Philip Taylor, grandson to Dr. Taylor, who had been his assistant the last two years. In an extract of a letter from the Iatter, now before me, he says, "Mr. Brekell's congregation never distinctly understood what his real sentiments were on doctrinal points, but I judged from his private conversation that he was an Arian. My friend, Dr. Enfield, who, some years after his death, had access to his papers, however, told me that from them he could ascertain him to have been, in fact, a Socinian. He passed with his people as an orthodox man; and from an idea, then very prevalent among free-thinking ministers, he conceived it his duty not to endanger his usefulness among them by shocking their prejudices."

Mr. Brekell, in conjunction with Dr. Enfield, compiled, in 1764, "A Collection of Psalms, proper for Christian Worship, in Three Parts," which, with subsequent additions, was used in both congregations till a very recent period, and was well known under the name of the Liverpool Collection. It contained a few anonymous original compositions by him, but of no remarkable merit.

H. TAYLOR.

1Sir, TAKE the liberty of sending for the Repository a few remarks on a late Sermon of Mr. Belsham's. If the principles of that author were not well known, I should suspect that the discourse alluded to was the composition of some enemy of revelation in disguise. But this cannot be thought of Mr. Belsham, whose talents have ever been pre-eminently employed in promoting the knowledge and supporting the divine authority of the Scriptures, and whose character is an ornament to his profession. His positions are, that the Pentateuch is not the composition of Moses, but a compilation from more ancient documents; that the Jewish lawgiver, in his account of the creation, while unexceptionable as a theologian, so far from being divinely inspired, is only a - retailer of valgar errors. The Jewish 19 bisisa

[^6]nation, from the earliest aged to the present period have, $\mathbf{I}$ believe, thiformly attributed these books to the pen of Moses ; and this testimony is indirectly confirmed by Chirist and his apostles: nor does Mr. B. presume to invalidate the historical testimony to their authenticity. He rather grounds his conclusions on internal evidence alone; but, surely, the internal evidence is decidedly against him. For the same characteristic qualities, the same unvarnished simplicity, the same easy and natural flow of sentiments and language, varying only with the nature of the subject, the same freedom from that fiction and wildness which prevailed in the fabulous ages, the same unity of design and tendency of each succeeding incident to establish that design, namely, the evidence and government of one God;-all these unequivocally mark the Mosaic records, and lead us to consider them as the productions of one and the same author. The style and manner of He rodotus, Thucydides, Xenophon and Aristotle, are sufficiently peculiar ; yet these immortal writers by no means supply a surer criterion of authenticity than can be discovered in the books of Moses. Where, then, is this internal evidence to be discovered? In his account of the creation this divine author first calls God Elohim; in a second stage he styles him Jehovah Elohim; in a third, Jehovah; in a fourth, Elohim again. From these variations Mr. B. infers, that these several stages or portions must have been the writings of different authors. But surely no inference was ever so hasty and unfounded. If these several designations present any difficulty, this is cutting the knot instead of untying it ; a solution unworthy of an enlightened critic. But they do not; and it remains to shew that Moses had an important end to answer by these different appellations. I do not here pretend to be altogether original, but i an not above receiving information when I can get it. Essenus, a treatise on the first three chapters of Genesis, ascribed to Mr. Jones, speaks to this effect :-
"In all languages many words exist which convey, under a plurality of form, a singular sighafictition. Elohim is one of that nuthere, and for this peculiarity wh wisfactory redison can

lute, is never enjoyed by one man without the participation of a few who carry on his administration and form his, court. It is in reference to this circumstance, that in most tongues, a king, though numerically one, is described as if he were many; and in our own country, the use of the pronouns we and our, in the sense of self, is an exclusive prerogative of royalty. Analogy is sufficiently clear to warrant its application to the Almighty, in the relation of a Sovereign. Jehovah himself, indeed, is absolutely one, uncompounded in nature, indivisible into parts or persons ; but he is nevertheless considered as surrounded with those spiritual beings called angels, who constitute his celestial court, and execute his will through boundless space. The term Elohim, therefore, is not improperly used to mean God; but we should remember, that Moses uses it not to express his essence as an infinite being, but his sovereignty, as the creator and governor of the universe; the term, therefore, which comes nearest to the original is Almighty."
The term Elohim only is used in the first chapter, and if the above statement be just, the propriety of it consists in holding forth the Almighty, not only as the Creator, but as Sovereign of the world, presiding over it by his providence, and giving effect to its stated laws by his power and authority. When, in the next chapter, the heavens and the earth are said to be finished, the historian calls God - Jchovah Elohim. Now, Jehovah means a being that is self-existent, eternal and innutable; a being that will be to-morrow what he is to-day, and what he was yesterday. A reader of the Mosaic history, arguing from effects to their causes, might suppose that the Creator then only began to exist when he began to create, or, at least, that some change took place in his being and character, corresponding to the change produced in the new order of things. When the world was destroyed by the deluge, the early Pagan philosophers seemed to have thought that the God who presided over it was himself involved in the universal ruin; and this is the origin of the fable, that Saturn was supplanted by his son Jupiter in the government of: the universe.. In oppovol. xvir.
sition to some cotclusions like these, Moses introduced the term Ieliowdh, and intimates, by the use of it, hat though the heavens and the eapth began to exist, their great Author was then what he had been from all eternity.

In the third chapter, Moses takes up the history of Cain and his descendants, and it is observable, that he dropped altogether the title of Elohim, designating God by that of Jehovah. The omission must have been the effect of design, because it is uniform from beginning to end, and the meaning of Elohim as Sovereign or Governor, unfolds the intention of the historian. Cain, by his wicked conduct, became an alien from God, and Moses, by suppressing the term Elohim, intimates that God was no longer related to Cain as Lawgiver a and King. When again he resumes the narrative of Adam, he resumes also the title of Elokim, shewing by this means that God and Adam sustained towards each other the relation of a monarch and his subject.

These observations will throw some light upon various parts of the Jewish Scriptures, and among the number upon the following: "And God spake unto Moses, and said unto him, 'I am Jehovah, and I revealed myself unto Abraham and unto Isaac and unto Jacob as an Almighty Sovereign; but my name, Jehovah, I did not make known to them.'" Exod. iv. 3. The patriarchs might well know Jehovah to be a title of God, and, indeed, must have known it, because they knew him to be an eternal, unchangeable Being, and because he was so designated in regard to Cain. The meaning of this passage then must be, that God did not reveal, did not designate, himself as their God under that denomination. To them he revealed himself as a sovereign, whose laws they obeyed, whose protection they enjoyed, and to whose promise they looked forward with hope and joy.: If we generalize the words, they imply, that the Almighty holds the relation of a moral Governor only towards those who keep his commandments, while to the sianers who break his laiw' , he is but Jehovah : in other words, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ that he is related to such men merely as the Author of their being, the cause tinf their existence; the very relationdiata
that only which he bears to inert matter, that as sach he will suffer them, as he did Cain and his posterity, to end in destruction and mingle for ever with the mass of inanimate nature.

BEN DAVID.
(To be continued.)

Sir
Manchester, Sir, $\quad$ December 31, 1821. CONTROVERSY is now carried on in this town between the Catholics and orthodox Protestants, which was begun by the Catholic Priest of one of our Catholic chapels, in (as appears to me) a weak and impolitic attack upon the Bible Society. My view in this communication is not to give an account of the combat or the combatants, but to direct the notice of your readers to the following passage, extracted from the priest's second piece in the controversy, concerning Unitarianism.
${ }^{6}$ For my own part, I have ever considered Unitarians, if not the best, at least the most consistent Protestants ; and my reason for considering them so, ia, because they adhere more closely than those of any other denomination to the principle of private judgment. Rejecting the authority of catechisms and creeds, the Unitarian takes the sacred volume into his hands, and, before he opens it, thus argues with himself: This book is given to me by the Almighty; from it, by the means of my own judgment and understanding, I am to gather the truths of salvation. Now I know and feel, that, unlike the animals of the brute creation, I possess within myself a rational soul, which is the very principle of judgment and understanding, and, consequently, I must practise nothing, I must believe nothing, that is not completely conformable to the reason which my Creator has given me. He then opens the sacred pages, and, reading them with the full persuasion that they contain nothing above the standard of his reason, if he meet with any thing that wears the appearance of a mystery, he very justly reduces it to that standard, by adapting it to a sense that is not at variance with his understamding and his judgment. Such is the mode of reasoning which the Unitarian adopts; and such ought to be that of every consistent Protestant." s. Though the Catholic Priest intends
the above remarks'as a menifest re-ductio-ad-absurchern of the Protestant priaciple, with whiesh, in its bearing upon the Unitarian, his evangelical opponents will readily acquiesce, yet, upon the whole, the picture is not drawn with an unfriendly hand, nor much carieatured : and it is a curious circumstance, with which many of your readers may be unacquainted, that not only in the Church of England and Scotland, but also in the Roman Church, there are many disguised Unitarians. From a French geographical work of merit, I extract the following passage :
"The principal Christian sects are: The Unitarians, Socinians, or Antitrinitarians, whose opinions are protected in Transylvania and in Russian Poland: a very great number of Ca tholics, of Lutherans and Calvinists, are secretly attached to this system." Malte-Brun, Geography, I. 579.

The number of adherents affords no presumption in favour of a system. Motives of interest will always sway a fearful proportion of mankind. The great mass of the unlettered and ignorant are deluded by the arts of zealots and enthusiasts-many of them, no doubt, hypocrites. And, perhaps, a still greater proportion of men are indifferent to all systems, and readily embrace, as far as they can be said to embrace, that which is nearest at band. Numbers, therefore, are no criterion of truth. Yet, if there be an instance in which a sect has risen and spread on all sides, without much activity in its partisans, without much party spirit, with scarcely any union and co-operation among its adherents, the members of which eannot possibly be actuated by interested motives, and its chief promoters have been men generally of a studious, retired and unobstrusive character, there exists, I imagine, a strong presumption in its favour. Unitarianism has the advantage of such a powerful presumption.

CRITO.

## Clapton,

Sir, January 1, 1822.

IREQUEST your acceptance of the following remarks which ocuurred to me on reading the last portion of Mr. Fox's M\$S.

Vol. XVI. p. 697, eol: 2. Mr. Chandler "s jurst on the brinls of ma-
trimony.". Neither of his biographers, whom I formerly mentioned, has xecorded the family name of Chandier's wife. Three daughters by this marriage survived their father. One becane the wife of Dr. Harwood, and another died a few years since, having, with equad justice and gratilude, been supported in old age and under strait circumstances by an annuity specially voted, on the recommendation of the venerable Dr. Rees, at the Annual Meetings of the Society for the relief of Dissenting Ministers' Widows, which had owed its origin, in 1748, almost entirely to Dr. Chandler, whose daughter thus happily proved how
"The father's virtues shall befriend his child."
Dr. Towers relates (B. Brit. III. 430) that Dr. Chandler " by the fatal South-Sea scheme, in 1720, lost the whole fortune which he had received with his wife.-His income as a minister being inadequate to his expenses, he engaged in the trade of a bookseller, still continuing to discharge the duties of the pastoral office." I have now before me "The True Grounds and Reasons of the Christian Religion in opposition to the False Ones set forth in a late Book, entitled The Grounds and Reasons, \&c. London, printed for $S$. Chandler, at the Cross Keys in the Poultry, 1725." The publication was anonymous, but probably acknowledged by Chandler when he presented a copy to Archbishop Wake. That Prelate, in a letter from "Lambeth House, Feb. 14, 1725," says, "I cannot but own myself to be surprised, to see so inuch yood learning and just reasoning in a person of your profession; and do think it a pity you should not rather spend your time in writing books than in selling them." (Tbid. 431.) The Archbishop was probably further surprised to tind, at the end of the pamphlet, among" "books printed for, and sold by S . Chandler-Cassiodorii Se natoris Complexiones-Editio altera. Opera et cura Samuelis Chandleri." It was, however, while a bookseller, that Chandler preached those Lectures, first in concert with Lardiner, and afterwards alone, the substance of which formed the principal parts of his pieces against the Deistical Writers. About 1726, on bocoming minister at
the Old Jewry, he appears to have resigned his trade; for the "Vindication of Daniel," published with his name, in 1728, is 's primted for John Gray, at the Cross Keys in the Poultry," probably his imnaediate successor
P. 697, col. 2. "Dear King George -that good and great man. He looked well and smiled upon his people;" on whom he could scarcely have been so ungrateful as to have frowned. On the same day, July 7, this "good and great man," just before he "s smiled upon his people," had " signed the dead warrant against twenty-five of the Preston prisoners in Newgate." Yet sedition was not then so severely punished as we have seen, more recently, in the annals of "the illustrious House;" for a person " convicted of drinking the Pretender's health, and calling King George a Turnip-hougher,", was only " sentenced to pay a fine of forty marks, to be imprisoned for a year, and find sureties for his behaviour for three years." (Nalmon's Chron. Hist. II. 66.)

It is said, I think, by Young, that he " knew a man who lived upon a smile, and well it fed him." This "dear King George" appears to have now left his people to exist on the grateful recollection of a royal smile, without the personal presence of a King, during the next six months, while he was astonishing his Germans with the splendours of a British monarch, in all the gloss of novelty ; for as we read (ibid. 69), it was not till "January 18" following, that " King George arrived at Margate from Holland;" the Parliament having been, in the mean time, prorogued five times, seemingly to accommodate the royal pleasure.
P. 698. You have said all which an editor could say to counteract an unavaidable impression to the prejudice of the letter-writer. The detter, indeed, singly considered, by no means involves his integrity, for it ought to be conceded that a truly ingennous inquirer after truth might find himself, during his progress, it the painful situation which Chandler has described. Nor can it be fairly disputed, that between September 13, the date of this letter? and December in, the day of his ordination according to Secker, (XVI. 572,) Chandler's roligious in-
quiries might have issued in reasonable satisfaction. But how one who, as it appears, (XVI. 570 compared with 572 ,) had for some time accepted the office of a Christian minister, could continue the regular exercise of that office while, respecting both the Jewish and Christian Revelations, and even what is called Natural Religion, he had become a sceptic, on the utmost verge towards unbelief, or, as he expresses himself, "in a perfect wandering and maze," scarcely knowing "what to believe or disbelieve," is, I contess, to me, inexplicable. I wish any of your correspondents could do more than I am able to effect, towards rescuing the memory of such a manas Chandler, from the imputation which this letter, connected with Secker's letters to Mr Fox, to which I have referred, and Chandler's recorded occupations at Peckham, appears to fix on him. I am, indeed, ready to wonder that his friend and correspondent, on a final arrangement of these papers, had not committed this letter to the protection of that purifying element which Sir Henry Wotton not unaptly entitles optimus secretariorum.

I hasten to a more agreeable subject, by sending you a letter, which I know you will readily preserve. I found it only a few days since, on examining some papers connected with the publication of Mr. Wakefield's Memoirs, in 1804, or it would have been offered to the last volume, to follow your notices of the excellent writer. The "two Sermons" which accompanied the Letter, Mr. Howe entitled "The Millenium." (See XV. 722.) My friend, whom he describes as " of Billericay," and with whose arduous trial of Christian consistency, in that situation, I became, from local circumstances, intimately acquainted, will, I trust, excuse me that I have gratified myself by not withholding his name.

To the information contained in a "Letter from London," and which Dr. Toulmin communicated, no doubt most correctly, to Mr. Howe, it is not very easy to give credence. January 11, 1801, Mr. Pitt resigned his appointments, "chiefly because the inveterate prejudices of the crown interfered with his project of Catholic Emancipation, by the assurance of
which, he was understood to have effected the Union. Mr. Addington, since too well-known as Lord Sidmouth, now feebly occupied the vacant seat of the premier, and could scarcely have entertained a hope of succeeding, where his more able patron had utterly failed. Nor, indeed, in the political history of 1801, does there appear to be the least hint of any movement towards Catholic Emancipation.

> J. T. RUTTГ.

Mountfield-House,
Dear Sir, March 12, 1801.
Your letter is so condescending, kind and friendly, that I cannot refrain from expressing to you my sincere thanks. If I lived in Dorchester I should request the favour of you to permit me to visit you at least two or three times a week, and this I should esteem a greater honour, though within the walls of a prison, than an invitation to court. I congratulate you on the near approach of your release from confinement: I wish it could with propriety be said, restoration to perfect liberty. But if the same system be pursued, on which our rulers have acted for some years past, English liberty, prosperity and happiness are vox et praterea nihil. In the present melancholy state of the nation, however, and under the apprehension of greater calamities than we have yet experienced, it is consoling to look with the eye of Christian faith, to that gracious Providence, which is continually bringing light out of darkness, order out of apparent confusion, and good out of evil. Inspired prophecy teaches us to hope for a better state of things for mankind even in this world, and though it be the lot of the present generation to share in the evils which are introductory to it, benevolence rejoices in the prospect of the happincss which awaits future generations. I sometimes direct the views of my people to the age of truth, peace, liberty and righteousness, as a motive for animation to duty, and support under any afflictive scenes to which Christian integrity may expose us. This I did on the 5 th of November and the beginning of this year. The candour of my kind and affectionate friends dictated the
request, which has produced the publication of these two sermons. The subjects of them are certainly important and interesting, and I have only to regret my not having done more juxtice to them.

You know the character of Mr. Fry of Billericay, and the noble sacrifice he made to his convictions of Christian truth. He made us a visit in October last, and preached at Bridport two or three times with great acceptance. Some of my friends requested him to publish the sermon which I have inclosed, a parcel of which I did not receive till yesterday. You will perceive that he understands the subject of religious liberty; and I wish every one who may be disposed to censure him for the change of his sentiments from Calvinism to Unitarianism, and his open avowal of this change, would read this discourse with attention. He would have done himself the pleasure of paying his personal respects to you, had he returned through Dorchester.

It seems as if there was a scheme in agitation among our great men, to emancipate the Catholics, without granting any relief to the Protestant Dissenters. This I conclude from a letter I received last week from our good friend Dr. Toulmin. The following is an extract:
"A letter from London this week informs me, that endeavours are using by those in power, to prevail with British Dissenters to let the Catholic emancipation take place, without putting in their claims to equal freedom from the disabilities they are under, by the Corporation and Test Acts. Some classes who have been applied to, are said to have promised to be as quiet as government wishes them to be."

Who these tame Dissenters are, the Rev. Mr. Marten I suppose, and the other receivers and distributers of the regium donum money, could inform us. Surely they can be none who have any thing of the spirit of the Old Noncons. What shall we live to see in this age of wonders!

I beg your pardon for intruding so much on your time. I intended to have written but a few lines when I begun, but have been carried on insensibly from one thing to another. Mrs. Wakefield and the family are $I$ hope
well. Mr. Fawcett joins in kind remembrance to you and them, with Dear Sir,
Yours most respectfully, THOS. HOWE.

The Rev. G. Wakefield.

Sir,

TTHERE has just fallen into my. hands, "The Book of Common Prayer, \&c., by the Hon. Sir John Bayley, Knight, one of the Judges of his Majesty's Court of King's Bench," a handsome 8 vo . volume, printed in the year 1816; and I have been much pleased at the piety which the learned Judge displays, but astonished at the ultra-orthodox doctrines which he lays down, as if from the Bench. His comment upon the first verse of the Book of Genesis, is as follows, p. 483: "The word here and in other parts of this chapter translated ' God' is a plural noun and yet is followed by a verb singular ; so that Moses probably understood, that under the term'God,' more than one Existence or Being was included, and yet that those Existences or Beings were so united, that they might properly be considered as only One. God is a Spirit, John iv. 24, without flesh, or blood, or body, or any thing tangible (see lst of 39 articles), of infinite wisdom and goodness, always knowing what is best and always willing what is best. And as men only disagree when, from the imperfection of their nature, they are not wise enough to know what is best or not good enough to will it ; so, from the perfection of the Divine nature, the Beings or Existences which partake of it, from always knowing what is best and always willing it, must necessarily in all instances be unanimous, or of one mind. Though each is capable of thinking for himself, judging for himself, and acting for himself, yet each must, from the consummate perfection of their natures, come to the same conclusion with the others; and upon every point on which there can be deliberation or judgment, they must inevitably be one in mind. The doctrine, then, of our church, ' that the Father is God, the Son God, and the Holy Ghost God, and yet that they are not three Gods but one God," may easily be understood. Each is a distinct Existence or Being; each ${ }^{\text {equ- }}$ pable of thinking, judging and teting
for himself; but each so perfect in wisdom and goodness, that whatever one thinks best all must think best ; whatever one wills all must will : in no possible case can there be any difference between them, but in every possible case they must be 'of one mind.'"

For this tritheistic doctrine which the University of Oxford has heretofore pronounced heretical, the Judge refers to Dr. Hales, and, with a propriety which is evident enough, he refers to him also in the sentence immediately following, for "instances of the doctrine of a Trinity amongst Pagans."

Christianity is said to be "part and parcel of the law of the land,', and if so, a Judge may be following his vocation in commenting upon the Athanasian Creed; but I cannot help thinking, that Sir J. Bayley would never have acquired so high a reputation as he possesses, I doubt not justly, if he had not given proofs of more learning, more research and more sound judgment on points of law than he has here displayed in controversial theology.

## A GOSPELLER.

Vir, $\begin{gathered}\text { January 2, } 1822 . \\ \text { objects of the the British and }\end{gathered}$ Foreign Bible Society, in attending to its proceedings, it has long been no surprise, though matter of real concern, to observe the movers and seconders of the set of motions prepared for its meetings or those of its auxiliaries, so far forget its fundamental principle of Protestant Catholicism, as to advocate not so much the diffusion of the sacred writings without note or comment, as to avail themselves of these opportunities to inculcate their own peculiar and sometimes narrow and unworthy views of the doctrines they teach.

These instances of departure from the principle upon which these meetings are professedly held, may be considered, as the errors of individuals for which the society are not, strictly speaking, responsible. Yet is it obvious, that those persons are generally some of its most prominent and osterisible agents, on whom almost the whole public management of its concerns depends.

The rapid succession of speakers
also usually precludes any observation being made, if the chairman neglects to call such persons ta order, even by those who strongly feel the improprity and irregularity of introducing such topics in the hallowed temple of a Bible Meeting, consecrated to harmony and Christian benevolence. Is it too much to expect these effusions of a zeal not according to knowledge, to be suspended till the next Sunday; when a more fair occasion may occur of defending any of these favourite tenets of reputed orthodoxy at full length, where none dare contradict the preacher, whoever may happen to be present holding sentiments contrary to his own? The temptation seems, however, with a certain class of persons, both clergymen of the Established Church, Dissenting Ministers and zealous Laymen of different persuasions, too strong to be resisted, of a large assembly, known to consist of persons of widely different sentiments, not to avail themselves of it, for the promotion of some leading points of their respective systems of doctrine, instead of the avowed object of the meeting.

Even where direct argument is waved, the sole right to the very name of Christian, has been sometimes claimed or insinuated to belong to those only who hold certain doctrines, although the speakers well know that there are, or probably may be, others present who consider them as only resting on the inventions or commandments of men, and having no foundation in the pure records of revelation.

An unwillingness to contribute still farther to a deviation from the proper business of a Bible Meeting, has restrained myself and others from appealing to the chairman on such occasions. For if the matter be not at once admitted to be out of order, whether it be or not, must of course be discussed; and in whatever way the point be determined, the time thus occupied is so much taken from the proper business of the meeting.

For several years I hoped these breaches of charity at Bible Meetings were on the decline, but from hearing some recentspeeches, and reading the reports of others, I fear that is not the case. Yet the continuance, or the increase of this sectarian spirit in
public speeches quily, might not, perhaps, have induced me to call the attention of your readers, to these effusions of an over-heated zeal.

At length, a well-known tenet of Calvin's, which many serious Christians cannot admit to be well-founded, scriptural, or honourable to the moral character of God, has been embodied in the report to the ninth anniversary of the City of London Auxiliary Bible Society, held at the Egyptian-hall, at the Mansion-house, London, on November Ist last, the late Lord Mayor in the chair, which was "approved and adopted" by the meeting, on the motion of the Earl of Rocksavage.

This is much more directly to inplicate the meeting, and indeed the parent society, than the expression of similar sentiments in the speeches of indivituals, for which a Bible Meeting are not so expressly responsible. The report, as stated in the Times and the Evening Mail, after quoting Eceles. ix. 10 , says, "This appeal is loud and imperative, and it acquires fresh force, whether we turn to the particular circumstances of our own country, or to the state of the world at large. Even if every inhabitant of the British Empire possessed a copy of the Bible, still the appeal would be loud and imperative; for there are, probably, not less than $500,000,000$ of accountable, perishing, sinful, but immortal beings, who never heard of a revelation from God.
"If the Bible be the pure source of light to the ignorant, of strength to the weak, of comfort to the dirtressed, of hope to the guilty, of relief to the dying; how deplorable is the privation of those who cannot procure 'that book!'—— privation the korrors of which cannot be duly estimated in time, and the effects of which will endure through eternity. Can this appeal for perishing millions be presented to Christian charity in vain?"

What a "depler"able" picture is this! "The horrors of whoch," its delineators describe as exceeding human "estimate," that is, inconceivably great, and of eternal duration. And according to them, why are these ever-during punishments inflicted? Because its umhappy objects "s never heard of a revelation 'from God;" because they could not procure the

Acriptures. This naight be their mise fortune, but could not be a crime, nor subject them to such pusishment by a God of mercy and goodness, the impartial parent and moral governor and judge of his rational offspring, the haman race.

How-different was the doctrine of Jesus of Nazareth, our Lord and Saviour, who assured us, Luke xii. 47, 48, "That the servant who knew his master's will, and prepared not himself, nor did according to his will, shall be beaten with many stripes; but he who knew it not, and committed things worthy of stripes, shall be beaten with few stripes. And to whomsoever much hath been given, of him much shall be required." According to this equitable doctrine of universal application, punishment is to consist of "many stripes" for those transgressors who were best acquainted with the Divine will, and of "few stripes", only for those who " knew it not" by any special revelation, but nevertheless "committed things worthy of stripes."

BEREUS.

[^7][The following letter was addressed by the respectable writer to Mr. Macaulay, the supposed editor of the "Christian Observer," in consequence of some reflections in that work, in the Nos. for June, July and August, 1820, on the departure of the Genevese clergy from the assumed orthodox faith. In a private letter to us, M. Cheneviere says, that the Christian Observer has not done him the justiee to insert his communication, and he requests that it may appear on our pages. We cheerfully comply with his wish, and as the Freach language is so generally understood we insert it without translation. The English Unitarian will rejoice to see that Ge neva still claims the precedence in the reformation of the charch, and that the claim is so well sustained by the learning, talents and Christian courage of her pastors and professors. En. ${ }^{\text {. }}$.'

A Mr. Maouulay Redacteur du Chris- tian Observer.

## Avec une lettre d'envoi.

0N lit dans le Christian Observer, Juin, Juillet et Août 1820, une analyse critique des sermons de Mr. Cellerier, sur laquelle il y auroit beaucoup d'observations a faire.
Le rédacteur de ces articles, au lieu de se considérer comme un juge impartial qui voit les objets du haut, et qui embrasse l'ensemble du sujet dont il rend compte, s' est placé dans la position d'un homme dominé par une idée particulière et chère, qu' il a besoin de retrouver par tout et sans laquelle tous les objets lui semblent décolorés. Il parait n' avoir lu les sermons dont il fait 1 ' eloge que dans l'espoir d'y rencontrer l'egalité du Fils avec le Père et l'imputation du péché d'Adam. Il en résulte qu'il est conduit à mettre au premier rang de $l^{\prime}$ intéressant recueil de sermons dont il croit faire l'analyse plusieurs de ceux dont le mérite est moindre, et il ne fait qu' indiquer, ou passe sous silence, quelques uns de ceux qui seront de vrais titres de gloire pour l'auteur. Ce qui fera vivre Mr. Cellérier dans la mémoire de nos neveux, c'est un heureux développement des scènes de la vie, ce sont des détails fidèles, simples et nobles, $c^{\prime}$ 'est un stile à la fois élégant et naturel, $c^{\prime}$ 'est une onction touchante jointe à une diction pleine de grâces, $\boldsymbol{c}$ 'est une morale douce et une aimable sensibilité. Je ne crains pas d'avancer que les sermons que le rédacteur loue avec le plus de chaleur et d'enthousiasme sont ceux qui de tous ont le moins de mérite sous tous les rapports, et je ne serais pas embarassé à le prouver. Le rédacteur s' est il occupé de l'art difficile de la chaire? On ne le dirait pas; surtout quand on le voit mettre en seconde ligne les discours familiers du même Pasteur à ses paroissiens, et ne dire que peu de mots de ce volume bien plus original, bien plus distingué que la plupart des autres, et qu'imprime a son auteur un cachet tresparticulier.

Cette manière de juger un ensemble sous un seul point de vue, rappelle un voyageur Catholique et dévot qui n' avait retenu de son séjour à Rome que le nombre des couvens et des.
moines, dont la ville, selon lui, était ornée.

Cependant, en communiquant ses idées, le rédacteur faisait usage d' un droit incontestable, et s'il s'était borné à louer Mr. Cellérier, on n'aurait point songé à lui répondre. Mais, semblable à un grand nombre de ses compatriotes, il a l'air d'accomplir un voeu en attaquant Genève sans mesure et sans fidélité. Il exalte son héros, non seulement en louant un mérite que tout le monde se plait a reconnaître, mais il le représente comme à-pen-près seul debout au milieu d'un clerge tombé. Il dit avoir habité Geneve, alors il est facile de concevoir où il a puisé ses renseignemens. Ce n'est pas à Jaques II. qu'il faut demander à tracer le caractère de Guillaume d' Orange.

Si l' on se contentait de blâmer le clergé de Genève de ne pas suivre en tout point les opinions de Calvin, on serait dans les termes de la vérité; mais je ne sache pas qu' aucun homme raisonnable, qu'aucun Reformé ait le droit de se plaindre de ce fait; il lui est bien permis de s' en affliger pour sa part, s' il regarde Calvin comme un docteur infaillible, comme un pape éternel, dont les décrets sont sans appel. Mais il n'y a pas là de quoi baser une accusation soutenable. Honneur au génie de Calvin, reconnoissance à ce grand homme de la part des tous les Génevois. Mais que Yon suive aveuglement tous ses principes, que l'on adopte toutes ses idées, que l'on jure in sua verba, c'est ce qu'il n'exigea jamais, c'est un servage qu'il repousserait avec dignité, peutêtre avec indignation. Le principe d' examen dont il se montre le vaillant défenseur, proteste perpétuellement contre cette prétention de ses adeptes. Aussi les ennemis du clergé de Genève, ont l'air de comprendre la faiblesse, je dirai la puêrilité de cette inculpation, et ils font impression sur. les personnes pieuses en attaquant notre foi à la redemption, ce gage de notre salut. Ecoutez le rédacteur des articles que nous examinons, tui dont le ton est beaucoup plus décent que celui de la plupart des croisés contre Genève. Il dit à l'occasion de Mr. Cellérier préchant sur la rédemption, Luc i. 68, 69, ${ }^{\text {er }}$ Sermon du Tome III': "Vivant dans un siecle et dans
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un pays pal peedifit d' une faust philo-
 a dédaigher le geand detuve de la pédemption, Mr. Cellérier parbit avoir rivement senti quall me portuait en prouver pa neeessité d'nąe manière plus vietoriense et plus frappente que par un appel a là cornditlot naturelle et aux bespoins de I'homme."
C'est actuser ctairement $1^{\prime 2}$ Eglise de Genève de dédaigner le grandiocture de la rédemption.....Or, if est impardonnable $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { un auteur de donner de }\end{gathered}$ fansest idées de la foi d' une église entière, sur des points aussi importans et aussi disertement enseignes dans pEeriture que celui de la rédemption par Jesus-Clirist. Que ce soit légèrete, prévention, lemorance, la faute est bien grave. C ${ }^{\text {e }}$ est montrer par le fait peu d'amour pour les préceptes da Sauveur que dpautre part on se glorifie de révérer et de suivre presque exclusivement.
Il n' était pas difficile au rédacteur de se mieux instruire et de rectifier son erreur, et il pouvait consulter la liturgie de cette Eghise qu'il attaque, je dirai, avec cruaute; ; if y aurait vu partont le dogme de la redemption exalte et bémi. En voici quelques exemples: Liturgie de l'Eglise de Genève, dernière éditior de 1807, p. 14 :
"Sous te benessons de ce que to nous as donné ton Fils pour faire 1 ' 'euvre de notre rédemption, \&cc.2 P. 20 : "Use envers nous de cette clémence dont la inort que Jésus-Christ a soufferte est un gage si précieux." P. 34: "Eetaire nos esprits afin que nous puissions bien comprendre tout ce que tu as fait pour nous, quelle est la grandeur de ton amour, quelle est la graxdeur de notre rédemption, quelles sont les richesses de $1^{\circ}$ héritage que to nous destines, \&c." P. 39 :. "Seigneur Dien, qui par un effet de ton infinie misericorde as envoye ton Fils au monde, afin que quiconque croiradt en lai, ne périt point, mais: qun il êtr la nie eternelle, tais que 2. . nơus tachions de répondre auir but' de sa venne,' qưi eat de detruite en thous le péche' et de nous faire virre dutus la justitce. . $q$ que Yon poie ent nous', les thêmes sentimens $d^{*}$ hutmititite, de clliritte, de doucear et de patilience gut ex dryin Sait-
 atociase huir thenter bet qu'in mest renda
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 tons Fils, dans P' ceuvre' dé ne netatipe tion da ${ }^{2}$ genre humaiti the tothe tes perfections se sont hatute mefit. Atzf festées... . . Tu jetas alors du litatrequ ton trone un regard de dompassiof trait les coupables niottefs. Tón Físís beffy aimé, ta plus parfaite image, descéfatat du séjour de La gigitire potith les sauter vécut au willéu de eux dans la Basseste et moutat datis 1 opprobre et dath les tourmens.....Ta as tant aime 'e modhe que de donner ton Fits maque that monde." P. 61 : "Nous recotitions cette alliance de gráce que tot as diten voulu traiter avec nous par Jestit Christ notre Sauveur et qu'il a ratifted par son sang:" P. 68 : "'Toi quif" as envoye ton Fils au monde, afti queq monde soit sauve par lui, au nout te ce Sauveur charitabte, pardonne zon peaple qui a péché contre toi. ${ }^{30}$ Q.7\% "Avec quel eclat ne brillent pas ces glorieusez perfections, surtott 'tor infinie miséricorde dans 1 ourrage HE notre rédemption! Tu as entroyé ton Fils au monde pour être la himitere ${ }^{\text {et }}$ le satut du monde. . . 11 s' est fivte ta la mort, lai juste pour nous infjustef afin de sceller de son sang la verité qu'il avait enseignée, et son saing precieux nons a obtenu la rémistian de nos péchés, nous a reconciliés avéc toi, nous a ouvert un libre acces au trồne de ta grice, \&c." Est-ce la, "Ie le demande, le langage deme Edise qui dédaigne ' 'oeuvre de la rédénifytion? Et cependant je $n$ ail rien dit du service liturgique pour te jour de h passion, qui est un hymine de' recort noissance et de bénédiction pour ceet inestimable bienfait.

Le rédacteur porvait consulter Fe catéchisme de $\mathbf{P}$ Eglise de Genève, et il se serait convaincu de là légerée coupable de son ineulpation. Ed. . 1802, p. 76 :
D. Quelle est la doctrine de rryernture sainte sur l 'efficare de paingot de Jésus-Chirist?
R. Nous y voyons 4 uje Diell Etant dispose par son infinie mute cricoble, a faíre grace aux homme pécheid of
 taire de Jesus-Chyte bpo the to
 tous lés age es pat toue des hpinute comme rundut thorffor aqpaly tache sa graice et le pariton des peecees.

## 3t Letter from Mrons. J.J. Chenevidres Pastor and Prgfegsor, at Geneva,

D. Les prophetes avaient-ils annenee la mott dŭ Messie sous Vidée d'un sacrifice?
R. Ouio Esaie avait dit: Il a été mavre pour nos forfaits, froissé pour n9 ${ }^{s}$ iniquitẻs, $c^{\prime}$ est par ses meurtrisspres que nous avons été guéris, il à mis son ame en oblation pour le péché.
D. Rapportez quelques endroits du N. T. où il est parlé de la mort de J.-Christ comme d' un sacrifice ?
R. Tous ceux qui croient, dit $S$. Paul, sont justifiés gratuitement par un effet de la miséricorde de Dieu, qui nous a rachetés par Jésus-Christ, qu'il avait destiné à être par le moyen de la foi, une victime d'expiation. Nous avons la rédemption de son sang, savoir la rémission de nos péchés suivant les richesses de la grâce de Dieu. Jésus-Christ est la victime, qui a expié nos péchés, non seulement les nôtres, mais aussi ceux de tout le monde.
D. Comment est-ce que J.-Christ parle lui même de sa mort?
R. Le fils de 1 'homme est venu donner sa vie pour la rançon de plusieurs. Mon sang, le sang de la nouvelle alliance, sera répandu pour 1a rémission des pêchês.
D. Quel avantage nous revient-il de la mort de J.-Clirist envisagee comine sacrifice?
R. C'est que tous les pécheurs vraiment repentans et qui croient en J.-Christ, sont par là pleinement assurés de la rémission de leurs péchés et de leur paix avec Dieu. Il n'y a maintenant aucune condamnation pour ceux qui sont en Jésus-Christ, qui ne marchent plus selon la chair, mais selon l'Esprit.-Je ne cite pas plusieurs autres endroits dans lesquels la même doctrine est professée. Estce là le langage d'une. Eglise qui dédaigne, et veut par conséquent faire dédaigner aux enfans qu'elle instruit, l'œuvre de la rédemption?

Je passe sous silence beaucoup de témoignages pour éviter trop de redites, mais le rédacteur aurait pu voir comment les prédicateurs de ${ }^{F}$ Eglise de Genève, dont les sermons ont eté le plus récemment imprimés-M. M. Mouchon et Jean Le Cointe parlent de 1. ouvre de la rédemption, et il aurait appris ce qu'il ignore; car son igporance est la seule supposition que la charite permette de recevoir.

Le premier, 1 re, yolume de ses ser. mons, Dieu manifeste par d Christ, lexprime ainsi, p. 152: : La justice arme son bras vengeur, la miséricorde en suspend les coups: comment faire éclater sa miséricorde, sans blesser ici la justice? Mais, Chrétiens, la sagease du Créateur dans ses trésors inépuisables va trouver ce moyen. Il revett son Fils unique, son Fils brulant comme lui de charité, il le revêt d' une chair infirme et inortelle. Il sera livré à la mort par ceux même qu'il vient sauver mais par cette mort, le crime est puni, la justice appaisée, le coupable échappe, la charite triomphe. Mystere adorable d'un Dieu qui se plait à répandre la félicité! Voilà ce que lui même a daigné nous découvrir, tout le reste est impénétrablé; c'est un abime dans lequel il n'appartient pas même aux anges de sonder jưqu' au fond. Contentons-nous d'en admirer la grandeur, d'en respecter les ténèbres et de nous écrier: O profondeurs des trésors de la sagesse et de la connoissance de Dieu! Que ses jugemens sont impénétrables et ses voies difficiles à sonder!?

Voici quelques traits des sentimens de M. Le Cointe dans son sermon sur Jésus chef et consummateur de la foi, pp. 194, 195 :
"Le dévouement volontaire, la mort ignominieuse de Jésus-Christ n'a pas été un bienfait borné à une famille, à un peuple, à un siecle particulier, elle assure la rémission des péchés à tous les hommes; elle ässure le pardon pour toutes les fautes, il $n$ ' en est aucune que son sacrifice n' efface.... La terre étoit frappée de malédiction; et par lui les voies de bénédictions descendent. L'homme était exclus du ciel et de la félicité et son sacrifice ouvre les portes du ciel et de la felicité... De quel prix serait pour nous la vie, si apres avair éte traversée par les afflictions di tems, elle devait être malhẹureuse dans l'Eternité? Ah Voila le triomphe de la charité de Jésus 1 nos péchés qui dévaient armer sa vengeance, $n^{\prime}$ ont fait qu' interesper sa misericorde et son apopur, il a dé livré les captifs de leyrs chains, it a annonce la paix à ceux qui, étaient loin, comme à ceux qui étaient pres il a expie nos peches et ceux de tout le monde. O pharitedine if able charite de mon divin Sapuvent quel ceprit
pent te confireadre: quelle Bouche peut te celebter dighencht! Non les cieux ne'sont pas atissi étevés atídessǘs de la terré, que ta difection est grande envers les fils des hommes. De ta plênitude nous avons reçu grâces sur grâces."

Comment se fait-il done que tant d'Anglais accusent 1 Eglise de Geneve de dédaigner l'cuure de la rédenhption? Les uns ne se sont instruits des faits que dáns les libelles de ses nintagonistes, or tous sont exagerés, plusieurs inexacts, quelques uns caloø̣nieux. Lès autres en venant à Genève, n' ont vu que les ennemis de la vénérable compagnie et sont retournés en Angleterre, forts de documens recueillis sur les lieux et dont ils ont fait grand bruit. Quelques uns enfin ont prétendu juger par euxmêmes, et induits en erreur par leur ignorance de la langue Française, ont porté des jugemens absolument faux, ' auteur de est article pourrait en citer un exemple frappant.

Ce qui achève enfin d'expliquer cette étrange inculpation, c'est l'amour exclusif d'un grand nombre de personnes pour leurs' systêms Théologiques.
Le clergé de Genève repousse l'imputation du péché d'Adam, comme ne se trouvant point enseignée dans l' Ecriture, comme contraire à l'esprit de l'Evangite, comme injurieuse aux attributs de 'l'Etre Suprême, à sa justice, à sa bonte, comme propre à propager l'incrédulité en faisant le Christianisme indigne de son auteur.
Les partisans de cette doctrine, afin de lui concilier des sectateurs, prétendent que la nier c' est reńdre la redemption inutíle et sans but. Voità le motif sur lequel se fondent beaucoup de personines pour colorer cette accusation,' l'Eglise de Genève dédaigne l'ourre de la rédemptión.
Ce n' est point icí le lieu de traiter au long ce sujet; il ne s' agit pas d' ${ }^{\prime}$ n cours de Theologie, mais quelques observations" suffisent pour Etablir que le reproche èt tes consequences gue l'on en tire soint egatement gratuits: Nons sommes forme es anier 1 impatation du peche di Adam: Lorsque dans I' ancien Testament il est parlé de la dépravation des honmen, myamais le peche dadamy in en est dit?etre la

 Qutand ad noutcaur Pestathent, in cthe
 critique, pour satoir gu* vrai sevis des passínges que ${ }^{2}$ on en cite, comme favorables à lidfe de l'imputation du péche d'Adam. St. Paul en disant aux Ephésiens ii: 3, qu' ils étaient naturelhenent des enfants de colere comme les autrés, parle de la condamnation qui pesait suir le monde Payen, av́ant sa conversion au Christianisme, non point à cause du péché d'Adam, il n' en est 'pas faft mention, il en donne une autre cause; Lorsque nous vivions selon les inclinations de notre chair, nous abandonnant à ses volontés et à ses pensées: commé si l'Apốtre craignait qu' on n' abusît de sa sentence, il l'achève et explique la cause de ce courroux de Diéu contre les Ephésiens. Quant au - ${ }^{-d e}$ St. Paul, Rom. v. 12, la grammaire et le sens s'opposent à ce qu' on en fasse une preuve en faveur de l'imputation
 ทं $\mu$ aptov, ne signifie pas en qui tous ont péché, mais parce que tous on' péché! Car en qui se rapporterait a mort ou à monde et non à homime; mot beaucoup plus éloigne dans la phrase. Wetstein nous enseigne avec les Lexicographes que $\varepsilon \phi^{\prime} \phi^{4}$ a le trîene sens que foot兀, c'est ainsi que l'ont rendu les anciennes versions Syriaque et Arabe, c'est ainsi que Calvin l'a entendu dans son commentaire sur ${ }^{1}$ Epitre aux Romains, autant que tous ont péché. C' est ainsi que le traduit la version Anglaise au mêne endroit, Rom. v. 12, for thut all have sinited.

Lors donc que les ecrivains du N. Testament déclarent qu'il n'y a pas un juste, non pas riéme un sedil, Rom. iii. 10 ; ils enseignent un fait, mais ils ne prétendent jamais que ce fait se rattache à la chute du premier peré des hommes. Or c'ést ún fajt que nous reconnoissons tous, les Imites de l'homme; comme être intelligent et moral,: l'état social, fontadaséz comprendre comment $\mathbf{l}$ homure est pécheur. Or le redempteur'est bien phus дécessaire pour racheter les thoimmès de crimes nombreux dont ils sont les unteurs; qu'il ne l"aurait Ete potryes racheter d' uniscrime que le prenier homme seul aurait commis, et auquel

[^8] thife Chime sont, pas des péehés iqpput́a, ce sont des péelhés comamis sputh fa'agicic de, pacheter.
ife Nous savans fort bien, Monsieur, et mpus. confessons avec sincérite, que nul homme pe peut's' $s^{\circ}$ vancer vers le tribunal supreme, appuyé sur sa propre ingtipe, c' est peur ce la que nous exgitons la misexicorde du Christ et que mous decclarons avec ${ }^{\prime}$ ' Apôtre qu'il n' $\mathbf{n}$ - de salut par aucun autre, qu'il n'y a sous le ciel aucun autre nom qui ait , été donné aux hommes par lequel ils puissent être sauvés.

J'aurais bien d' autres choses à vous dive sur la phrase répréhensible que de fédacteur a inséree sur les confessions de foi, mais je n'ai réellement pris la plume que pour ce qui me tenait le plus fortenent au coeur, la rédemption; d'ailleurs on verra bientôt pareatre un ouvrage sur les confessions de foi.

Tue redacteur termine son article par le voou que Genève redevienne ce qu' tlle était jadis. Je vaus dis, Monsieur, avec confiance, on attaque Genève paree qu'elle est en avant de la plapayt des autres Eglises au xix siecle, comme elle l'stait au xxie; le tems viendra où elle recenra autant d' éloges et de bénédictions pour sa conduite actuelle que depuis quelques années clle à reçu d' outrages.
J. J. CHENEVIERE,

Pastr. et Prof ${ }^{\text {r }}$. en Théologie. Generue, Decembre, 1821.
 HEARD, many years ago, in converaation, a remark on those words in James v. 11, "Ye have seen the and of the Lord," which was quite new to me, and, till very lately, I never met with it in any vension or commentary. One of the compary, during an unfashionable conversation on the phraseology of the Seriptures, sugpested that the Apostle, probably, did not refer to Job and to the reward of hia patience by a restoration to worldly prosperity, but to Jesus Clerist and che cincumatances of our Lord's death, whiten he extibited so lively a wense of the migevies coming upon his'nation, wrid so much compassion even far his maypiderens.
Looking lately into Le Nauveau Testamenc, pristed at Mone, in 1710,
by the Janpenista, Ifound the sollowIqg note, which, reprrepentes this af the most probable meaning of the, $4 x$ pran sion:-" Selon de premiar some, lan du Seigneur, veut dire la passian de J. C. Selon le second, th ghoive: dont le Seigneur a couronné la patienpe de Job." 1 Gipd also in a French wersion, published at Paris in 17644 , quee appror bation et priwildge du pai, note, which adds, after stating the mare combnon apinion, " Quelquen-uns entendent eette $f=\mathrm{An}$ du Seigmeur, de la passion de Jnsus-Chnist, et dugrand exemple de patience qu' il nous y a donne."
Should any of your readers have met with this sease of the wards elsewhere, they will probably mention it.

Erasmus, as I see in his ;translated paraphrase, refers the words, "Ye have known what ead the Lord made," to Job, for he thus comments: "You have marked him also getting the victory through the Lord's help: by whose goodness, for every thing that wes taken from him by the maliee of Satan, he received again twice as much." Le Clerc and Duddridge express the same opinion, taking no notice of the sense given by the Jansenizts.
N. L. T.

Hamerton,
Jureuary 19, 1822.
Sir, $N$ availing myself of your permission to offer a reply to the gentlemen who have honoured with their animadversions the book intitled "The Scripture Testimony to the Messiah," I conceive it to be not necessary, nor desirable, to resume the general argument of that work. Having initiendeavoured to deduce the true sense of scripture on the subject under considenation, it seems to me to be my duty to leave my arguments and conclusions, tagether with whatever mayy be adwanced in contradiction to them, to the judgment of thinking and candid mon. Replicatious and rejoinders have, to may apprehension, served, in moat cases of controversy, rather to obscure the originalquestion, than to facilitate the farming af a correct ond decisive opitiomupon it. I propose, therefore, to weex fît mysedf, as rareh as I oran, to the aeknovaledy ment of shy ornors intos which I temy have fallen; and the setting, right of

## 

 peed to thate yeth in the milits of duthers:
Tor your 'correspondent'Bevieveivs I feel inyselr deep ty sintebted, not only for the handsone mantier in which he has been pleased to express himself towards me persomally but still more far the excellent and anioble spirit whick his leeter bneathes. The matter of his censure is, that I have cast severe and mequitable reflections on Mr. Belfotum', and have quoted with eneomitu an eminient deceased writer who has done the same. My reply will be comprized in two or three brief observations.

1. From the circumstance of this acute and gentlemanily censor's having adduced only one passage out of thirteen hundred pages, on which to ground his charge of an uncharitable and injurious spirit, 1 am indueed to hope, that he regards that passage as an exception to the general tenor of the book; and that he admits its spirit and language, upon the whole to be eandid and respectful. May I trust, also, that it will not be deemed unbecoming in me to cite some sentences which expressed the disposition and desire of my mind; though I cannot flatter myself with having always acted up to that desire ?
"'The effusions of unchaistian feeling will be viewed hereafter with grief and regret : but the words of truth and soberness, spoken or written in love, will abide the trial of time, and will furnish pleasing recollections in eternity. It is my sincere wish and endeavour to apply these sentiments, at all times and in all respects, to myself: and if, fin any instance, I have violated them, I would be the first to condemn myself; and I hope I may say that such wiolation is not only contrayy to my prineiples, but repurnant to my habitual feelings and practice."Script. Teat. 11. 7.55,
"I abhor the apailing mysetf of the odiuin theologicup, or in any other way practising upon the fifitmites and evi passions of men. But I ám conscious of my own fraitries, find womita if ot ve qery

 written; thererbe any degree of unchristian asperity, any partibi reasonings, any unjust representations; or any unhandsome language;: I do sincerely disapm

 757:
2. Benevolus has mathiathet at powerfal array of passiafes from Lort Bacon, Bishops Haft and Hoptitis. Charnock, Flavel, Cludte, Saústin' bimid Watts, and Bishop Claytorn' "firthiteh there is 'a deliberate 'anit' stuxief 'ounfusion of the properties befiotidity to the human natire' of our Blessed Ebita' with those of that Divind Nature which, I conceive, the Scriptures attribute to him. These are adduted for the purpose of shewing that I twate acted very unjustly in charging Mr. Belshiam with misrepresenting and stigmatizing the orthodid doctrine, when he says that it teaches " the incarceration of the Creator of the world in the body of a helpless, puling inftint:" Those citations are painfat and offensive indeed, and some of them so to a very high degree : and it is 'true, as Benevolus intimates, that he milght have swelled his collection to a mach larger bulk. He has also, in the honourable and candid manner which distinguishes his letter, pointed out my explicit disclaining of suech lan guage, when found in orthodox whi ters, and the strong protest whith I had thought it my duty to make against it. I beg permission to adatd. that, in the page which he has quoted. I expressly lamented that " Dr. Watts has repeatedly fallen into this fault in his Hymns, some of which wound a thinking and piotas mind by language which one could not copy without pain."
Why then Is Mry. Belshamso séverely reflected upon, and accused of missepresentation; when he has only sald that which eminent orthodox writers have said, and some of themin in phrases not very dissimilar ?

I answer; that, fin those wifert and in others from whom tilie patisafer might be selected, the expreseinion under consideration are tinstatices' or studied paratox, laboured?atraithesis. and extratagant ly yieffole, nut that in the passage of पif erum Thiquity


 ground my defmera rand iqmpptind think that strong reprehension wis

## 38 Dr. F. P. Smith in reply to Remarks on his "Sariptuce Testimonyi?.

called for, with regard to the latter case. But was it equitably called a "misrepresentation"? I contique to think that it was; because, in a professedly calm and dispassionate investigation, it is not fair to take our representations of a sentiment from the extravagant amplifications and exaggerations of rhetofical authors, whose taste led them, in other instances as well as in this, to sacrifice the strict accuracy of truth in order to produce a striking effect. Yet I do not fully approve of the language which I used; and, if the passage could be written again, I would try to find some milder terms of disapprobation. I likewise think it to be hazarding no improbable assertion, to say that, if my venerated friend, Dr. Edward Williams, were now alive, he would readily have joined in this declaration.

If now, Sir, I may hope that the patience of your readers can indulge me so far, 1 will transcribe some paragraphs from a well-known, highly esteemed, and unquestionably orthodox divine; the one whose statements may be regarded, probably more than those of any other writer, as a fair representation of the sentiments held by the majority of Calvinistic divines, particularly the Nonconformists of England and the Presbyterians of Scotland and America, from the era of the Reformation (and indeed long. pefore) to the present time;-Dr. John Owen. The quotation will shew in what manner the most judicious and approved writers of this, class have thought it. fit and scriptural to represent their doctrine, on the union of the human and the divine natures in the person of Christ.
" This union, the ancient church affirmed to be made, a $\alpha \rho \in \pi \tau \omega \varsigma$, without any change in the person of the Son of God, which the Divine Nature is not subject to ; a $\delta<\alpha\llcorner\rho \in \tau \omega \varsigma$, with a distinction of natures, but without any division of them by separate subsistences; a ourxutws, without mixture or confusion ; $\dot{\alpha} x_{0} \rho \leq s \omega \varsigma$, without separation or distance; and . eat $\omega 0$ ows, substantially, because it Wais of two substances or essences in the gepme person, in opposition to all accidentrat anion; as 'the fulness of the Goth head dwelt in him bodily.

* Each nature doth preserve its own natural; essential properties; entirely to and in itself; without mixture ; without composition or confusion; without such a real communication of the one to the other, as that the one should become the subject of the properties of the other, The Deity, in the abstract, is not made the humanity; nor on the contrary. The Divine Nature is not by this union made temporary, finite, limited, subject to passion or alteration : nor is the human nature rendered immense, infinite, omnipotent. Unless this be granted, there will not be two natures in Christ, a divine and a human; nor indeed either of them ; but somewhat else, composed of both."-Owen's Christologia, chap. xviii.

One of the Reviewers whom Benevolus quotes, represents me as having used "compliments" towards sone of the writers whose opinions I have opposed. I really cannot acknowledge myself chargeable with this fault: Compliments, understanding by the term expressions of honour or respect bordering upon the adulatory or exaggerated style, I should think miserably out of place in a serious discussion of the most important religious subjects. Whatever language of respect I have used in relation to any of those whose doctrines or arguments I have disputed, has been no more than what I sincerely believe to be required by truth and uprightness. My situation is a little remarkable, but by no means unexampled. While your worthy correspondent has taken so much pains to convict me of an uncharitable spirit ; another periodical work has made me the object of thundering rebukes, for undue " complacency,"" excessive liberality,"- and even "abandonment of principle." 'But I shall say, with the poet, apeivi $8^{\circ}$ aıбь $\kappa$ т $\pi \nu \tau a \cdot$ and comfort noyself with the conscientious persuasion that both classes of my reprovers are mistaken.

This letter has ruh out to a much greater length thàn I expected. I must, therefore, defer till the next month my request for the admission of what 1 may have to reply to my learned friend Dr: Jones.

## J. P. SMITH.



Art. I.-A Vindication of 1 John v. 7, from the Objections of M. Griesbach, in whioh is given a New View of the External Evidence, with Greek Autharities for the Authenticity of the Verse not hitherto adduced in its Defence. By the Bishop of St. David's. Rivingtons. Pp. 70.

ACCUSTOMED as we have been to see the Bishop of St. David's venturing on the forlorn hope in defeace of orthodoxy, we confess that we were not prepared for his present undertaking. The publication of Griesbach's New Testament, in which that great master of the art of sacred criticism, himself a Trinitarian, declared that there is no such thing as a rule of evidence for the text of the New Testament, if 1 John v. 7 be not spurious, with the works of Porson and Marsh in the Travisian controversy, seemed to have convinced the orthodox of that day, that it was a hopeless task to defend its authenticity, and no man, with the smallest pretensions to the character of a scholar ventured to quote it as Scripture. The cause of truth, it was said, needs no such support; the doctrine of the Trinity can be established to demonstration from a multitude of other passages; let the Unitarians make what they can of the concession that this is spurious; we have other arrows in abundance, in our quiver for their discomfiture. Soon, however, they found that their glorying had not been good; and that the doctrine of the Trinity was so far from being supported by such an exuberance of proof, that if this text were taken away there would not remain in the New Testament a single passage in which it eyeg seemed to be taught. The weapon which had been thrown by was again brgught torth from the armoury, to dazole temt leasti if it.could not wound. The text of the, Haprenty Witpesses again made its appearance in the controxerss with the Unitarians, timidly apd cartiously indeed at first, more boldhy aftervards when, it ans peared thats the authors of its former dingrace were an longer to be dreaded.

Griesbach and Marsh were gone to their reward, the arm that smote the wretched Travis into atoms was paralyzed by death; so the Nolans and the Hales' thought they might come forth in safety, and, parade to the sound of their own acclamations over the deserted field. For the honour of criticism we are grieved to see Bishop Burgess lend to these empty boasters the sanction of a name, with which is associated the recollection of services rendered in former days to classical literature : we were indeed aware, from his former works, that his zeal against Unitarianism had overpowered not only his judgment but his learning : still we were not prepared to expect from him any thing quite so weak, superficial and disingenuous as this Vindication.

Our readers, we presume, are generally aware, that the text of the three Heavenly Witnesses, and the words ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \tilde{y} \eta \tilde{n}$ in the eighth verse, are found in no Greek MS. except that of Dublin College; that they are cited by no Greek father in all those violent controversies about the Trinity and the person of Christ, when heaven and earth were moved to furnish arguments against the heretics; when the most strained and absurd allegorical interpretations of Scripture, and of the eighth verse in particular, were resorted to; when the words which precede and the words which follow the text in question were quoted; that they are found in the MSS. of no one ancient version but the Vulgate; that even of this, though the majority retain, the oldest and the best MSS: reject the seventh verse; that of the Latin Fathers, many, to whose arguments it would have been jovalumbe; have not quoted it ; and that viglius Tapsensis, at the close of the fifth century, is the first in whose worke a

Co The few Latin MSS. that redect the verse are as minch superior to the Herd of incorrect aitd modern coples that réfain it, as a bsundi, wren-trainedhomedstof seldiers to amnmerous rabble destitute of discipline and dinanimity."-Porso $p$. 154.
distinct citation of it appears. We should think that it needed no prafound knowledge of the art of criticism, but only a little of that common sense which learning unfortunately cannot teach, to see that such a passage nut be spurious, or that there is an end of all eritieal certainty. The Bishop of St. David's thinks he can set all this evidence aside, and these are his arghments that the sense is imperfect and the construction solecistic, if the serenth verse be taken away; that put Greek MSS. of this Epistle are comparatively modern, and, therefore, camot prove what was the reading of the early ages: that the Latin Fathers quote it as carly as Tertullian; that Mr. Nolan, in his cprofound and interesting Inquiry into the Integrity of the Greek Vilgate," has made it probable that Ewsebius struck out the Heavenly Witnesies in the days of Constantine : lastly, that Mr. Porson declared his willingness to come over to Mr. Travis' opinion, if two Greek MSS., 500 years old, could be produced, containing the verse, and that Dr. Adam Clarke thinks that one, the Dublin MS., is more fikely to have been written in the thirteenth century than in the fifteenth. Liet us examine.these arguiments separately.

1. The latshness of construction and solecism, produced by the omission of tire seventh terse, consists in this;
 in the eighth verse are afl neuters; and yet the apostle says of them; $\tau \rho \varepsilon \tilde{i}$
 therefore, to be a rule of Greek construction, (for his argument implies this, though he does not expressly state it,) that nopins in apposition must be of the same gender as those to which they 'are apposed, the Bishop argues that Sst. John could hever have fallen into such a solecism, as to use the masculine in the eighth verse, but for, the circunastance of his having the
 the fuepenth, in connexion with of oro
 Where the masculine is grammatically
 rady to thio, as Dr. J.D. Spopton hap domenu(Gexiph. Teat. H. $545_{n}$ ) that the manculinesties used becaume the whots are jefteonified: Bishop Butgewsy int deed, objects that $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu \mathrm{c}$ cannot be
personified in the eighth verse, because in Whe sixth we read kal $T_{0}^{\prime}$ zyijucs
 there is no reasoni atat an author should always personify, because he sometimes does it ; and in the second place, the constructions have no analogy; to paperpgiv, in the sixth verse, is the predicate of the proposition, in which it would certainly Gaye been a liarsh, though by no means unatithorized, construction, to have departed from the gender of the subjects the reuters in the eighti verse, fristead of being either the predicate or the sabject, are apposed, exegetically, to a papmupextsc, the subjeet. The logical order of the woidd is this y oita of
 tpazt. This distinction, either Bishop Burgess and hid qracles, Mr. Nolan and Dr. Hales, have overlooked, ot they nean to maintain; that in all cases nouns in appositien must be in the same gender as these which they are introduced to explain. List us heas the opinion of a mach betier grammarian than any of the three ? The apposed substantive shoukd 誼 strictness be of the same niumber and gender as the first ; but theys are oftem different, especially when the apposed word is an abstractum pro concrete." (Matthiia, $\$ 431$ of the snaller grammar ; for the passage is not contained in the larger, translated by E. V. Blomfield.) He quotes, as examples,

 gstaon Hes. Scht. Here. 296, 313,
 -Hpabsond Will it be suid that Matthials examples are all from ; peets: In the book of Proverbsy, xxx. 29,

 Xeavror neoi dxéxtrop tal spáyos ras Berarieds. Here ate form" maseuline nowns in the entoneration but one relative and manterafo afe neater; while, in the passigge in Jolin, the

 culine: Ne doulbts ntithe euthor of the Proterbs bldotit, Me mighe hape saif, TpuF siovion andithe nitho of
 former wished to tidte his piedrette
 to make hls as adinite ainf perisbtion

then heithervef (4, ein his whiten in andefarkexof extiontis?
 the gipintur prevernust be iretained. Wolfucrmin thestishrop of Ealctuta have observed f that withert the of af
 eighth is numeoventable. Let us see, then, whats sentse, wet by making
 of the seventh. ©There arg three which beat withess in hedverg the Father and the Word and th Holy Spirit, and these three, are one wing; and there are three, which beaf witness on earth, the Spirit, the water and the blood, and these three are to that one thing What ineaning can be attached to these words we cannot imagine. There is no need of any new theory of the Greek article, to explain the use of $\tau 0$ before $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \\ \text { it }\end{gathered}$ marks more xmphatically the absolute unity of purpose of the Three Witnesses. . Unquestionably this might have been expressed by aic $\varepsilon v$, but less forcibly. So the Apostle, 1 Cor, xif. 11, might have contented himself with
 has chosen to say to $\varepsilon^{2} \nu^{\circ}$.
2. Biskop Burgess allows, that all the Greek MSS:, eave one, (the podex Ravienus he abandons to its fate, omit the seventh 'verise; buit not at all dismayed by this circumstance, he sets himself to prove, by a most extraordinary process, that 期 ta is no reason for doubting its authenticity. He divides the whole time, from the composition of the Epistle to the invention of printing; into three periods, the first extending to the end of the third century, the seend to the end of the ninth; and hemiolserues, that during the first ponemothere is no eot ternal evidente whatate ther verse, because none of Gify present MSS. are as old as the thinituentary. If this remark had prevepided freth some one devoid of evepy "tincturt of critical knowledgey thenteonfosion of idetas which it inditeatesguntight be fexplond ed; if' a Telater orise Golltis' hed thrown it out as an inginiation againist the evidence of "the "avithenticity of Scripture, the mof we whond have heen intelligible : but gupely, mothing ex cept thethlipd weat which leads pmax

 andersary under the rain, donily het
vol. XVHY.
 wof ditule


 aitite than the MSS. themiselures. "Sota
 prcsert copies of the Hebrewnstat tures are not older hinat the tetn century; consequenthy thefe for an mtervin, Tom the true of Thuses,

 the Pentaterueh." Tt 1 s unin to tall the collateral evidence of tratisfations
 all exist in MSS. equally recent woth those of the Hebrew Scriptares, and having no evidence themselves, they can lend none to others. But to add inconsistency to absurdity, the Bishop goes on to say, that the ${ }^{\circ}$ oldest Greek MS. extant is of muedi Fater date than the Liatin $\mathbf{y}$ ersion of the Westeri Church." Has, then, this version come down to us on some tablet of brass or marble, while the Greek ori' ginal is only to be found in tnoderm and perishable parchnent? If nots then we have as little external evii dence of the one as of the other, not only during the first periot, but down to the time when our present Nisw. of each were written. We thaty be thought, perhaps, to pay a peor compliment' to the sagacity of out readers, even by observing, in passing, that as
 but copied from each other, the MIS: of the fourth century, which ststis preserved, is exterinat equitencesmot demonistration, but evidence iby the existonce of its TEXP ih whe pretedinit centuries, the MSS: df whith have perished, and that thtis the chain is carried ups to the aufogration of the aut thor: Allowances muist pe inder or the haman infirmities of tranderidests and as chese are peptoted with evter


 Burgess"s prinéple, "tự edrag be
 QA: a text, before fiternintumphin existing Misc of ind depletrituty the





the mattor in doubtfuly apenk of the Tith Vertion having contained this verse dyying anis first periad? Didshe not know that this very point is most streanously tontested by the opponepts of the verfis? Did he not know that the greated critic of the age had proHounced the Latin MSS. which omit the verse, to be infinitely superior to the verd in which it is found? (See the passage quoted from Porson betore.) Is he prepared to deny this? He knows himself, we apprebend, better. than to venture to oppose himself on such a point to such an authority. He has dealt most disingenuously by Porson, in representing him as allowing that the verse in dispute was in the Latin Version, even from the end of the second century. How could he, unless the clearest of heads had become all at once as confused as that of certain defenders of orthodoxy, admit that a text was in the Latin Version, at this early period, and yet condemn the copies which contain this text as a worthless rabble? Porson is arguing for the moment upos a supposition (Letters, p. 143) which,' in the whole of his subsequent reasoning, he refutes, that this text had been in the Vulgate from the end of the second century, and maintains, that even in that case, its authenticity would not be certain: the very next paragraph ( $p .144$ ) begins with these words: "Thus I should argae if all the MSS. consented in the received reading." We confess it to be a very difficult stretch of our charity to believe, that Bishop Burgess mistook so common a phrase as "allowing that it had been," for "I allow that it was;" at any rate, the man who can so misunderstand a plain sentence of his mother tongue, must excuse us if we do not attach much value to his judgment, when he talks of the intergal evidence which arises from the connexion of an anthor's ideas and the coherence of his arguments.

Again, before we quit the subject of this first period, we must ask, is the Viugrate Iatin Version the only one of this age whick exists? A reader of Bishop Burgess might naturally suppose that it was; for we do not racoflect that be enters into the slighteat explanation, why 1 Johna $v$. 7 , is wanting in the Syriaty the carli-
 of the New'Pencturnent; other orientat vexaidnes whicise crifaps known to have beenreondapithefin gho-Jatin in very recent timessin ithe is no discordancy of MSifromaninsthe case of the Latin Versions :theirstevis mony is clear and consistent anid the absence of the disputed text is to be accounted for in no othen way than its absence in the Greek MSS. from which they were made. What are we to say of the dead nilence of the Greek fathers, whe never once, during this period, quote the verse in question? Bishop Burgess will not allow that a defender of the text is bound to explain this. It is an approved method of getting rid of a toublesome claimant, to deny the debt; but this silence of the fathers will remain an invincible argument of spuriousness till it is explained; and that too in some better way:than the disciplina arcani, or Mr. Nolans dream of the erasure of the textiby Eusebius.: It is true, the Bishop Aoes make a feeble effort to prove 委保t the Greek original must have comtminedit in the two first centaries. Whe Alogi were a set of heretics; who rejected the writings of St. Joha, on mecount of their denial of his doctrine sof the Logos. Now, it has been thought, that as the divinity of the Logios is taught in no part of the first Epistle, but in the text of the Heavenly. Witnesses, they could have had no reason for quarrelling with it, had this text not been found in it from the carliest times. The reader will perceive, that this argument can have no force whatever, unless we are assured that the Alogi rejected the first: Epistle, as well as the othen works of the Apostle. But the proaf of this completely fails. Epiphanius, who gives this account of the Alogi, onty says, that they rejected the Gospel and the Apocalypse. " $O$, but;" bays the Biaghop, "they must have rejected the Eipiotle, because the doctrine of Christratitinity is much more clearly taughit in it

[^9]

If in hingtastrikself mee shal have :a beatitifut spatimee yor the argument in a direley the tectis genuine ${ }^{5}$ : hecause the Alogie rejeotndi the Fpistle ; and the Alogi, thust have refecteat the Epistle:;becanse the text. is I genuine. ${ }^{*}$ The bishop himself is not onity "didoyos but cikoywxedrop. On the whote, he has been as completely foiled: as his predecessors have been in the attempt to produce even a tittle of evidence, that this verse existed in the earliest copies of the New Testament.
It is not without reason that he makes his second period to extend from A. D. 300 to 900 , a division of which we did not at first discern the motive. In this period, the external evidence, even : aceording to his own very original definition, begins to press havd upon his favourite text. The oldest MSS: of the Greek Testament fall within this period, perhaps not far from the commencement of it, and they with one consent omit the Hearenly Witnesses; no version except the Latin, and that only in the most modern and corrupted copies, exhibits them; no Greek father quotes them as a proof of the Trinity. What can be set against these proofs of spurionsness? The Bishop finds, that towards the end of what he makes his second period, after the Latin fathers had begun to use the words as Scripture, a Latin writery (a forger of a prologue in the name of Jerome, speaks of the verse as being exant in

[^10]




 Witnesses in the Greek, even hifrertiodox readers woudd have been stauthed; but by speaking of the whote 6eet years as a period, he hoped that they would forget chat his argument (such as it is) applied only to the latter pait of its and ragree with him that, in this period; there is positive evidence of the existence of the text in the Greek. And of : what kind is this testimony? The author of it comes before us with a lie in his mouth; for he pretends that he is St. Jerome, a falsehood so glaring, that even the Bishop of St. bavid's gives him up; and he does not after all assert, but only insinuate, that the verse was found in Greek MSS. If, then, in spite of the disciplina arcani and the Arian erasures of Eusebius, this occidental forger found the Heavenly Witnesses in the Greek text, in the eighth century, what is become of those orthodiox MSS.? A false witness, not unfrequently, by some camadi concession, ruins the cause which he is produced to support, and such is the case with the Pseudoderome. When he reproaches the Latin copies with the omission of the Heatenly Witnesses, he plainly shews, that in His time that version did not generally captain them; and what; then, becomes of its- testimony to their havini begit in the Greek, in the age sueceeding that of the Apostles? As to Wallifid Strabus, in the ninth century, whe, in a Latin commentary, glosses on this verse, theme is no. proof that he had compared the Latin and Greek texts together, nor does he himself profess to haye done it. That he includes 1 Johp v. 7 in his commentary, oaly shews, that in the ninthteenitury it had grined a footing in the Lnatin MSS. The reader of Biohoturnurgess would, indeed, conclita, from the arthay arrangement offisintorder thiat Walaftid Strabuy Chadrimeterted the

[^11]superier aushority of the Oreek to the katin in atbis parsuge. whe courla not be argaprant either of the defeetes whioh thidsauthor of the Prologue ath tributesen to the Latin copies of his deys ${ }^{-}$or of the integrity of the Greek aix aseerted by him; and he directs his readers to correct the errors of the Latin by the Greek.": Who would not suppose that Strabus had directed his-readers to insert 1 John v. 7 from the Greek ?-No such thing; this is only a general recommendation to his reader to apply to the Greek and He brew; having no reference to this passage; and if idoes not appear that: he himself: : understood either, uniless it be afgued that an author has alway tried himself every practice which he reconmends to his reader. Epiphanius and the Alogi appear again upon the stage, but with as little benefit as before to the Bishop's cause, and very little credit to his fairness. "Epiphanius, who lived in the fourth century, says, that the Epistles ' agree with the Gospel :and the Apocalypse' in the doctrine of the Logos, and assighas this agreement as the reason for thinking that the Alogi rejected the Epistles as well as the other writings of St. John." The reader, whom previous experience has put on his guard, will perhaps perceive, that the words ${ }^{2}$ " in the doctrine of the Logos," on which the whole force of the argument depends, are those of the Bishop, not of Epiphanius; but most persens, certainly, would understand then as if Epiphanius: himself had stated this as the point of agreement. We have already seen that there is no proof whatever that the Alogi rejected the Epistles of John; but if they did, and ; on the ground of the term Logos being applied to Christ, they may have taken offence at the very fitst verse, "That which was in the beginning, \&e, concerning the verd of life." So far is it from veing true, that the Gospel and Epistle correspond only in the controverted verse.
3.: We are nexts to aceampany the Bighop in his inquiry into the citations of the Latin Fathers, the only part of the, apgiument which affords: even the shadow of a reason for maintaining themuthmatieity of the common read ing. He maserts that Tertullian, because (C. Pramean, 25) hes uses the
 ther, Son, and Spitis nfamitroy Not
 word of allusion tos Se atom, though Tertullian justiflod that sam: expression by the worde of Ghima, Ege et: Pater: uxums summ point has been so amply distedsseth the course of the controversy, bhatio it is unnecessary to dwell upon it." of prian, it is acknowledged'; says," "Be Patre et Filio et Spiritu Sancto seriptum est Et Tres Unum Bump" See Griesb. ad loc. 1 Jo. v. 7, p. 13. And we do not wonder that any one who considers this passage alone, and is accustomed to the more accurate way of speaking of modern times, should regard this as a proof, that Cyprian's copy of the Epistle contained the Three Heavenly Witnesses. But how was this passage of Cyprian understood by those who lived near his own time, and who must; therefore, have been the best judges of the meaning of his phrases ? Facundus, it the sixth century, quotinge this passage from Cyprian, says expressly that Cyprian had understood the words of the Apostle' reapecting the Spirit, the water and: tine thlood, of the Father, the Son; and the Holy. Spirit. Now, the stronger the words of Cyprian are the more decisive is the proof, that the copy which Pan cundus used did not contain the seventh verse; for who wreuld ever have referred Cyprian's words to an allegory of the eighth verse, if they expressed the literal sense contained in the seventh? It must, however, be admitted, that some MSS. of the Latin, even in this age, did contain the seventh verse; for Falgentins, writing against the Arians, quotes it, and explains Cyprian's: words' as an allusion to it. But as Fulgentius lived after Vigilius Tapsensis, who clearly quotes the seventh verse, his evidence adds nothing to the 'antiquity of the reading; and Facundus is a sufficient proof, that the words of Cy prian do not necessarily ipoply that it was extant in Cybrime tipe

We pass oyer two on three authors who use the phrase tres, ropum, supt, which onty expresses a dogtriae un questionably. then prevateat. in. the church, but are no proof ofva quatation ta reach Eucherius, Bishepu of Lyons, in the fifth century. The

#  

 Quetstibntin? Nbw im the first of thése, one edition, that of Brassicanus, Basil, 1531, hats the folfowing passage: "En Joannis" Epistbla": Tres sưit qui testimonium dant in coelo, Pater, Vébuni, et Spiritus 'S., et tres sunt qui testimonium dant in terra, Spiritus; aqua et sanguis;" and this reading has beer found in two MSS. Two other editiones príncipes, however, exhibit' the passage as follows: "It Joannis Epistola': Tria sunt quæ testimonium perhibent, aqua, sanguis et spiritus, ${ }^{2}$ and that is all. The question then is, which of these readings is genuine. If this were not a question of theological criticism, we believe' no critic would hesitate to prefer the shorter reading; since it is very explicable how a transcriber should be led to ${ }^{2}$ alter the text of Eucherius to corformity 'with the Vulgate, but to correct it according to the Greek was a thing which would never enter into any one's mind in those ages. And here the matter might be left. ${ }^{\text { }}$ But it has been argued by Lardier; Porson, and others, from a pasisage in the Quæstiones, that the shorter reading must be the true one, and it is here that the Bishop thinks he has found them all in error. The passage is 'this, "Interrog. Item in Epistola suà Joannes ponit: Tria sunt quae téstimonium perhibent, aqua, sanguis et spiritus: Quid in hoc indicatur? Resp. Simile buic loco etiam illud mihi videtur, quod ipse in Evangelio suo de passione Cliristic loquitur dicens; unus militum lancea latus ejus aperuit et continuo exivit sanguis et aqua', et gui vidit testimonium perhibuit: "in eodem lipse de 'Jesu supra dixerat: : Inclinato capite tradidit spifituinh Quitảấn ergo ex hoc loco ita disputat tad ua bap tismum sanguty yite ary ficatembrtyritm; spirituty vefo ibse est qui transit ad Dominting pares satinen hic ipsatm interptetatibne mysticath tellitunt Trifiltatend \& \& ece arid he goes on, elatboratefy to explam its appit cation to the HPífty Now, 'Porson and others have argeted, that Euche-




 literal one in the ptetenting \#3e ebta fess that we are not stitsitute what their reasoning. Had Eueferior Bees arguing in favour of the Trimes, what had he passed over the seventit terse to allegorize the eighth, as mifity lidue done, the argunent that he conld not have had the seventh in his wible would have been decisive': but we see' no reason why the same man, in the abundance of his zeal to extraet the Trinity, per fas et nefas, from every part of Scripture, might not allego rize the eighth verse as well as apply the seventh. Bishop Burgess; how ever, assails them on a different ground, and maintains that they have misunderstood Eacherins, who, 'as He" says, enumerates three opinions lins own, "t mihi videtar;" that of those who explained the water of baptism, \&c., "quidam ergo ex hoe loeo dis: putant;" and that of the majority "Plures tamen," But would aty man, writing in Latin, and intendiag to oppose his own opitrion and that of certain others, have strid, " minf videtur," "quidam erge disputant:ss Ergo is surety no adversative particle'; had he meant whiat the Bistiop supposes; he would have said quidám tamen-plures vero. ' The fact is, that the only opposition is between the second and the third opinions. He ${ }^{d}$ first explains the water; \&c.; correctly' and historically of the death of Christ? and then goes on to mention two kinds of spiritual application deduced from it, and these he opposes 'to each other by quidam and pthires' tamen:' Porson concluded from the labours ${ }^{s}$ which he has bestowed upon the second, which applies it to ther "tisnity, that it was to this he himself inclined, and there is certatidy nbthing, in the words which'itnpliess tiat it was not.

But allowing that Pitheridest did not' apply the eighth oefse mystienty to the Trinity, (shofild the Etithop: cast his eyes on the ede kumble twates we hope he will not sats the Ohithe:
 is most importatit to the dpporrent
 shop endeavours to shew, against

Marsh that! Aygustine was not gep: nenally followed in upplying, the cighth verse to the Trinity, and actually prodices this passage from Eucherius, with his new interpretation, as a proof that Marsh is wrong. And what does Eucherius say in the New Version? "I iaterpret the water and the blood of the crucieixion; certain persons of baptism; the majoRITY; HOWEVER, explain it mystically of the Trinity." Is not the Bishop "a truly polite and moderate arguer, when every third word is in favour of his antagonist?"

The opponents of the Heavenly Witnesses have observed, that as the Latin Fathers very seldom understood Greek, they can only be considered, even when they use the seventh verse, as evidences of the reading in the Latin Version. This, Bishop Burgess will by no means allow, and produces some reasons why they must have been good Greek scholars: "Justinian published his Laws in Greek as well as in Latin." This is a specimen of the ignoratio elenchi worthy to stand beside the reasoning in a circle which we quoted before: it is a very good proof that many Greeks understood no Latin; how it proves that most of the Latins understood Greek we are utterly at a loss to conceive. Again, "Greek was spoken and written at Carthage In its Pagan state," and, hence, it is inferred that it cannot have been neglected in the Christian church of that place. This is the argument a fortiori; let us try its validity by a parallel case. The youth of Britain, in its Pagan state, spoke Latin fluently, (Tac. Agr. 21, Juv. Sat. 15,) of course Alfred cannot have told the truth when he says, that at his accession there was not, to his knowledge, a priest south of the Thames who could translate a piece of Latin.
4. Although Bishop Burgess denies that he is bound to give any explanation of the disappearance of 1 John $\nabla$. 7; from the Greek MSS., mini its nonquotation by the Fathers, he appears to rely not a little on Mr. Nolan's "profound and interesting Inquiry" inte the Greek Vulgate, and the reasome which he gives for believing that Easebips cut this text out. We have no sintention of entering into any minute examination of that confused and
prolix performapees ghortin eppurfira with our presoatistopic we cmand hty remarking, that the chairue gever, Eusebius restion a most atupendoun, blunder or a most disingenuiapry version of Mr. Nolan's. The copies of the Scriptures having been reduced in number by fhe persecutigns of Dioclesian and Mangimian, Coustantine commissions Puaphus to cause fifty legible and popthile MSS. to be prepared by callgerphat: тãy Itsfay $\delta y \lambda a d i j$


 p. 26. If he really believes that this passage confers an Eusebius "a power to select those Sentptures cliefly which he knew to the useful to the doctrine of the church," heconstman Crieek as no man, we bolfeve, ever did before him, and as we hope no man, at least no man who writes a book on the Greek Testameat, ever will again. Where the whole charge rests on the ignorance of the accuser, it is useless to argue its absurdity. The Bishop of St. David's and Mr. Nolan are worthy of each other's panegyric. Qui Bavium non odit amet $\quad$ Ha carmina Mavi.
5. The Codex Dublinensis, the only Greek MS. which contains the Heavenly Witnesses, was thiought at first to have been purposely forged to meet the natural demand for some testimony which might justify editors in inserting the text. Mr. Porson, who had seen copies of the hand-writing. pronounced it to be "certainly not earlier than the fifteenth, and possibly as late as the sixteenth century." Dr. Adam Clarke, it seems, thinks it more likely to have been writien in the thirteenth than in the fifteenth. We have a great respect for Dr. A. Clarke, as a learned and an honest man; but we have yet to be informed of the reasong why we should prefer his judgment qn the age of a MS. to that of Porsea. That it was forged for the purpose of fraud we see no reason to maintain; but the same author has observed: circumstance which is quite as fatal to its authority; viz. that the confrod verted passage is translated in a bupgling manner from the modern copies of the Vulgate. Letters, p. 117. Yes this is the MA., which Bishop Burgess vaunts as sufficient, vith his collateral evidence already examined, to counter-
 anthensiaity of the vertse, alitetlepter cates, buwever the supposition that becatise so ther Greek MISS. have been mpodtuat mone ever will; and not dismayed by the delay of its accomplishment; rentersistheqpious hoope of Bengelins, that motis isuch will hereafter come to light. It 解 covitiony to the practice of alt tribunals, we believe, to defer a decision, when both parties have had a reasonable time to produce their vouchers, because one of them makes affidavit that he believes the " bookshelves of Divine Providence" to contain documents which, could he only get at them, would be very important to his cause. On the evidence produced, 1 John $\nabla .7$ must be condemned as spurious. When another Greek MS. containing it comes to light, the cause can be reheard; by that time the Dublin MS. will be at least 500 years old, and consequently competent to fulfil the conditions of Mr. Porson's challenge.

We had intended to have concluded with some remarks on what Bishop Burgess says of Unitarians, but we trust that what we have already said will enable them to bear with equanimity his harsh words and his unfavourable opinion. The cross fire of our unskilful enemies is destructive only to themselves. While an Irish Bishop complains that we take as nuch or as little of Griesbach as we like, his Cambrian brother declares that our cry is "Griesbach, all or none." We are pretty well accustomed to the charge of pride of understanding and overweening confidence in our own judgments; but, according to Bishop Burgess, our crime is a Popish deference to authority. "They trust to their auxiliar, M. Griesbach. He is the rock of their infidelity and the pope of their system. His single authority is sufficient for mutilating the received thext of the New Testa ment. On him they repose as their security; and content themselves with retailing his objections; ${ }^{\text {P/ } /+}$, Another charge is, that the Unitarians have done nothing themselves in this controversy; and only avail themselves of the labours of others. If by Unitarians the Bishop mearsi those of the present day, the guswer is ready; that theire was nothjing left to berdopter in acrabe

 petentioudgea; exicent nepr ablthambo expose the feeble sopbintw, wich endeavours to revive explodeat entors. Whether the Unitaxians are unable or unwilling to do this the Bishophiniself may judge. If he means b' Elnitarians all impugaers of the doetrthe of the Trinity, he has forgottén surely what Emilyn and Benson; and Newton and Porson, have done in this controversy. The Unitarians, it is true, prefer to appeal to Trinitarian authority ; but are they answerable for those prejudices of the orthodox which make them attach more weight to a name than an argument ? Nóyas yais ëx $\tau^{\circ}$
 таขті̀ข $\sigma$ Ónve!.
K.

Art. II.-The Country Minister, a Poem, in Four Cantos, with other Poems. By the Rev. J. Brettell. 12mo. pp. 113. Whittakers. 1821.
W ${ }^{E}$ rise from the perusal of "The Country Minister?' with the delight we feel after having enjoyed the conversation of a man endued with good sense, benevalent sensibility and true piety: though pleased with the sweetness of the versification, the truth and tenderness impressed. on every paragraph make us appear to listen to the voice of a companion rather than to the studied strains of a poet. The subject did not call forth the loftier diction of genius; but if the work afford not the highest gratification of taste, it gives ample enjoyment to the benevolent heart; for although there are little incongruities in the character of the hero, which convince us that the poem portrays only the circumstances belonging to the situation of the Country Minister, not the history of any individual; yet we feel impelled to believe that the purest feelings and noblest sentiments attributed to the subjectf of the work are a transcript of the mind of the author, and lament that we are acquainted with him onlly through the inedium of his bool.:

There is so litfle inequality in this poem, that it is difficult to setect prarticular passages for extracting. pages 38 and 39 the union of cmen-
gatic feeling yxith painfal timidity, is

cs Yet whentitere times, the timid, bash 3 At thetafiobk
 Baock
Whan ne fear dampid his young souls ardent mames,
And warm and tast the flowing lan, guage came,
Came from his heart, whilst nature's ecstacies
Spoke in his roice and darted from his eyes-
Then bean'd his spirit forth without diagunise.
Oh! there are moments in life's earlier days,

- Whilst yet the heart is cheerd by hape's bright rays,
When-breaking through the gloom around it cast-
Th' enthusiast mind-all reckless of the pasit,
Surrounded by the self-created light
Ofits own pisions, pure, etherial, bright,
Will gaze intense, with soul-enraptur'd sight,
Upon this world of woe, o'erlook its iil,
And frame its scenes exactly to the will;
Deeming the earth a paradise of bliss-
Visions too happy for a world like this!"
The following paragraph, pp. 43, 44, is full of nature and tenderness:
"Who has not felt a pang; or dropp'd a tear,
On leaving scenes which time has renderd dear,
Where-day by day beheld for many years-
Each well-known object like a frieud appears?
The, heart, when once familiar with 'them, clings
With fond idolatry to fifeless things.
A walk, a prospect, mountain, stream, or tree,
Which passing strangers undelighted see,
To thoee who long have known them, still appear .
Above all other walks or prospects dear,
And few, in latest age, have eje fargot Their youth's attachment to some favoprite spot."
whe deyotional feeling and henem velemt otios of the Minister, in the
with in dreary situation in vehich he


##  5\% and 2, , 3,

sc He who, remov'd afar tion noite anh strife,
Dwells in thy vales, retiva from publit life-
The' friends are absent; and the desert drear,
Holds in its cheerless bosom nothing dear-
Is not alone, for in thy deepest shades,
Thy barren wilds and most deserted glades,
Tho' there no mortal footstep ever trod,
He marks the nobler impress of his God.
Him, ever present 'midst his works, he sees,
In momtains, deserts, rivers, fields and trees;
In gathering tempests views his awful pow'r,
His melting mercy in the falling show'r, His cheering smile in marning's opening ray,
And all the softer tints of closing day.
When the loud thunder shakes the trembling spheres,
His fearful voice in every peal he hears, Its gentler accents in the Western gale That whispers peace o'er every hill and vale."
"Unlike those pastors, who, themselves to please,
Neglect their flocks, too fond of selfish ease,
An idle bliss in lonely musings seek,
Confin'd to some warm study all the week,
And think-the Sabbath o'er-their duties done,
Till shines another Sabbath's tedious sun,
He sought the hut obscure, and lonely cot,
Where sorrow droops neglected and forgot,
Where sickness pines in some secluded cell,
And want and age-sad pain! -with mis'ry dwell:
By these he pray'd-tothese his arms wene piv'r, : :
Their minds, he sooth?d with words inspir'd by heavin.
And thus diffus' $\mathbf{q}_{\text {, throd dark misfor- }}$ tume's peght ha hind, cansoling ight.
Won heme the receqpaion which thes publis will gine: thisequem; avill induce the: futhor, to fulfile: thee zondisiomad

engagemreatetitutat his modest puer fuev, to ceptinft the hitury of rethe Country M Paxter?

Ant. MLI-Rogrmand © Segeuel to Early Lequans. By Maria Edgeworth. In Twe Volumes. 18 mo pp. 260 and. 272. Hanter. 1821.

T10 thove tole are acquatinted with Miss Etageworth's writinge, we peed mesely antiouace the poblication of this little wotk: Miss Ledgeworth is one of the few authors who win additional esteema and admiration every time they elain the attention of the public. Her books for children, whilst they afford the most delightfull amusement to the juvenile reader, are a more improving study for the parent than the most gravely-written systems of education; for they shew the instructor how to trace each feeting of the pupil, abd, with ever-wigilatit and judicious benevolence, to rectify the efrors and fix the virtues of the indpressible mind.

Rosamond, whose character is drawn in so interesting a manner in the "Early Lessons," is brought before us in the "Sequel," at that period of life which is fult of damger to the learmer, and which requires to be guarded with the most painful solieitude by the feacher-when the playful simplicity of childhood is succeeded by an anxiety to please and to be admired.
"It is the object of this book," says the author, (and no author is, perhaps, so successful in promoting this object as Miss Edgeworth,) "to give young people, in addition th their moral and retigiouts principles, sóme knowledge and controul of their awn minds in seeming trifles, and in all those lesser obsertances on which the greater virtues often remotely, but necessarily deperiat

Art. IV.-The Life of Koltmive, with Interesting Paiticukura sempettings his Death, and Ahecdotes and Cho.

 Andrews New sutnd'Stref, 1get. F7E knows nothing of themuho ofthis walupigy ndy thareforey capmat be: ampatited y 4 , thiis veritigus
 जOL. XVII.
we were attracted by the spenfehto the
 tended infoemation: eomphaing the witing atid charactar dentimester oticessively lauded by bie fittematy had so. valgarly and inditcriminately/tivo cried by his energies. So fatry whe hate not been disappouthed. The wathet appears to have resorted to the proper sonrces, and in genemal to have est pressed the result of his reseatichy if: not in very aceutate Buylish, yetima lively and animated stylef and, wee have no reason to doubt, with eorsesponding fidelity.

As friends, helpever, to the publies, and at the same the best frienth to the author himself, we cannot tefition frovat expressing our decided and setious opinion of the spirit of inhurrianity, levity, and even indecency, which shews itself in this fashionable volume. ©ur author, or any other man, iss; cont ceive, jastified by right, if not by law, in defending his opinions; even if they happen to coincide with those of Vol taire. Truth can never be injured by fair reasoning and candial extaminat tion; and of truth we ate the frofessed and devoted admirers: But neither he nor any other is justified ind spreading a moral contragiont thitety out the sphere of his literaty influetees

The only legitimate object in publich cation, is to do good to others; trid homour and honesty, not to sey rellgion, command a man, consefoins of levity and indecency, to refrtin frows polluting the sacred fountains of the muses. We were prepared, by dat early paragraph, to estimate rather lowly the value which the author attaches to the Reformation from Popery:
" Much blood was shed in a cause, the advantages of which, except in some political hastances, courfectea with thë advaucement of learning, have sicarcely recompensed for the hortars of ted itwtoduction.' $-\mathbf{P} .4$.

A heart so apparently adtheth actué sensibsity, one whet whe expect to dictate the fhowndorntence, at the conclusion of a parlifith concerifint the Heathoa widesection:
"In Lipsius he (he rederi win $n d$

 rectrim, and conthg dit of the 3 mouth witle the legs aratime grotequde

${ }^{2}$ Perhapa it wae not inconsistent in m Writer who could affirm, (p. 7,) that chance appears to be invariably and inconceivably connected with the most important oecurrerices, and (p. 109) while we bend to the rod of fate, we must hesitate to what divinity to ascribe the attributes of war existence,-to appear as the eulogist of Heathenism, in Cato's self-murder, and to advance the extraordinary, paradoxical and unchristian sentiment-
of The confiscation of a proscribed man's property is absurd; for there are few who wish to live after being deprived both of their honour and their fortune: if he be a philosopher and a man of courage he will deprive himself of life: and if a theologian, and not deficient in resolution, he will do the same."-P. 369.

We have noticed instances of the most shameful indecency in this volume, which render it totally unfit for the perusal of a virtuous person, and unbecoming the eye of modesty to behold; with the references to which we shall not defile our pages.

The author appears as ignorant of theological literature, as of the disposition and mind of a theologian. The confounding of Wollaston with Woolston, and Tyndal with Tindal, (p. 131,) is disgraceful in an English author. We see not how an Unbeliever or an Atheist can with this author consistently describe. Voltaire as "unparalleled," nor can we conceive, with Duvernet, (p. 379,) why Freethinkers ghould be delighted at the last words
of this celebrated gniusprs"sthen the Clergyman said, Do youracknowledge the divinity of Legget Christ ?" a question which we should have used of the divinity of the Gospel, Voltaire replied,- For the love of God do n'ot mention that man's name;'s" the accuracy of which our author, with his prejudices in favour of the dying poet, admits; and which, if we truly understand its import, indicates a temper most dreadful at that hour, upon every hypothesis of futurity. Would that it indicated some feelings of compunction, for the unsatisfactory and superficial manner, to give it no harsher title, with which he had treated the Christian religion. We refuse not to Voltaire the credit of much benevolence of disposition, and we are grateful for his efforts in regard to Toleration; but we lament his unbelief, which arose from vanity and want of examination ; and think we perceive ir him just those follies and vices which the spirit of Jesus would have tended to correct.

We cannot dismiss this volume without acknowledging that the author, in one or two passages of his work, appears to write like a Christian. We wish that the sentiments contained in these may become the real sentiments of his heart ; for if his work should reach a second edition, he has much to change and revise before he can contemplate his undertaking with vistuous satisfaction.

## M.

## CRITICAL NOTICES OF NEW BOOKS.

Memoirs from 1754 to 1758 . By James Earl Waldegrave, K. G., one of his Majesty's Privy Council in the Reign of George II. and Governor to the Prince of Wales, afterwards Gcorge III. London. Murray. 1821. pp. 200. 4to. Portrait.

$T$FHE price of this book confines it to a few readers; which is much to be regretted, as it gives an amusing arid, we belicve, faithful account of the interior of the Court of Geo II. othe close of his reign. The editor, who is said to be Lordfolland, would
confer a favour upon the public by printing a second edition in a form more accessible to the bulk of political readers.

The character of Earl Waldegrave is thus described by the pen of conjugal affection:
" He died of the small-pox, aged 48. These were hi years in number; what they were in wisdom, hapily helougs to time. The universal respect paid to him white he lived, and the utivivessal fate tation at his death,' are staple tes.no nies of a oharacter mot easilyitosberparalleled. -. He was for minguryeato hio
chosen friend land favourite of aking, who waskitudge of men, yet never that king's miniftery though a man of business, knowlede and learniag, beyond most of his contemporaries; but ambition visited hin not, and contentment filled his hours. Appealed to for his arbitration by various conteuding parties in the State upon the highest differences, his judgment always tempered their dissensions, while his own principles, which were the freedom of the people and the maintenance of the laws, remained steadfast and unshaken, and his imfluence unimpaired, though exercised through a long series of struggies, that served as foil to his disinterested virtue. The constancy and firmness of his mind were proof against every trial but the distresses of mankind; and therein he was a rock with many springs, and his generosity was as the waters that flow from it, nourishing the plains beneath. He was wise in the first degree of visdom, master of a powerful and delicate wit, had a ready conception, and as quick parts as any man that ever lived, and never lost his wisdom in his wit, nor his coolness by provocations. He smiled at things that drive other men to anger, he was a stranger to resentment, not to injuries; those feared him most that loved him, yet he was revered by all; for he was as true a friend as ever bore that name, and as generous an enemy as ever bad man tried. He was in all things undisturbed, modest, placid and humane. To him broad day-light and the commerce of the world were as easy as the night and solitude. To him the return of night and solitude must have been a season of ever blest reflection. To him this now deep night must, through the merits of his Redeemer, Jesus Christ, be everlasting peace and joy.
" $O$ death, thy sting is to the living! 0 grave, thy victory is over the unburied! the wife-the child-the friend-that is left behind.
" Thus saith the widow of this incomparable man, his once most happy wife, now the faithful remembrancer of all his virtues, Maria Countess Dowager of Waldegrave, who inseribes this tablet to his beloved mefiory."-Prefs pp. xx. xxi.

The following portrait of Geo. II., possesses such great verifinilitude that it will probably he alloxped by every reader to be taken, as 1 professes, from the life.

[^12]If He has a good anaderstandingythothth not of the fiest clamagsand has atclent. insight into men and thingats within ne. certain compass. He is accused thynitis. mivisters of beipg hasty and passionate when auy measure is proposed which the does not approve $\rho f$; thougt within , the compass of my puik, obsenxation, I have known few persous of high rank, who could bear contradiction better, prowided the intention was apparently good, and the manner decent.
" When any: thing disagreeable passes in the closet, when any of his ministers happen to displease him, it cannot long remain a secret; for his countenance can never dissemble: but to those servants who attend his person, and do not disturb him with frequent solicitations, he is ever gracious and affable.
"Even in the carly part of life he was fond of business; at present, it is become almost his only amusement. He has more knowledge of foreign affairs than most of his ministers, and has good general notions of the consitution, strength and interest of this country; but being past thirty when the Havover succession took place, and having since experienced the violence of party, the injustice of popular clamour, the corruption of parliaments and the selfish motives of pretended patriots, it is not surprising that he should have contracted some prejudices in favour of those governments where the royal authority is unden less restraint.
"Yet prudence has so far prevailed over these prejudices, that they have never infuenced his conduct. On the contrary, many laws have been enacted in favour of public liberty; and in the course of a long reign, there has mat been a single attempt to extend the prerogative of the crown beyond its proper limits.
" He has as much persional bravery as any man, though his political courage seems somewhat problematical : however it is a fault on the right side; for had he always been as firm and undaunted iv: the closet as he shewed himself at Oudenarde and Dettingen, he might not have proved quite so good a king in this limited monarchy.
"In the drawing-roam, he is grifious and polite to the ladies, and remarkably cheerful and familiar with those who aro handsome, or with the few of his old acquaintance whor, were beauties in his younger days.
"His conversation fis very proper for a tete-a-tetwy the ithiten talks freely on maiost subjects, mand vety much to the purpose; but he cannot discourse with the sadite ease, nor has the the faculty of laytitg
anifotha hing fa a larger company i- not cwerif those parties of pleasure which are composed of his most intimate acquidituance.

34 His semants are never disturbed with aly unnecepsary waiting; for he is refular fin Al his motions to the greatest cxactness, exeept on sparticular occasfons, when he outrans his own orders and expects those who are to attend him before the time of his appointment. This may easily be accounted for: he has a restless mind which requires constant exercise; his affairs are not sufticient to fill up the day; his amusements are without variety, and have lost their relish; he becomes fretful and uneasy, merely for want of employment; and presses forward to meet the succecding hour before it arrives.

4 Tho great attention to money seems to be his capital failing; however, he is always just, and sometimes charitable, though seldom generous; but when we consider how rarely the liberality of pripres is directed to the proper object, boing ustally bestowed on a rapacious mistress or an unworthy favourite, want of geuerosity, though it still continues a blot, ceases at least to be a vice of the first magnitude.
:66 Upon the whole, he has some qualities of a great prince, many of a good one, nope which are essentially bad; and I am thoroughly convinced that, lemeafiter, when time shall have wore away those specks and blemishes which dulty the bxightest characters, and from which no man is totally exempt, he will be nambered amongst those patriot kings, under whoas government the poople: have enjoyed the greatest happiness." P9. 4-7.

While the late King, George III., was hiving, it would not have been decorous to lay before the world the picture of his early character by his Governor:; for this reason the manuscript was kept within the fanily of the writer until Doath had consigned that monaneh to the care of History. What he was as a nam, all the world knows; It appeaws fran Earl Waldegrave's sketch of his youth, that his character soon diaclosed kitself, and underwent little own change frona tinie. Princes littia think, while they ate surrounded only by smiling faces. that the eyes of their courtiers are ryatching thoir actions, worls: and ewas laplas, ta give evidence for or ageingt them before the oclenth trithenalcof postarity.
yor The Prince of Wales is entering lato
his itwenty-first yeor, and it would be unfair to decide upon his character in the earty stages of hife, when thare tisw much time for improvement. His parts, though not excellent, will be found very toterable, if ever they are properly exercised. He is strictly honest, but wants that frank and open behaviour which makes honesty appear amiable. When he had a very scanty allowance, it was one of his favomite maxims that men should be just before they are generous: his income is now very considerably augmented, but his generosity has not increased in equal proportion. His religion is free from all hypocrisy, but is not of the most charitable sort; he has rather too much attention to the sins of his neighbour. He has spirit, but not of the active kind, and does not want resoletion, but it is mixed with too much obstinacy. He has a great command of his passions, and will seldom do wrong, except when he mistakes wrong for right, but as often as this shall happen it will be dificult to undeceive him, because he is uncommouly indolent, and has strong prejudices. His want of application and aversion to basiness would be far less dangerous, was he eager in the pursuit of pleasure; for the transition from pleasure to busindsy, is both shorter and easter than from arstate of total inaction. He has a kind of unhappiness in his temaper which, if not conquered before it has taken too deep a root, will be a source of frequent anxiety. Wherever he is displeased, his anger does not break out with heat and violence; but the becomes. sullen and silent, and retires to his closet; not to compose his mind by study or contemplation, but merely to indulge the metancholy enjoymeut of his ows inh-humour. Even when the fit is ended, unfarourable symptome return which indicate that on certain eccasfons his Royal Highnesg has too correot a memory.
"Though I have mentioned his good and bad qualities, without Hattery, and without aggravation, allowathces should still be made, on account af his youth, and his bad education: for thought the Bishop of Peterborough, ungw Bishop. of Salisbayy, the preceptopi Me. Stonp, the sub-goverpor, aud Mr. Scott, the sabprereptor, were men ol sense, nien of leapaling, and -worthy, good meen, they had but little weight mudeliffitunco. The mother and the nursery always psevailed.
"During, the courefe whe thast yyear there has, indeed, been some alteration; the aythopity of the munserg, has gyadu-

 the cative coadfence foxa whatber thia
change will bergreatlyto his Roytly Hhness's advantat a mity questiof, which edenkot mitherte be defermined with any certathtyen Ppor-10.

The audfor conedater with a confession which may well repress eny of the Great, if it , gught not to excite our pity far courtiers who are too often both deceivers and deceived :
" 1 have now finished my relation of all the material tratisactions wherein I was immediately concerned; and though I ean never forget my obligations to the kindest of masters, I have been too long behind the scenes; I have had too ncar a view of the machinery of a court, to envy

 anxiety and frequent mortification (a)
 colerably well viderntocd y the witewnat is totally unacquainted with the stitutasis of those whom Formactinestilected it he
 of royalty, who partake of its domestic amusements and social happizess.
"But I must not lift up the weil, and must only add, that no man can have a clear conception how' great personages pass their leisure hours, who has not been a priuce's gevernor or a king's veurite."-Pp. 141, 142.

## NEW PUBLICATIONS IN THEOLOGY AND GENERAL LITERATURE.

On the Beneficial Teudency of Unitarianism. By Lant Carpenter, LL.D. 12mo. 1 s .

A Letter to the Ven. and Rev. Francis Wrangham, M. A. F. R. S., Archdeacom of Cleveland, on the Subject of his Charge. By Captain Thomas Thrush, K. N. With an Appendix, containing a Letter to the Inhabitants of Filiskirk, \&cc. 8 VO,

An Inquiry into the Scriptural Authority for Social Worship: with Observations on its Reasonableness and Utility: and an Account of the Manner in which the Religioas Services of the Temple, 8xc. were conducted in the Time of Christ. By ThomashMeore. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

An Antidote to Lntolerance and Assumption, or a Peep into Mr. Coates's View of the Only True Church of Ged, deuominated Freethirking' Christians, being Remarks on that Gentlemen's "Plea* on their Behalf. By William Stevens. Bro...6d.

A Critical Commentesy awd Paraphwase on the Old and Wewt trestament s by Patriek, Lowth. A ynaide Ahbitby apd kowmant A new edition revrepted by the
 4to. el2, 12 n . Fine paper (ondy twelve copiesi $22 x$.

L'Obgervaiene Deltigure en mapagne;
 gious Custoras of Spring santeqcidewtroto the Establempent of Wher Menstitutional






Adlard Welby, Esq., South Rauceby, Lincolnshire. 8vo.

Ekements of Political Ecunomy By James Mill, Esq., Author of the Histery of British India. 8va. 8s.

A View of the Restoration of the Helvetic Confederacy: being a Sequel to the History of that Repubdie. By dosepth Planta, Esq. 8vo. 5is. 6d.

An Excursion to Brighton, with an Account and View of the Royal Pavition: a Visit to Tunbridge Wellst and a Trip to Southend: with: an: Alphabeticad List of all the Watering Places in the King dom. By John Ewant, LLL.D. 6s.

The Three Finst Sections of Newtem's Principia; with Copions Notes and LHastrations, and a great Yariaty of Deductions and Preblens, designed. for the Hee of Studenta. By the Rev John Carr, M. A., late Fellow of' Trinity Collage, Cambrídge. 10s. 6d.

Elements of Greek:Prosody and Metre. By Thomas Webb. 8yto. 6s.

A Chart of the Episcoppacy af Eng Iand and Wales; exhbiting in ouse wint of View the Succession of they Bisiupa in their respective: Seesp the infumber rof Bishops in the Respective Reigne seasede. Inaperial vpaper, with Arpiatimitreastugs, pepartad on Rollers. quaty

The Molten Sea mentrandeder 1 Kiuge



Inustrative Repitas in the fipmo of Essays, to the Cutestions propened tho
 Blshop of Petexborough, to Candithe
 Interregtions are shewn to be cons
structed: from the Holy Scriptures: and the Axticles of the Church of England. 6t, 6d.

The Miraculous Host of Paris, which converted Mn. Loveday's Daughters. With Ten Cuts. . 18

A Remonstrance to Mr. John Murray respecting a Recent Pubfication. 18 .

The Victim of the Atonement; an Essay on the Manhood and Godhead of the Redeemer, in their respective as well as joint Relations to the Christian Sacrifice. By Green Atkinsun. 12 mo . $2 s .6 d$.

The State of the Nation, at the Commencement of the Year 1822, under the Four Departments of the Finance, Foreign Relations, Home Department, Colonies and Board of Trade. 5s. 6d.

Observations on a Bill to Amend the Laws relating to the Relief of the Poor in England, lately introduced into the House of Commons by J. Scarlett, Esq. By George Long, Esq., Barrister at Law. 8vo. 2s.

Address to the Members of the House of Commons, on the Necessity of Reforming our Financial System. By One of Themselves. $2 s .6 d$.
'A Practical Scheme for the Reduction of the Public Debt and Taxation, without Individual Sacrifice. By Jonathan Wilks. 8vo. 1s. 6d.

A Letter to the Rev. J. G. Ferrand, Rector of Tunstall, Suffolk, on the Injustire of Tithes and the Poor Laws; and on the Crime of Simony; with a Plan for the Relief of the Agriculturist. By S. Ferrand Waddington. $1 s$.

Remarks upon the Last Session of Parliament. By a Near Observer. 3s.

The Proceedings in Herefordshire, connected with the Visit of Joseph Hume, Esis., M. P., December 7, 1821, on presenting that Gentleman a Silver Tankard and a Hogshead of Cider, purchased by Subscriptions of Oue Shilling each, in Approbation of his Parliamentary Conduct. $2 s$.

A Short Letter to the Earl of Liverpool, on an Amelioration of the Taxes. By a Whig' of the Old School. 1 s.

The Hippolytus and Atcestis of Euripides: literally translated into English Prose, with Notes, from the Text of Monk. $4 s$.

The Hecuba, Orestes; Phænician Virgins and Medea, of Euripides; literally translated into English Prose, from the Text of Porson, with Notes. Bs.

Arcita and Palamon, after the Noble Roet Geoffrey Chaucer. By Edward Lord ratuilow.

- Ciuseppino, an Occidental Story. 8vo. 45:

Italy; a Poem. Part I. Foolscap 8vo.

The Pleasures of Fancy: a Poem in Two Parts. 8vo. $48.6 d^{2}$.

Dr. Chalmers' Christiain and Civic Economy of Large Towns, No. X. En the Bearing which a right Christian Economy has on Pauperism. 1s. (Published Quarterly.)

A Key to the Critical Reading of the Four Gospels, consisting chiefly of Gleanings for the Use of Students in Divinity. 8vo. $4 s$.

A Guide to Christian Communicants, in the Exercise of Self-Examination. By the Rev. William Trail; with a Life, by the Rev. Robert Burns, Paisley. 18 mo . $9 d$.

A Narrative of the Rise and Progress of Emigration, from the Counties of Lanark and Renfrew, to the New Settlements in Upper Canada, with a Map of the Townships, Designs for Cottages, and Interesting Letters from the Settlements. By Robert Lamond, Secretary and Agent. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

The Preacher; or Sketches of Original Sermons, chiefly selected from the Manuscripts of 'Two Eminent Divines of the Last Century, for the Use of Lay Preachers and Young Ministers; to which is prefixed a Familiar Essay on the Composition of a Sermon. Vol. I. 12 mo . 4 s.

The "Bible Only" School Manuagl: $2 d$.
The Divine Person and Character of Jesus Christ defended, in Opposition to the Unitarian or Socinian Doctrine. With Remarks on the Existence and Operation of the Devil. By J. Clowes; M. A., Rector of St. John's, Manchester. Third Edition. 12 me . 1s. 6d.

Report of a Trial in the Jury Court, Edinburgh, June 25, 1821, for an alleged Libel, in the Case of the Rev. Andrew Scott, Roman Catholic Priest, Glasgow, versus Wm. McGaven, Author of a Work entitled "ك The Protestant," and Others. 8ro. 3s.

A Narrative of the Political and Military Events which took placelat Naples in 1820 and 1821. Addressed to his Majesty, the King of the Two Sicilies, by General Wm. Pepe. 8vo. Map. 68.

The Policy of educating the Children of the Poor considered: with a Brief Sketch of the State and Progress of the National Education from the Refornation to the Present Time. By J. Trist, M.A., Vicar of Veryan, Cornwall. 2s. 6id.

Thoughts on the Present System of Academic Education in the University of Cambridge. 1s. 6d.

Infant Sprinkling no Baptism, or Remarks on Rev. P.Edwards's Two Papers. By a Baptist. $9 \boldsymbol{d}$.

Grounds of Hope for the esalvation of all Dying in Idfancy, an Essay: Byatie Rev. W. Harmis, ILLD.' BVo. 4 s. 64

## Sermons. <br> A Second, Whene By Edward Malthy

 D. D. 8po \&Twenty, on the f tuences of Christiapity, as they we we staty and enforced in the Discourses of Gur Lord, comprising a Connected View of the Claims which Jesus advanced, of the Arguments by which he supported themp, and of his Statements respecting the Causes, Progress and Consequences of Infaelity. Delivered before the University of Cambridge, in the Year 1821, at the Lecture founded by the Rev. John Hulse. By James Clarke Franks, M. A., Chaplain of Trinity College. 128.

Six, before the University of Oxford. By T. L. Strong, B. D., of Oriel College, Chaplain to the Bishop of Landaff. $6 s$.

Lectures on the Book of Ecclesiastes. By Ralph Wardlaw, D.D., of Glasgow. 2 vols. 8vo. 18s.

Sea Sermons; or Twelve Short and Plain Discourses for the Use of Seamen: to which are added, a Prayer adapted to each Sermon, and other Prayers. By George Burder. 12mo. 2s. $6 d$.

## Single.

An Attempt to Ascertain the Import of the Title " Son of Man," commonly assuyjed by our Lord. A Nermon, preached bigare several Unitarian Associations, and printed at their Request. By Robert Aspland, Pastor of the Unitarian Church, Hackney. 12mo. 1 s .

The: Charaptep of tean Chint anovi-


 By Rabert Aspland, Pattariof rhe Chita-


Delivered at the Panish Churches of Soham and Wicken, in the County of Cambridge, on Sunday, August 12, 1821. By Charles Joseph Orman, B, A, late of Sydney Sussex College, Camalridge, Curate of Soham. 880. 1s.

The Coming of the Lord, a Motive to Patience and Stedfastness, preached before the University of Cambridge, on Advent Sunday, Dec. 2, 1821. By. William Mandell, B. D., Fellow of Queen's College. 1s. $6 d$.

The Substance of a Sermon at the Church of St. Bride's, Fleet Street, October 19, 1821, before the British and Foreign Seaman's Friend Society. By Richard Marks, Vicar of Great Missenden, Bucks, formerly a Lieutenant in the Royal Navy. 1s. $6 d$.

The Christian Watchman : on Occasion of the Death of the Rev. Thomas Best, late Minister of Cradley Chapel, Woreestershire, August 5, 1821. By John Cawood, A. M. 1s. 6 d.

Suicide providentially arrested, and practically improved : preached by the express desire of Mr. G. J. Furneaux, who shot himself at White-Conduit-House, Sept. 19, 1821 . By S. Piggott. 18.

## OBTUARY.

## Memoir of the Rev. Caleb Evans.

[See Mon. Repos. XV1. 735-737.]
The amiable and excellent youth who forms the subject of the present Memoir, was the third son of the Rev. Dr. Evans, of Islington. He was born at Isliugton, April 29th, 1801. Until upwards of 16 years of age he seldom left the paternal roof, but was educated by his father, whose labours to imbue his mind with solid and useful knowledge, and to implant in his heart the principles of piety and virtue, were; abundantly repaid by the avidity with 'which he received the former, and by the evidence he gave that his conduct was influenced by the latter.
In the winter of 1817, he wentito Edinburgh, whore he spent $\$$ wo winters" at college. Bothe isassigtis he obtained the leading Mathemptical Prize; apd by the ability and carnegtness $t$ widh which be availed himaself of the opportunities afforded hime to corrent and ettend his
knowledge, he gained the esteem and confidence of those who had the best opportunities of observing him. He was now for the first time the master of his own time and conducet, and was at a distance from every one who could exert any controul over either. In this untried situation, which is never without danger, he gave the first decisive proof of that steadiness of mind and character Whith every successive year confirmed; forme studied with the diligence of those who love knowledge for itself, anil acted with the discretion of those whotin experifehice has taught the value of wiftie. *

[^13]Soom after lewang conege, at Midisummery 1819, heireot a phicppal patc Th two managothent of the school whiche hifs faither indy cebiditered npwards of twenty yempor will for the teneficial arraingentith he intreduesa aind the fidellty with which he devoted a laige portion of his time to the mappowement of those cominitted to hils care, he deserves more than common praise, because his love of knowledge excited in him a desire to be wholly engaged in very different pursuitsi. This sacrifice of inclination to daty he made with so much readiness as to prove that to him duty was a Taw, and with so much chectfulieess as to shew that he knew how to extract pleasure from it.

For a considerable period his attention had beell fixed on the Christian ministry as the profession in which he might be noost happily and usefully employed, and in the autuma of 1820 he finally determined to devote himself to it. This deterniniation was the result of much serious reflection, and formed in the sincere bope that it would be conducive to his own mertal, moral and religions im proverrent, and to the improvement, in some hramble measure, of others. And no mind could be better constituted and no character befter formed for this important offiee.

Having made his election, he immedtately applied himeelf with an extraordinary erdour to these studies which he deemed necessary te enable him to discharge the duties of the Christian ministet with honour and usefnlness. Not having it in his power to pursue that systematic study of theology and of biblical criticism, under the direction of able and enlightened tutors, which he earnestly wished, ha formed 2 plan of study for hipnself, to which he adhered with great steadiness, for which he hasbanded every hour, and from which even the pleasures of social intercourge could seldom seduce hima. Often when friends whose society be highly valued were under the same roof with him, he confaned himself to his closet, unwilling to lase any of thase pred cions moments, which could not be neeall ed, and of which, with all his efforts, he felt that he could obtain but too few. The time spent in thesc pusuits was his seampa of enjoypment: to othen cengagtements he attended because his duty required it: to these, because they afforded him the highest gratification

He commenced bisi otudice withr an attentixe, and thorough exanmimption of

 of The samp author on Nacurat and Re valed haligioph, thather with sotveral adier works which treat of the exigh
ence and perfectivar of the Deity in the
 these investigations he was effortaged axa dssiatea zy mit efter Groitier, with winh the couta eonversse' without reserve; and fiom whom he' wad prónaltodeknowledge that he received no unimportànt aid in the solution of his diffictitites and the confrmation of many of his own opipions.

He next appliet himseff with the same difigence to the study of the Evitiences of the Christian Religion. The historical evidence and the philosophical argument founded upon it made a deep impression on his mind, and produced a firm and unwavering conviction, that the writers of the gospel history must have been the men they purport to have been; must have seen and heard the things which they declare they saw and heard, and must have done and suffered what they are reporied to have done and suffered : consequently, that their story must be true, and therefore, that the divinity of the Christian religion is established. He cound uever sufficiently admire the clear and masterly statement of this argument in Mr. Belsham's Summary of the Evidences of Christianity, a work which the ipquiring and upright Deist is bound to study, and with which the Christian parent ought to render the mind of his ctrild famifirar.

The next subjects whictr engaged his attertion were the Books of the New Testament. He enteref into a careful examination of their genuineness and authenticity, and in this investigation read with extreme pleasure the writings of Herbet Marsh. In like manner he had begun to examine the epistles, the obscurities of which he was anxious to explain to the satiffaction of his own ninud: and by the aid of Locke and Taylor, whom he diligently stadied, he Irad already in part succeeded: and, probably, as much for his own improvement as with a view to afford inmprovement to others, he had condensed and arranged the nesalt. of his investigation im ar discourse ant this sub-ject which the never debivened Already he had made himasellb, wwellt arquainted with the wriuings dif Dre Cugany, which he gereatdy admined.

His first seranop witis delivered at Wor-
 blo of the Soment, ilamd sitive satisfaction whiek the caven ond thaty apid In mabsequent occasionts redy mathent eibefinated from the facti, thats windin itic Meemathich atmprised the planofahim nintuisteriat bur bouns, be topeateulifioffelatuluat most of

 and in thatelaitt perimil ymained fint

be preadtedr(byinvitatien) the ammuatsermon at Harshampy fipmextets ini.47 : <s Praising God and havitysfavaur with all: thepeople:" a discounse which he filiswise delivered at the Gravel-Pit Meetion-bouse, the last Sunday that he entexed the pulpit. At Maidstome he had engaged to deliver two charity sermoins on the Sunday immediately following his decease. On these tuo sermons were employed the last efforts of his mind. One of them, from Psalm exix. 144, "Give me understanding and I shall lire," he had completed; it contains the following passage:
"If a maan direct bis thoughts to his own wonderful formation-to the extent and the diversity of the scene which this earth presents-and to the vast, the intricate, yet the unewing process. of the seasons and of vegetation; and if from these objects of his more imniediate contemplation. he raise his thoughts, baffled in their investigations of the smallest portion of this globe, to the kiardred planets which with this wortd kevolve round the sun ;-if, too, he forget the grandeur of this our solar system as be extends his rision to the bixed stars, whose immense masses by their incalculable distances are reduced in his sight to twinkling specks; -and if here be gather up the whole energy of his amazed and bewildered thoughts to grasp the idea that these wavering particles of light: are each a system, each-worlds revolving round their sun;-if thus far he carry forth his thoughts, must he not, when he recals them to his own nothingness, feel the most awful anxiety to shape his conduct in strict subservience to the will of that Being, the effects of whose power he has been conteruplating throughout the boundless extent of space?"
The other charity sermon, from Proverbs xi. 24, "There is that seattereth and yet increaseth," was left unfinished; it terminated abruptly with the'following sentence:
"Throughout the works of Ged man cannot point to a single portion that has not been formed to produce some good."

The following description of a bigot is extracted from'a sermon, the last ever preached by the deceased, fron 2 Thess. iii. 14 and 15 : " 6 Ard if any man obey not our word by this epistley, note that man and have no comapany with him, that be may' be aphathester' 'Vet counat bimanot as an tnemay shbuti adsionish whim as a brother." After priatimgout the general inculcation of thumilitiverandeliove through all the epistlen, deempobecedit:
"Fram the glampen velich hwo have sow


 fellings : amooer mogitind Let na, how voL. XVII.
 where then do we behold whenigot, whit distumb; the happinessuf Hish fillow-mayk
cr We. spe hima advance swithi the with ings of the apostles in his maxids with the doctrines of the apostles in his meatit; but not with the spirit of the apostlies in his heart.
${ }^{6}$ He lays before us the doctrines of Paul.-'These,' he exclainne, 's fermed the faith and hope of an inspired apostie: they must therefore become your faith and your bope:" And he makes this ex clamation, and maintains it too, without deigning to give a thought to that loves which the Apostle declares to be greater than the purest faith and the brightest hope; 'Now abideth faith, hope and love, these three, but the greatest of these is love.'
"We behold the bigot ferociously exacting the belief of mankind to the doe: trines of James, Peter and John; but we see him heeding neither the declaration of James, that ' the wisdom from above is first pure, then peaeeable, gentle and easy to be intreated, full of mercy and good fruits;' nor the exhortation of Peter, to ' have fervent love above an things:' nor the reasoning of John, 'he that loveth not, knoweth not God, for Gad is love.
"Do we then behold the genuine disciple of Paul, James, Peter and John, in this bigot, who, because his fellow-christian assigns to the writings of those apesthes a sense different from himself, counts that fellow-christian as an enemy and admonishes him not as a brother? Before we can regard him as the genuine diseí ple of those apostles, he must destroy the purity and benignity of that spirit which pervades all their writings : he must sacrilegiously tear many a passage from out those very epistles for every tittle of which he avows the miost pious reverence. He enforces his doctrines by the severest threats, and sends us to the epistles as the sources of his doctrines. We read the epistles, and whether we diseern or fail to discern his doctrines, we perues the clear condemnation of his maleto: lence. All the evil that he is willing to heap upon his differing brother, is seen to recoil upon himself. - He sinks dowh in the pit that he made; in the net which he hid is his own foot taken ${ }^{30 \%}$

It' was a fayourite plan with him to unite, at some future period, with the profession of the minigutrys the occupation of a public lecturen ouruaturalíphilosophys And he thad already sifent so inconsiderable time in gaining tatie necessany infor magition, and had yeanly completed a Leqture on Air, whith seems to have beetu intended as an intrgquction to a coulue of lemures on that and similar subjecis.:
*

Such were his wishes and hopes, and such his efforts to realize them. What the fruit would have been of so much ability united to so much diligence, had it been permitted to become mature, it is impossible to say; but it must have been considerable and excellent. That period of maturity, however, was not to arrive. By one of those mysterious dispensations which fill the mind with astonishment and awe, his hopes and his labours have been prematurely closed. He has been snatched from friends who loved him with tender affection, and from a circle in which his worth was appreciated, and which he would have enlightened and improved, and now the memory of his excellence is all that is left. In nothing do the purposes of the Moral Governor of the world appear more inexplicable. That the corporeal frame, just as it has attained the activity and beauty of adolescence, just as all its organs are fully developed, and all the functions of those organs are so vigorously performed, and so exquisitely balanced, that there is not a single movement of the machine which is not perfect, which does not seem to exult in its strength, and which does not produce pleasure: that the mind, just as its faculties are unfolded, just as it is beginging to put forth its power, just as, after immense labour, it has opened to itself the treasures of knowledge, and is beginning to diffuse them with an eagir and delighted liberality,-that then the mind itself should suddenly and, as to the eye of sense it seems, utterly perish, and nothing remain of the beautiful fabric in which it resided, but a heap of dusthow irreconcileable does this appear to the wisdom and goodness of the Creator; to that very wisdom and goodness which are exerted in the formation of those very powers and attributes thus prematurely destroyed! To this great dificulty the Christian knows the answer. That death is a good both to the individual and to the system; that unless the natures of each were wholly changed, its existence is indispensable, and that it could not secure the moral advantages it is initended to answer, unless it were constituted exactly as it is; unless its approach were sometimes sudden, always uncertain, and it were able to select its victims alike from persons of every character and every station and every age : of these truths the Christian is well assured, and being so, he can see in some measure the wisdom and goodnces of this most awful and affictive appointment. But nothing can sustain his mind under it, excepting such an enlightened and comprehensive view of its object and end.

In the autumn of 1821 , the active mind of this sincere and diligent inquirer after
truth, was deeply engaged in the study of the question of Baptism. In this investigation he read Watl, Gale, Belsham, Taylor, Robinson, \&c., and examined for himself the authorities from the Fathers to which these writers refer. After a laborious search he conceived that the evidence in favour of adult baptism by immersion preponderated, and in conformity to this conviction, he thought it his duty to submit to the ordinance. Yet he did not do so until he had again reviewed the grounds of his opinion. Haring made an excellent syllabus, arranging in different columns the historical evidence, the facts admitted on all sides, and the deductions fairly to be drawn from both, he was more satisfied than before, that baptism by immersion, on the part of a believer, "coincides with all the data, viz., the evideuce of the New Testament, of the Fathers, of the Jewish customs, and with that arising from the natire of the Christian dispensation, while it is really at variance with none." Accordingly be submitted to the rite, and was baptized by Mr. David Eaton at Worship Street, on Sunday, October 28, 1821. But the caution and modesty with which he judged and acted on this occasion, afford a striking illustration of the general character of his mind and couduct. At the conclusion of the memorandam referred to he says, "I frankly confess that if I had now the means of studying theology thoroughly, I might feel inclined to defer my baptism until after I had made full ase of those means; but haring, I sincerely believe, employed erery means which I at present possess, 1 am inclined to submit to it now. However, I shall consider that I leave a duty undischarged if I do not give the subject a more extensive examination when my opportunities become enlarged. This memorandum will be a bond upon my conscience:"

In the like conscientious manner he carefully abstained in his public discourses from entering on any controverted subject which he had not himself thoroughly studied. His mind was not of that constitution which would permit him to take any opinion upon trust, and he had too much probity to speak in the language of convictioh on subjects of which he was conscious that he bad not made himself acquainted with the evidence. There could be no better proof that he would have beconte' a firm, fearless and zealous advocate of whatever he might ultimately believe to be the truth.

There was one subject of which he was convinced, of whithi: the evidence appeared to "him to te" moist, abisfifiditht and glorious, and which formed the icont stant theme of his discourse'both the the
social circle and in the palpit. . The evidence of it he felt in himself, and saw in every human befing on which his eye rested. Of the abounding goodness of the Creator; and of the general and great preponderance of happiness over misery, he was as fully assured as he was that his senses did mot mislead him, when he perceived that all men live as long as they can, and love and value life. He thought it a proof neither of an understanding mind nor of a generous and grateful heart, to fix upon the exception to the rule as the rule itself, and because there are storms, to argue that the sun rarely shines, and because there are sorrows, to contend that there is little or uo enjoyment. The earnest and indignant manner in which he opposed every observation and complaint implying the general preponderance of misery, was an abundant proof of his own cheerful and happy disposition, and of that freshness and ardour which form the great charm of youth, and which few of the aged can contemplate without a sigh that it has passed from them for ever. The following passage, taken from one of his discourses, illustrates the manner in which it was his delight to think and speak :
"The doubts of the rational and pious man, in proportion as he contemplates the works of nature and of Providence, subside; and his best feelings are cheered by perceiving how totally unfounded are the melancholy inferences of some respecting the nature of the Deity. By a candid and careful examination of the world around him, even without regarding the inestimable gift of the Christian Revelation, he: will be convinced that gloomy notions of the Deity must arise from exaggerations of the misery and from partial views of the happiness that really exist. The inevitable result of , his contemplation will be, that the creation teaches, nay commands us to cherish the delightful and animating sentiment of the Apostle John, that God is love!"
It was on the evening of Saturday, Dec. 1, 1821, that he first complained of indisposition. The progress of his dis: order was extremely rapid, and was attended with spme anomalous symptoms which led his medical attendants to suspect that, the cause of it was not common. Early on the morning of the Gth he expired, and the examination after death proved that the melancholy exent had been pryduced by a circumstance of peculiarly rare occurrence. A scarlet, bean, which had probahly been inadvertently swallowed, had insinuated itself into the vevmiformi process of the intestine, wheye , hyime phanicat jrritation, it had prodyced, the mppat intanse inflanmartion, which had spyeadeover nearly the
whole alimentary canal. In the few similar cases on record, precisely the same appeapances presented themselves as in the present instance, and like this also, in all of them death followed with extreme rapidíty.

On Wednesday the 12th, his remains were consigned to the tomb by Mr. Gilchrist, who delivered an appropriate address on the occasion. The following Sunday, the 16th, a funeral sermon was preached at Worship Street, by Mr. David Eaton, to a most numerous and respectable audience, from Psalm xaxix. 5: "Behold, thou hast made my days as an hand-breadth, and mine age is as nothing before thee;" the conclusion of which appeared in the last Number of this Repository. [XVI. 735-737.] Several ministers both in the country and in the metropolis testified their respect to the memory of the deceased, by a notice from their pulpits of the awful dispensation which had removed a minister so young and so promising from his sphere of usefulness.

In contemplating the excellencies of the character of the friend we have thus lost, it is impossible not to dwell with satisfaction on the gentleness and purity of his manners. No expressions ever escaped him unbecoming the modesty of youth, or inconsistent with that gevernnent of the thoughts and that chastity of conversation which Christianity requires. His performance of the social duties was exemplary; and the reinembrance of those virtues which in him appeared to bé mixed with almost as few faults as is consistent with the infirmity of human nature, is at once the sorrow and the consolation of his parents, his brothers and his friends. His death was in perfect accordance with his life. That was as peaceful as this was pure. A few hours before he expired, he called his elder brother to his side, and thanked him and another friend who was standing by, in the most affectionate manner for their kindness: he mentioned by name several friends to whom he was attached, and desired that they might be told, that even in that hour he did not forget them, but continued to love them with tender affection. He then said, "I die happy. I could have wished to have lived longer. I am conscious I was enjoying more than I desertved. I could have wished to have done more for Christianity; but I am content. It is a satisfaction to me that the last hours of my life were spent in doing good?: He then alluded to another and a glorious meering with those frieuds from whom he was now called to separate, and intimated that even in the passage to that brighter and better, world gloamy as it is gene.
rally thought, there is little to apprehend. "I do not fear to die," he swid, and "there is mo pain in dying." The latter expression he repeated more than once, and it made a deep impression on the mind of the writer of this memoir who was present, and who was observing with great attention and interest all that passed. It was a voluntary and striking testimony to the trath of an opinion which has been forced on the attention of the writer, by what he has himself witmessed at the bed of death, namely, that in the act of dying there is no suffering. Violent pain does sometimes precede death, but, compared with the number of cases in which it is otherwise, even this must be considered as very infrequent, and when a fatal disease is also a painful one, there is a remission of the pain before the fatal event. When the wonderful functions of life ceese, the body is in a state either of ease or of insensibility. If there be any exceptions to this rule, they must be peculiarly rare.

The death of this exemplary and youthful Christian affords another proof of the ignorance and prejudice of those who suppose it is impossible to die happily out of their own faith. The calmness and self-possession of the mind in that awful season, depend on many circumstances, and nothing perhaps can be a less certain criterion of the moral excellence of a character, than the feeling with which the last hour is met. And yet it is delightful to see the troubled day of life close in brightness and in peace; the imagination dwells fomdty on such a ternaination; the heart is soothed by it: this beam of brightness is the lovelier and the sweeter because it is the last that smiles on humanity. In contemplating the death of this our lamented friend, we have then all the consolation it is possible to possess. A bright ray of hope rests on his early tomb: it gives as the cheering assurance that he does but sleep there, and that though we too must descend to the same dark bed and sink into the same deep sleep, yet that a peried will arrive when we shall awake; when we shall start into life and consciousmess, and recognise each other and rejoice together through everlasting ages. "For the trumpet shall sound and the dead shal be raised incornuptible, and we shall be changed: for this corruptible shall put on incorruption, and this : mortal shall put on immortality. O death, where is thy sting ? ${ }^{\text {º }} \mathbf{O}$ grave, where is thy victary Phanks be to Gad wwho giveth us the viotory through our Lord Jesus Chafist."
T. $\mathbf{T} . \mathbf{S .}$

1821, Nav: 2, at Pernmaric, Monmorth shire, the residence of his friend Richard Perkins, Esq., Mr. Sammel Reris, of Liverpool, in the 46 th year of his age. This excetlent man was a native of Bristol, mad received his education mader the late Dr. Estlin, by whom his talents were early distinguished and carefally cultivated. He was designed for the ministry, and when he had finished his preparatory studies, removed to Hackney College. Unfortunately, doubts arose in his mind respecting the truth of Christianity, which made it impossible for him, onduenced as he ever was by the strictest integrity, and incapable of dissimulation, to engage in the profession to which he was destined. It was not the contagion of a fashionable scepticism, or the youthful vanity of calling in question estalalished opinions, by which his mind was affected; his doubts were conscientious and deeply painful to himself; subsequent inquiry convinced him that they were unreasonable, and he became again a firm believer in the truths of the gospel, which had never ceased to be the rale of his practice. This change, however, was not immediate; he felt himself bound to relinquish the ministerial profession, and by doing so, involved himself in a variety of uncongenial employments, in which for several years his talents and virtues produced comparatively little benefit either to himself or others. In the pursuit of commercial objects be visited, successively, America and the Levant, and on his return from the Mediterranean in 1803, he renounced these occupations and superintended Dr. Estlin's school for about two years. The removal of Dr. Carpenter from Liverpool to Exeter, on the death of Mr. Kenrick, made an opening for some one to succeed him at Liverpool, as a private instructor, and Mr. Reid came thither to reside in 1806, and continued there till a few months before his decease, when the complaint which ultimately proved fatal, compelled him to suopend his labours and to seek a milder climate. It was with difficulty that he could reconcile himaself to that intermission of active usefulness which his health demanded, and his disregard of all personal considerations where he saw an opportunity of doing good, had long been undermining his constitution rand exhausting the strength . Which.: might otherwise have struggled succersfudly' with disease.

His literary attainments were varions and extensive's in intelleetual powers strong and evers fertile of sideas, which he porred out in conversation with a rapidity whioh it was wontetmes wifficult to follow. Sut hits ifintillecturual quadities, however excellent, will te whe yemost part of the rexnembrantioes whink hallow the
mameriof Sampuelt Fheid to and who even casumely anditilightity theow him.

Fidw men ittinnay be safelyisaid, without fear of incuirring othe charge of thrat unmeaniug flattery which exalts the subject of biographicall panegyric at the expense of others; erier exthibited so much of the strengtit and parity of Christian benevolence, ginded and amimated by Christian piety. The great object of that self-discipline which he appeass to have systematically pursued, was! the annihilation of selif. His benevolence was not ondy a feeling; bat a principle, founded on the conviction that life and all its powers were given to him to be devoted to the good of his fellow-creatures. . No self-denial or sacrifioe seemed too giteat to him, when this end could be attained by it. Adthough he was most affectionate and dutiful in the nearer social relations, he would cheerfully have renounced his home and mative land, if the prospect of move extensive usefalmess had presented itself in a distant region. He not only embraced every opportanity of doing good which came in his way, but sowght out occasions and objects of benevolence with an ardour and earnestness which might sometimes expase him to the derision of those who could not sympathize with the enthusiasm of his character. Perhaps even those who loved and honoured him most sincerely, may sometimes have wished that his exalted and disinterested virtues had been mingled with quafities of a lower order indeed, but necessary to the greatest practical efticacy of his generous dispositions. Yet even while expressing the wish that the romantic ardour of his benevolence thad been tempered by more consideration for himself, had it been only to preserve him longer for the service of his fellow-creatures, it is impossible not to feel how pure and excellent that character must have been, which needed lonly to have been alloyed by a small mixture of ordinary qualities.

The last moments of his life were passed in calm resignation to the Dívine will, and joyfulthope of the approach of a change to a nobler and more spiritual state of being. Whe shatl take the liberty of borrowing the description of his last hours from a semon delivened at Paradiso Street Clrapely by Mr. Howhton, feeling that nothing conlar be weded to the delfcacy and 'buantyoutuith which ; this: subject has been tonolled bymime.
 rits leawe, in theiwhaesit, then $\boldsymbol{Q}^{\prime}$ track of glory aud obtrightniens twehimd them an oar departedsifitiends, und rifywith the comern-



bes like theirly ziPt fot haim, bat for our -stiver
y. 66 He ras widely kipown and highty rappreciated by the world;' bat he wats best kiown and most tenderly loved mand tooked up 10 at home. 'In the domestic cincle his presence: animated all:" Itman using the words of a beloved nirother, whio was intimately acquainted : with this habits and virtoes; and who bad the hatppimess to attend him to the kast. SHe cheered and elevated the minds of those about him, and with a singular modesty, but with a force and decision of feeling pecutiar to himself, marked out the path of duty: following up on all occasions the clearest perception of truth, with the most undeviating rectitude of artion. His: kast moments were peculiarly happy; the nesult of such mental energies as no bodidy sufferings could overpower. About two hours before he died, he had falien into a gentle slumber, fiom which he awoke with apparently the most delight ful sensations, uttering, in the sweetest tones imaginable, broken expressions of some religious speculations and reasomings passing in his mind; which he afterwards explaimed with much earnestness and pathos; then fell into a second slumber, and on again awaking, after a burst of natural tenderness to a brother hanging over his dying bed, his mind became fervently eagaged in prayer; and, finally, noticing with gratitude and affection those about him, on the morning of Friday the $2 d$ of November, 1821, he breathed most traxquilily his last. Such was the beantiful close of the good man's life: as if already listening to the welcome of angels and congenial spirits, he passes from blessing to be blessed; and, with a parting smile, forbidding his friends to sorrow, beckoas' them to follow after and share with hima 'a glorious change' in their "Father's loouse.' Death is not always :so lovely, so persuasive. Not all the truly good depart in such heavenly peace ??"

December 4, at his house, the wilLows, near Preston, Lancasnare; FoHN Phlkington, Esq., aged 75, most deeply and deservedly lamented by alargectrcle of relatives and friends. He was bred to the profession of the law's and" had a
 to a considerable property, im which, however, owing wo "gompe unforeseen events, he uras ciarappocinted: Tet he
 and persevening tidithtry, thith independenoe fin his cifrcuinotanite which fortume had denied himsin texnly life:

The profession of the law is satia to offer greater temptations the man "of principle, and tifrafrord more oppotition-
ties for the amiassing of riches, than any other; yet in the practice of this profession' he was distinguished by his probity and moderation, and always acted in strict obedience to the letter and spirit of that excellent precept of our holy religion, "LLet no man go beyond, or defraud his brother in any matter." He has often been the disinterested adviser and mediator when circumstances had put it into his power to be the retained professional advocate. He chose rather to persuade men to forgive their brethren their trespasses, and to live peaceably with each other, than to enrich himself with the spoils which contention would have held out to him, or to rear the fabric of his own fortune upon the wreck of that of his fellow-man. But the character thus honourable, as to professional duties, is worthy of our imitation in other points of view. He was a kind friend and benefactor to the poor; he freely gave his advice to those who were in difficulties and distress. He was an affectionate husband, a tender father, and in every social or relative connexion he endeavourcd to walk worthy of the vocation wherewith he was called. He was the firm and consistent friend of civil and religious liberty. He was no timeserver, nor courtly sycophant, changing with the policy and fashion of the times, and seeking applause and reward by the sacrifice of principle; nor did he court popularity by flattering the prejudices of the multitude, and falling in with all the extravagant political theories of the day; but he advocated the cause of rational reform and real liberty, and dared to be the steady and honest supporter of the true interests of his country in the most difficult times. Nor was his religious character less admirable. Bred up among the Dissenters; the mode of worship which education and habit had contributed to attach him to, was still more endeared to hims when, in maturer years, examination and reflection had convinced him of its beneficial tendency. He noticed how corrupt and oppressive religion had often become when allied to temporal power; aud he considered the conduct of those highly inconsistent who, while they professed to be the followers of Jesus, connected themselves with the kingdoms of this world. He therefore acknowledged no head or master upon earth in spiritual matters : and, while he rendered unto Cæsar the things which are Cassan's, he rendered unto God the things which, are God's. It was his practice while fording, the sacred volume, to make. such notes and extracts as would assist him in his; farther researohes, and enable him to prowe what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect will of God." By
this study of the: Scriptures he became more and more confirmed in the belief of that fundamental article of the Jewish and Christian systems, the Unity of God; and with that independence of mind for which he was remarkable, he worshiped the God of his fathers after the way which the world deem heresy. He chose to abstain from joining the popular sects of the day, although from his connexions and circumstances in life he had many temptations to do so; and he bore with firmness and Christian iadifference his share of the misrepresentations and obloquy and suspicion which the sect every where spoken against so constantly meets with. Yet, while he differed from his biethren in matters of faith; he had that charity for all men, without which, religious professions and services are utterly vain. He neither limited the mercies of the Holy One of Israel to a few favourites, nor rested the salvation of his fel-low-men upon the weak foundation of a religious creed; but he delighted to call upon the Lord his God, as the Father and friend of all his widely-extended family, ever ready to receive the repentant sinner.

Such were the religious principles which shed their beneficial influence over his mind, and which produced the character here portrayed. They enabled him to resist the temptations of the world, and to bear the severe pains of body to which he was often subject, and the various distresses of life, with that resignation to the will of God which becomes a disciple of Jesus; and in his last hour he reaped the full benefit of so wise and pious a line of conduct. His health had been declining for some months before his decease; but as no immediate danger was apprehended, his family flattered themselves that he would be spared to them yet many years. Nor did this hope leave them uutil within a few days of his death. On the evening of his decease, feeling his end approaching, and while surrounded by his sorrowing family, he said, "Will you all join me in prayer ?" and immediately prayed aloud in the most collected and pious manner.

He expressed his firm belief of his acceptance with God; not from any merit of his own, for he acknowledged hinself a sinner who had often dared the Divine displeasure, and who had not been sufficiently grateful for the bouuties of Providence, and that at the beot he had been an unprofitable servant. But he trusted to the eternal and unchangeable goodness of his. Almighty Father, who knew the sincerity of his heart, and to the promises he has vouchsafed to us through itre one Mediator between God and men, the man: Christ Jesus. He said that he had prest ed, if it were the will of God, he might
recover, adding, " He is a God of mercy and of trutin, and when ithink of his power and wisdom and goodness, I am enabled to say, "Not my will, but thine be done.'" He blessed God for the consolation and hope afforded by the Scriptures; he said he had founded his faith and hope upon them alone, and that if he had erred in his belief, he felt assured he should be forgiven. He declared his belief in the unity of God; in the divine mission of Jesus; and in'the final salration of all mankind. He said that Gọd was a Being of mercy and forgiveness, and would not that any of his creatures should perish everlastingly; but that sinners would be purified and rendered fit for happiness by sufferings proportioned to their guilt. He endeavoured to console his sorrowing family by saying, he was only falling asleep for a little period, that their separation from him would not be final, that he felt assured they should all meet again in a better world, and finally resigned his spirit into the hands of Him who gave it, without a struggle or a sigh.
R. C.
1822. Jan. 18th, at Hackney, ANNE, the wife of G. T. Goodbehere, Esí., nephew of the late Alderman Goodbehete. Her death occurred in the following
manner On the feteding afterfoon beftity alone in the parlout, and engaged in stirring the fire, a wutient"of air the tracted a light shawl, which she wore on her shoulders, through the bars of the grate, and the flanes rapidly communicating with her muslin dress, she was dreadfully burned before she could alarm the servants of the family, that she'survived the accident only a cout ten hours. Mrs. Goodbehere was in her '39th year; she was an exemplary wife, a tender mother, a sincere and affectionate friend. She has left six young children, (the eldest under nine years of age,) who are, consequeirtly, spared the calamity of estimating their irreparable loss. Her less fortunate relations and friends who feet and deeply deplore it, can only derive consolation from the conviction that the " ways of God to man," though mysterious, are ever merciful, and they, therefore, with humble resignation, "bow in silence and adore" the inscrutable designs of his "eternal providence." The remains of this amiable lady, whose fate is much lamented in her neighbourhood, were interred on Monday the 28 th inst., in a family vault in the Burial Ground. belonging to the Unitarian Church, Hackney.

## INTELLIGENCE.

## Secession of Rev. S. C. Fripp from the Established Church.

We are informed, that on Sunday evening, January the 6th, the Rev. S. C. Fripp, of Bristol, late a Clergyman of the Established Church, delivered, in Lewin's Mead, to a very crowded congregation, a Discourse on the leading doctrines of Unitarianism, explicitly arowing his adoption of them and consequent secession from the Church. It is well known to several of our readers, that Mr. Fripp has long been engaged in religious inquiry ; and that, during the greater part of the time, he has avoided officiatiug as a clergyman. Above two years ago, he declined making application for a living which is in the gift of the Bristol Corporation, (and which, it is understoood, he had good reason to expect, through the influence of a near relative who is a respectable member of it,) lest he should thus be biassed in his search after truthe; axat then assigned to that gentlemau the istate of his ginid in reference to the doctrinis of the Church of Eagland, as the reason of his deelinn ing to make the propoped application.

On the 13th of January, after the morning service, a Meeting of the Lewin's Mead Congregation was held, at which the Rev. John Rowe was called to the chair; and the Rev. Dr. Carpenter proposed the following resolution, which was seconded by Richard Bright, Esq. and carried unanimously :
"'That this Meeting have great satisfaction in the result of the Rev. S. C. Fripp's examination into the important points at issue between the Unitarian and the Trinitarian; and cordially congratulate him on his open, firm and temperate arowal of those sentiments to which he has been led' by a deliberate and (they doubt not) serious and earnest inquiry after Religious Truth: That they respect the couscientious motives which háve induced him, as a eornseouence of his relinquishing the Trinitarian Doctrines of the Church of $\mathbf{E n}-$ gland, to secede from its Conimumion and Worship, and to give ap his proispect of preferment in it; and they trist. that Providence duit open a door of asefulness and doufort or hime in the wis nistry amougs the moritarian Diseenterb a And, that they beg him to accept their
respectfil thanks for his able and excellent Discourse delivered in this place last Lord's-day evening ; and, believing that the extensive diffusion of it through the press would promote the great cause of Christian Truth and Charity, they earnestly solicit him to publish it, as speedily as his convenience will allow."

On the motion of William 'Cowgood, Esq., seconded by Arthur Palmer, Esq., it was then resolved unanimously, that the Chairman be requested to address a letter to Mr. Fripp, in the name of the Meeting, expressive of the sentiments of the foregoing Resolution.

We have given these details, because they will be interesting to many of our readers, and they will enable them to know correctly what they would probably learn inaccurately from common rumour.

It is understood that Mr. Fripp had, previously to the Meeting, come to the determination to publish the Discourse, with a Letter to a Friend, entering more particularly into his own train of inquiry, and the grounds of his present opinions; and we expect that our readers will find an Advertisement of the publication on the cover of this Number.

## Unitarian CHaplain to American Congress.

The following article, which has been copied from the American into the English papers, has excited great attention. A silly writer in the Public Ledger has exclaimed against the Americans, as if by this act they had renounced Christianity. Bigotry may be expected to kick and fling before it retires from the stage.

$$
\text { Baltimore, Dec. } 11 .
$$

The Rev. Jared Sparks, Unitarian Minister, of Baltinnore, was yesterday elected a Chaplain to Congress on the part of the House of Representatives. We are happy to learn, that a gentleman of tried and tested talents, of unquestionable learning and ability, and a pure and irreproachable character and life, has thus received a testimonial of the estimation in which he is held by the immediate Representatives of the people, in the most important elective body emanating from them.-Mercantile Advertiser.

The Quarterly Meeting of the Presbyterian Associated Ministers of Manchester and its vicinity took place in the Cross-Street Chapel, Manchester, on Thursday, 27 th of December. The Rev. J. J. Tayler, of Manchester, introduced the service; the Rev. B. R. Davis, of Chowhent, preached from 1 Tim. i. 11. After apurice the ministers aud a few lay.
gentlemen dined together, and passed the afternoon in an agreeable and friendly manner.

Manchester, Dec. 28, 1821.
The Committee of the Manchester Cross-Street Felloroship Fund, since its separate establishment, as noticed in the Repository for April last, have distributed the following sums :
$\begin{array}{llrll}\text { To Lincoln } & - & £ 10 & 0 & 0 \\ \text { To Merthyr Tydfil } & - & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ \text { To Gelli-Onnen - } & - & 5 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
To the Christian Tract Society 300
It is expected that their funds for the present year will be more ample. Applications may be made to either of the ministers.
J. G.

The Quarterly Meeting of Unitarian Ministers in South Wales, was held at Llan-dy-fan, Carmarthenshire, on the 27 th of December last. The introductory service was conducted by J. James, of GelliOnnen ; and Mr. D. John, of St. Clears, preached from 1 John ii. 1, 2. After service, an open conference took place in the meeting-house, Mr. J. Griffiths, the minister of the place, in the chair ; when J. James, of Gelli-Onnen, proposed the subject for discussion, How far is reason to be used in matters of religion? And all that spoke agreed, that religion and reason begin and end logether. The same subject is to be resumed, together with the nature and effects of zeal, at the next meeting, which is to be held at IBlaen-y-gwrach, Glamorganshire, on the 11 th of April next, whereat $J$. James of Gelli-Onnen, was requested to preaeh. There were present about 16 preachers, and the audience was numerous and attentive. There was also service as usual in the evening preceding, when Mr. E. Lewis, a student in his last year at the Carmarthen College, introduced, and Mr. John Jones, of Bridgend, and Mr. Wm. Williams, of Blaen-y-gwrach, preached; the former from Isaiah xxxv. 8, and the latter from Acts xi. 18.
J. JAMES.

January 16, 1822.

The Rev. Thomas Finch, of Harlow, has in the press, Elements of Self Knowledge; or, a Familiar Introduction to Moral Philosopliy, in one volume, 12 mo . principally adapted to Young Persons entering into active life.

Shortly will be published, in 2 vols. Svo. a Sclection from the Sermons of the late Rev. W. Hawress; of Manchester.


[^0]:    * This statement is abundantly justi-' fled by what is detailed in the common compilations of Ecclesiastical History rez specting those numerous and, in some instances, discordant sects which passed under the general name of Albigenses; and which so frequently exposed them selves to the thunderbolts of the Vatican. Their heretical opinions were publicly condemned so early as the year 1176 by a Council held at Albi, in the South of France. In 1179 they were cruelly persecuted by Pope Alexander; in the early part of the thirteenth century drusade was proclaimed against thems by Po Innocebt the Third, whosentime contained the bitterest satire rupotit his character, at least in thisphastance; and about this period thegnífernal tribunal of the Inquisition was sereated with an ;els-
    
     have taught the Repan pontitis and iheir ministers, how nappropiate and mayail ing are such instrumentes of conyersig as dungegns and tor ture' fre and sibut to act uph the getsod of fier wher thint bêbre they thieve.

[^1]:    * L'ístoria della Volgar Póesia, scritta da Giovanni Mario de'Crescimbeni, 4to. 1698, p. 47, ' 11 qualé per le sue malvage opinioni fu arso in Firenze; \&c.
    $t$ Many of the Italian writers of the 13 th and 14 th centuries abourd with animadversions, more or less direct and severe, upon the prevailing corruptions of religion, the licentiousness of the priesthood, and the pride and tyranny of the head of the church. Dante, who flourished towards the end of the 13 th, aud in the beginning of the 14 th century, sometimes makes himself merry at the expeuse of the religiqus orders, in the situations he assigns them in the other world. Boccacio, a writer of the generation immediately following, has enployed his Decameron to convey his censures of the same bodygmany of the incidents of his tales befing drawn from their corrupt practices. And Petrarch, who wrote only a few wears later, is known to have occasionady directed his pen in the same way, and to haxe in cured the displeasure of his ecclesiastical

[^2]:    * Bock Hist. Antitrinit. Vol. I. pp. 315, et seq.; Gerfes Specinen Italiz Reformatós, pp 75 , et seq. Merifior
     38 et 31.

[^3]:    * Mosheim, IV. 387, with the translator's note.
    + Amony the principal of those who apostatized to the Church of Rome, after taking an active part with the Reformers, was Laurentius Romanus, a Sicilian, who first taught the doctrines of Zwinglius at Caserta, in the Neapolitan territories, and afterwards employed himself in disseminating the tenets of the Reformedrat Naples. Being prosecuted for his heresy; he went to Rome, where he made his recantation, and was senterced to make a public abjuration, and submit to various other penances. "A Rome-il fit,"says" Giannone, " la confession de ses errarrs, et lui (au Cardinal Théatin) découtté, qu'il avoit dans Naples et dans lé Haym aume, plusieurs disciples, 'entre' lesquats étoient des personnes du premier rang;iet plusieurs dames titrées, qui apprenolems les Belles Lettres. Il fuit condamane d faire une abjuration publique dand tes Eglises Cathédrales dé Naples en de \% serte, er de ia recondiuit a Rome, phat subir d'autres pénitences." Gexitcof supra, p. 79.
    $\ddagger$ Bernard Ochin dedicated do thatim
    
    
    
     dedicationy whernterther hit

[^4]:    
    
     nole chutren stif boty!

[^5]:    * For 'a 'farther ${ }^{\tau}$ uccount of this' estri'matele' man,? and of the' socicty at the Octagon and their Eitargy, see Mon: Repos. VilI. 625.
    + I may be allowed, in this place, to correct a mistake into which a late respectable correspondent, Dr. 'Toulmin, [IV. 657, ], had fallen in reference to this chapel, which is erroneously réprésented as having dtigioally béen an rnitépenderit place of tobistip. It'swas erected' about the ytar 1700 , Whèn $/ \mathbf{M r}$. Basistiett was thosen'timinister, a pupil of the celebrated Mr. Richàrd Frankiand, at Rathmell, Yorkshife," With "whom hee entéred In 1696. He was a reguiar member of the Presbyterian Edassis, of the Warrington district, as äppears. by"their records; and a sermon on "Church officers and their Missions, " which he published, grobably on the of dioation of Dr Winder and Mr" Mather, at St. Hetens, yin 141 , suf-
    
    
     sitrairnools? eatienga, az zethinons Bless-
     It is :dedilatedfor to qatruthat have "friebtis at; orldeali topsea, ymerchgiath tadasthers, "belonjgingt to tieverpopi, and he ountes
    
    
    

[^6]:     since which tine the former chapel has been ortypied by a society of Welsh. Methodstors.

[^7]:    Letter from Mons. J. J. Cheneviere, Pastor and Professor, at Geneva, to the Editor of the "Christiato Observer."

[^8]:    -Copy illegithet En. anom

[^9]:    * "Negtativuini" argatheintion in tali quastione repurdiant ine de uno valtefove scriptoter valiet "de per
    
     num prettereuntibut.": Bendeliuts Arom. ad 1 Joanur. 7.

[^10]:    - The fact 䑤 that Epiphanius says expreasly (Har H. 34), "that the Alogi rejected the $C$ oppet of John and the
     the Eptitieg bectwe they harmonire with
     evident that the that no other reason for believing that-they did seject the Episcles, than this "eonjecture of his own ; and of a multiturle of autheiss who mention. the Alegiti an wejecting sthe Gavpel and the A poenalypsas ;at oneamentions the Epistles.: See Michautis Introdi iCh. xwa 65.

[^11]:    - "Ut mbere diouti quodideintio, ter thmonio illo (sc. prolegi) acctoritutom textuic concilitafe velle orimihyallud ease
     ovรи́бardaint Millus nduloc.

[^12]:    "The King" is in his 75 th yeam but temperauce "andsatn : deelyett. Cothatitition
    
    

[^13]:    * See an article ingatlederarA'Aamble into the Western Hightautis of Scotland," contimued through severud successive numbers of the Pocket Magazite, in which the deceased deseribse in a lively mainer a tour which he made in the Spring of 1818, when only in the 174h year dents age.
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